

# **Influence of Tillage and Nutrient Management on Growth and Yield of Maize: A Review**

## **ABSTRACT**

Tillage practices significantly influence maize growth, yield, and soil health by altering the physical, chemical, and biological properties of soil. Conventional tillage generally enhances plant height, dry matter accumulation, and leaf area index, with notable benefits for grain yield and biomass production. In contrast, reduced and zero tillage systems improve soil conservation and promote stable production. Ridge and raised bed planting methods often outperform conventional and zero tillage, offering higher yields and better resource use efficiency. Permanent bed planting with residue retention further optimizes maize productivity by improving soil structure and nutrient cycling. Site-Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM) emerges as a vital approach to address nutrient imbalances and enhance fertilizer use efficiency. By tailoring nutrient applications to crop-specific and site-specific needs, SSNM significantly boosts maize growth and yield attributes, including cob length, grain weight, and biological yield. Studies reveal that SSNM outperforms conventional fertilization techniques by improving nutrient recovery rates, reducing environmental impacts, and maximizing economic returns. This review highlights the synergistic effect of tillage and SSNM on maize performance, emphasizing the need for integrated management practices to achieve sustainable agricultural productivity in diverse agro-ecological systems. The findings underscore the potential of these approaches to bridge yield gaps and support resilient cropping systems.

*Keywords: Maize, Tillage, SSNM, Yield*

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

South Asia's recent population surge, particularly in India, has heightened demand for food, fiber, and fodder, with the global reliance on staple cereals like rice, wheat, and maize. While India's crop production has tripled since 1965, food security faces threats from stagnant yields and climate change. The population, projected to reach 9 billion by 2050, further exacerbates these challenges, straining agriculture already burdened by resource-intensive practices, soil degradation, water scarcity, and climate-induced adversities. Addressing these issues requires sustainable innovations like conservation agriculture, precision farming, and crop diversification. Maize (*Zea mays* L.), termed the "miracle crop" for its adaptability and high genetic potential, plays a pivotal role in Indian agriculture. As the fourth-largest maize producer globally, India dedicates 10.4 million ha to maize cultivation, yielding 33.2 million tonnes annually. In West Bengal, maize contributes 3.68% to national production with a yield of 7158 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Used for food, feed, starch, and industrial alcohol, maize demand is predicted to rise to 55 million tonnes by 2030, driven by poultry, pharmaceutical, and biofuel industries. Sustainable practices like conservation agriculture emphasize minimal tillage, permanent soil cover, and crop rotations to improve soil health, resource use efficiency, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

Conservation tillage enhances soil organic carbon, moisture retention, and nutrient efficiency, proving socioeconomically and environmentally beneficial. Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) complements conservation agriculture by optimizing nutrient use through field-specific recommendations based on soil and crop needs. Tools like the Nutrient Expert® software assist in tailoring fertilizer applications, improving yields, and minimizing environmental impact. SSNM's 4R principle (right source, dose, technique, and time) ensures efficient nutrient use, enhancing maize productivity, soil health, and profitability. Integrating conservation agriculture with SSNM and advanced

decision-support tools offers sustainable solutions to India's agricultural challenges, balancing productivity, environmental conservation, and economic viability. These practices are crucial for meeting the growing food demand while preserving natural resources for future generations.

## 2.1 EFFECT OF TILLAGE PRACTICES ON GROWTH PARAMETERS

Studies have shown that reduced and direct tillage systems result in decreased maize plant height compared to conventional tillage, as reported by Blecharczyk *et al.* (2004). Khurshid *et al.* (2006) observed that tillage methods significantly influenced growth, with the highest plant height (214.94 cm) recorded under conventional tillage. Fernandes *et al.* (2007) emphasized that soil management practices, including conventional tillage, chisel ploughing + levelling disk, and no-tillage systems, help conserve the soil's physical, chemical, and biological properties, promoting better plant growth. Saha *et al.* (2010) reported that tillage treatments significantly increased plant height, while residue retention showed no significant effect in the initial years, as noted by Najafinezhad *et al.* (2007). Singh *et al.* (2011) recorded the tallest maize plants under fresh raised beds (212 cm) compared to permanent beds with residue (211.7 cm), conventional tillage (210.0 cm), zero tillage with residue (210.0 cm), and zero tillage without residue (195.0 cm). Similarly, Singh *et al.* (2009) reported the tallest maize plants under zero tillage on permanent beds, followed by zero till flat, zero till bed without residue, and conventional tillage.

