

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_128460
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and Life Style Assessment of Vegan Population in Chandigarh Tricity: A Detailed Survey
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper clearly penetrates into the vicissitude of the vegan picture within Chandigarh Tricity. With the detailed survey designs and extensive analyses, it sheds light on the dietary preferences, as well as the challenges and motivations of that population. The most important outcome then manifests itself in terms of regional trends of veganism and can help policymakers, businesses, and researchers give preference to sustainable diet choices or possible community awareness. The manuscript is extremely relevant, particularly in the context of rising environmental and ethical considerations associated with food consumption.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title at present is perfectly in tune with available time; however, for improved clarity and precision, one may find a better version as: "Lifestyle Assessment and Motivations of Vegan Population in Chandigarh Tricity: Insights from a Comprehensive Survey."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Summary is comprehensive in that it succinctly expresses objectives, findings, and implications of the study. It would be better if the sample size (250 respondents) and few major findings regarding motivations and obstacles towards veganism are included.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It bears much potential attention as it is well analyzed scientifically and has all supporting claims with extensive references. Recent veganism and sustainability studies (2023-24) addition to this could further the discussion.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	There are reasonable references, well cited, since they over a wide range of literature relevant to the manuscript. A few more recent articles or publications (2023-2024) would enhance the relevance of the manuscript to that of the current era.	

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, the manuscript's language adequately allows for scholarly communication, but with a few minor grammatical and stylistic enhancements, it can be made to read even more easily. For instance: Replace "peoples" with "people" throughout the manuscript. Make sure there is consistent use of tenses and terminologies.	
Optional/General comments	<p>Involved in adding visual elements such as charts or infographics summarizing the main points of information-for instance, on diets and motives-could improve engagement with the audience. This puts forward a suggestion to further explore our findings with regard to international vegan trends or perhaps contrast them with non-urban Indian contexts.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">Update references with recent studies to strengthen the manuscript's relevance.Revise grammatical errors and ensure consistency in language.Include additional visuals (e.g., pie charts or bar graphs) to make data interpretation easier for readers. <p>Expand the discussion on global implications and policy recommendations based on findings.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Syed Mahmood Shahidul Islam
Department, University & Country	Z H Sikder Women's Medical College & Hospital, Bangladesh