

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130388
Title of the Manuscript:	Screening of varieties, cultivars and germplasm lines of brinjal against blight disease of brinjal caused by Alternaria alternata (Fr.) Keissler in Konkan region of Maharashtra
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author has made an attempt to checked alternaria infection in different brinjal varieties and came to an conclusion that D-79-19, RCMB-3 and Dapoli pandhari vangi sel. are resistant compared to other varieties screened.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>the work is impressive and quite laborious</p> <p>1. Please provide individual photo of control with and without disease infection and most susceptible and most resistant varieties with and without infections.</p> <p>2. Whether the experiments were performed in greenhouse trials and then checked in field conditions or directly assessed in field trials.</p> <p>3. Why there is variation in the disease infection symptoms amongst varieties and what makes them to be most susceptible and resistant.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Naziya Banu
Department, University & Country	University of Mysore, India