

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ ACRI _130707
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of organophosphate pesticide residues and the associated risks of dietary exposure through selected vegetables from Ilala, Dar es Salaam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>
Important Policies Regarding Peer Review
Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The pesticide concertation in the vegetables is one of the big problems to the human health. In this context this study is very useful to the society and environmental sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, Authors are used some value techniques to identify the concentration of pesticide residue	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	good	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gummadi Venkata Rao
Department, University & Country	Anurag University, India