

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Archives of Current Research International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ACRI_129793 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Role of Student's Perceived Stress on Academic Performance: A Case of Business Schools of Karachi |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | Understanding stressors and stress levels among university students is crucial for enhancing academic success and overall well-being. Identifying stressors enables institutions to address challenges like academic load, financial pressures, and social dynamics effectively. Monitoring stress levels helps in developing targeted interventions, such as counseling, time management workshops, and support networks. By mitigating stress, universities can enhance student performance, retention, and mental health. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Impact of Stressors on Academic Performance: A Case Study of Business Students in Karachi | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ Better concise abstract into different heading like Back ground and rationale, Methodology, Results and conclusion.❖ Complete rephrasing of Line number 16-20 (Page number 1).Eg: The results have revealed that academic load and financial constraints have a positive and significant effect on perceived stress.❖ Line number 9-14(Page number 1) concise in one or two sentence. Avoid repetition | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | <ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets eg: [3].❖ The reference format does not comply with the author guidelines | |

Review Form 3

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Needs lot of improvement | |
| Optional/General comments | <p>There are lot of modification needed in all section of articles</p> <p>In Introduction section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ Highlight the context and back ground by emphasizing the broader context of academic performance and its importance in a student's life and future.❖ Mention stress as a factor experienced by students due to academic, social, and personal challenges and enumerate different stressors.❖ Significance of the Problem should be added :Importance of understanding the relationship between stress and academic performance is critical, such as its impact on mental health, productivity, and career outcomes.❖ Including recent studies showing rising stress levels among students(Professional and non professional college.❖ Research Gap should be mentioned on how perceived stress specifically affects academic performance.❖ Clearly state the purpose of your study like “ to explore or analyze how students' perceived stress levels influence their academic performance”. <p>In Materials and methods section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ Complete methodology has to be rewritten in headings like Materials used for study,Study setting,Study population,Inclusion and exclusion criteria,sample size calculation,study design and statistical analysis.❖ Mention clearly the data collection procedures.❖ Inclusion and exclusion criteria are not there in methodology.❖ Reliability and validity of research instrument should be stated❖ Line number 80-84 in page number 3 is not ambiguous. <p>In Result section:</p> <p>Sampling technique description is not needed in result section. In table no 1 (Demographic details) mistakes are there.Total participants included is 384,but male are 96 and female are 287.</p> <p>In Discussion section</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ Rewrite the whole discussion session.Enrich your discussion section by integrating your study's findings with relevant literature in the discussion section❖ Remove repetitive details about individual studies while maintaining key findings.❖ Organize studies into different themes (e.g., stress contributors, mitigating factors) for clarity.❖ Focus on implications and future directions to enhance relevance.❖ Improve readability by connecting findings across studies. <p>In Limitations of Research section :Line number 339-340 should be removed</p> <p>In Conclusion section:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">❖ The word ‘conclusion’ is getting repeating in Line number 341 and 349 in page 16.❖ Line number 349-351 should be avoided in conclusion section.❖ Remove repetitive phrasing for a smoother read.❖ Present findings in a clear, step-by-step progression from the problem (stress factors) to the solutions❖ Use active voice and suitable phrasing to highlight key insights.C❖ Conclude with a forward-looking statement emphasizing broader significance of the findings. | |

Review Form 3

PART 2:

| | | |
|--|---|---|
| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Resmy Raj A |
| Department, University & Country | SDM Institute of Ayurveda and Hospital, India |