

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130388
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of varieties, cultivars and germplasm lines of brinjal against blight disease of brinjal caused by Alternaria alternata (Fr.) Keissler in Konkan region of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant value as it addresses the critical issue of identifying resistant brinjal varieties against Alternaria alternata, a major fungal pathogen responsible for significant crop losses. The research enhances knowledge on varietal resistance, offering practical implications for sustainable agricultural practices and reducing dependence on chemical fungicides. By contributing to the integrated disease management of brinjal, the study supports efforts to ensure food security and promotes environmentally friendly farming methods.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is descriptive and captures the essence of the research. However, it can be refined for conciseness. For example: "Screening of Brinjal Varieties for Resistance Against Alternaria Blight in the Konkan Region, Maharashtra"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is informative but can be improved for clarity and completeness. Including a brief statement about the significance of brinjal as a crop and its vulnerability to blight would provide a stronger context.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and conveys the research well, but minor grammatical improvements can enhance readability.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pravin Babasaheb Khaire
Department, University & Country	Mahatma Phule Krishi Vidyapeeth, India