Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_123414
Title of the Manuscript:	CHILD PROTECTION AWARENESS AMONG CHILDREN IN SELECTED SCHOOLS IN MUNALI CONSTITUENCY OF LUSAKA, ZAMBIA.
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Reviewer's comment Reverder feedback here) Researcher be sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions	0	Davis and a suppose	And and Frank and Other and the second of th
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you think that this manuscript a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript to the scientific community. Why do you think that this manuscript. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Manuscript topic is satisfactory. Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Please reword the title. Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Am of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Researcher and protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Am of the study is bit confusion, In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Am of the study is bit confusion, In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child Protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Am of the study is bit confusion. Am of the study is bit confusion. Subsections are satisfactory. Subsections are satisfac	Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript of the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically and the section? If the section is sentences are sentifically of you think that this manuscript is scientifically and the section? If the section is sentences are sentifically and the scientific correctness of this manuscript is scientifically and in the section? If the section is sentences are sentifically and the scientific correctness of this manuscript is scientifically and in this manuscript is scientifically and in this manuscript is scientifically and in the section? If the section is satisfactory, and a set is factory. Balance revisions. Mention the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researcher only paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Please reword the title. Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constitutions in the section of the situal protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constitutions in the section of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constitutions. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript is satisfactory. Subsections are satisfactory. Subsections are satisfactory. Subsections ar			
importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Please write a few sentences regarding the scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be revisions. Researche ronly paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researche ronly paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researche ronly paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Researche ronly paid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several revisions. Please reword the title. Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	Places write a few conteness regarding the	Manusarint tonia is estisfactory	Tils/fier reeuback fiere)
community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript ? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Please write a few sentences regarding the scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences regarding the scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences regarding the scientifically robust and a structure of the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences regarding the scientifically robust and structure of the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences regarding the scientifically robust and the robust part of the sources of child protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Please rword the title. Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned		Manuscript topic is satisfactory.	
manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. Is the title of the article suitable? (if not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Please reword the title. Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.		Researcher only naid attention to the sources of child protection knowledge. Need several	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.		, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Is the title of the article suitable? ((If not please suggest an alternative title) Please reword the title.		TOVISIONS.	
(If not please suggest an alternative title) Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	rodunou for tino parti		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	Is the title of the article suitable?	Please reword the title.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Aim of the study is bit confusing. In several places it is mentioned that the aim is to explore the sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	(If not please suggest an alternative title)	Eg. Knowledge on Child Protection among Children: A Study on Selected Schools in Munali	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Research among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	, , ,	Constituency of Lusaka, Zambia.	
you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Sources of knowledge of child protection among children. It is better to consider some more areas into this. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Research a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.			
points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.			
Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Research as considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.			
Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations. Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	•	areas into this.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Mention the limitations. Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	suggestions here.		
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.		Avoid words like 'however' and abbreviations.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Subsections are satisfactory. Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.		Montion the limitations	
appropriate? Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Research objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Research qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.		Mention the limitations.	
appropriate? Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Research objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Research qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	Are subsections and structure of the manuscript	Subsections are satisfactory.	
Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Structure needs some revisions. Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions. Research Questions. Research qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.	•	,	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.		Structure needs some revisions.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 Researcher has considered a mixed approach: qualitative and quantitative, and a satisfactory number of sample.			
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4		Provide Research Objectives (General and Specific), Research Questions.	
scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4	Di ii (ii		
you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4			
robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4		number of Sample.	
contonioco may be required for this parti			
	Sentence may be required for time parts		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you Adequate references and the Researcher has considered recent articles.	Are the references sufficient and recent? If you	Adequate references and the Researcher has considered recent articles.	
have suggestions of additional references, please			
mention them in the review form.	mention them in the review form.		
<u>-</u>	<u>:</u>		

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments	Researcher has to pay attention to the academic research writing.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Avoid vague words and use research key terms where it is necessary.	
Optional/General comments	Explain more about the interview schedule. Is it a structured, semi-structured or unstructured with open-ended questions? What about the Table 5 in the manuscript? Did the author do a pilot study? Because, the sample consists of school children and the research discusses highly sensitive topic, and, thus, researcher should avoid having vague questions and sensitive questions.	
	Focus Group Discussion: Researcher has to mention whether he/she considered same questions or different questions.	
	Questionnaires: Need to mention the names of pre-developed questionnaires used in the study.	
	Mention the limitations of the study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pinnawalage Harshani Avanthika Pinnawala
Department, University & Country	Sri Lanka

Created by: DR Checked by: PM Approved by: MBM Version: 3 (07-07-2024)