

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_130163
Title of the Manuscript:	Responses of Cucurbit Fruit fly, <i>Zeugodacus cucurbitae</i> Coquillette to Gel Formulations of Protein Bait
Type of the Article	Research Paper

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The idea of the article is acceptable, but the authors were not able to present it well. The authors are kindly requested to resubmit the article in good format The abstract was general, please rewrite The results were good but presented in a concise manner Please see comments in the text	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Responses of Cucurbit Fruit fly, <i>Zeugodacus cucurbitae</i> Coquillette to Gel Formulations of Protein Bait	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	cited the study period. rephrase sentences	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I believe that the clarity and impact of your paper could be enhanced through some adjustments to the language and style used throughout the manuscript. Here are a few suggestions that may help improve the overall presentation: - Striving for clearer and more concise language would greatly enhance readability, by simplifying complex sentences into shorter, correct any grammatical errors and awkward phrasing.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Standardize reference format. -missing references cited in the text but not in the reference list -they didn't follow the paper guidelines correctly	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	X	
Optional/General comments	Acceptance with minor revisions, very interesting article with a good interpretation of the results PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hamdi Rayene
Department, University & Country	University 8 Mai 1945 Guelma, Algeria