Rev_ACRI_130737

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130737
Title of the Manuscript:	Immediate Loading After Unsatisfactory Orthodontic Treatment: Case Report
Type of the Article:	Case report


General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/</u> Benefits for Reviewers: <u>https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers</u>



Review Form 3 PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (part in the manuscript his/her feedback here
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is presented well with a good scientific logic describing the importance of immediate loading and immediate implant placement after orthodontic treatment.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	 The discussion part is comprehensive yet it can be eloberated by incorporating few more case reports/studies on the immediate loading. Few more points regarding the outcome of the immediate loading after placement of the final prosthetic crown and the outcome and pts perception during the following up and after placement of the final prosthetic crown can be incorporated. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript can be accepted with few corrections mentioned above.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed v highlight that part in the manusc his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhargavi Chinnamurthy
Department, University & Country	India

(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that ot. It is mandatory that authors should write e)

d with reviewer, correct the manuscript and uscript. It is mandatory that authors should write