Ram *et al.* (2010) found that plant height, dry matter accumulation, and leaf area index under different conventional and zero-till practices were statistically at par. Akbarnia *et al.* (2010) noted that reduced tillage resulted in the highest dry mass compared to conventional and no-tillage practices. Kumar and Kumar (2018) reported that maize exhibited the highest leaf area index under permanent bed tillage compared to conventional tillage. Zero tillage practices were found to achieve stable production comparable to conventional tillage, with enhanced shoot growth in maize (De and Bandyopadhyay, 2013). Kutu (2012) observed significantly higher plant height in conventional tillage over zero tillage and minimum tillage, while the number of leaves per plant was higher in minimum tillage, which was at par with conventional tillage. Khan *et al.* (2009) reported significantly taller maize plants (221 cm) under minimum tillage compared to conventional and deep tillage. Conventional tillage showed a significantly higher leaf area index (3.07) compared to minimum and deep tillage.

Parihar *et al.* (2015) recorded the highest plant height, dry matter accumulation, and leaf area index under zero tillage with residue compared to other tillage methods, including conventional tillage with and without residue and permanent beds. Singh *et al.* (2018) highlighted the superiority of bed planting in terms of biomass production and grain yield over zero tillage and conventional tillage. Wasaya *et al.* (2012) observed that conventional tillage (2 cultivations), tillage with moldboard plough + 2 cultivations, and tillage with chisel plough + 2 cultivations resulted in high plant height, leaf area index, and dry matter accumulation. Memon *et al.* (2013) found that deep tillage produced the tallest plants with more leaves than conventional and zero tillage. Ita *et al.* (2014) reported a significant difference in plant height, with zero tillage and glyphosate application resulting in taller plants (1.89 m) compared to conventional tillage with hand weeding (1.69 m). Javeed *et al.* (2014) noted greater dry matter and crop growth rates in zero tillage sown crops than in conventional and deep tillage.

Khan *et al.* (2014) observed the highest dry matter accumulation in conventional tillage, with a 4% increase in minimum tillage after anthesis compared to deep tillage. Agber *et al.* (2017) reported significantly higher plant height and leaf area under ridged tillage than no tillage, minimum tillage, and flatbed tillage. Khan *et al.* (2008) found that minimum tillage and conventional tillage resulted in higher leaf area and leaf area index. Aikins *et al.* (2012) observed that disc harrowing produced higher plant height, leaf area index, and number of leaves per plant compared to no-tillage practices, with the least values recorded under conventional tillage (ploughing and harrowing). You *et al.* (2017) found that short-term reduced tillage, such as no-tillage and rotary tillage, promoted shoot biomass compared to plough tillage. Saha *et al.* (2023) reported higher values for plant height, dry matter accumulation, leaf area index, and crop growth rate under permanent beds with residue compared to no residue in both zero-tillage and conventional tillage practices.

## 2.2 EFFECT OF TILLAGE PRACTICES ON YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS

Maize demonstrates exceptional adaptability to various soils and climatic conditions, making it suitable for diverse cropping systems in India. Tillage and mulching practices greatly influence critical yield attributes, such as cob length, cob girth, grains per cob, grain yield, and stover yield. Khan *et al.* (2008)

observed that both minimum and conventional tillage resulted in a greater number of grains per cob, 1000-grain weight, and biological yield compared to deep tillage. Ridge planting notably produced a higher number of cobs per plant, increased grain quantity, and improved biological yield (Bakht *et al.*, 2006). Ridge and furrow systems were found to enhance grain and stover yields by 18% and 10.1%, respectively, compared to zero tillage, while raised beds showed yield increases of 14.5% and 8.6% (Choudhury *et al.*, 2013).

Research by Sepat & Rana (2013) found no significant differences in the 1000-grain weight of maize across various tillage systems, including permanent beds with residue, zero till flats with residue, and fresh beds with residue. In one study, conventional tillage recorded the highest mean grain yield (2183 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), whereas no-till systems had the lowest mean yield (1286 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Sharma *et al.*, 2010). Zero tillage, however, increased grain yield by reducing weed population and dry weight compared to no tillage (Sharma & Gautam, 2010). Permanent bed planting increased maize and cotton yields by 8% and 24%, respectively, compared to conventional bed planting (Hakim *et al.*, 2011). Videnovic *et al.* (2011) reported that conventional tillage outperformed both reduced and no-tillage systems in maize yield. Yadav *et al.* (2015) showed that conventional tillage with raised beds resulted in the longest and thickest cobs, along with the highest grain row count per cob, compared to other tillage practices.

Thierfelder *et al.* (2015) documented a 24–40% maize yield increase over time with no-tillage and residue retention versus conventional systems. Ramesh *et al.* (2016) found no significant differences in green cob, green fodder, biological yield, and harvest index across various tillage systems. Parihar *et al.* (2016) reported that zero tillage provided maximum yield attributes for maize, though wheat performed better on permanent beds in initial years. Li *et al.* (2015) demonstrated that conservation tillage improved soil properties, leading to better crop yields. Additionally, Parihar *et al.* (2018) observed higher yield attributes (cobs per m<sup>2</sup>, cob length, and grains per cob) in zero tillage than in conventional tillage.

Singha *et al.* (2018) reported that bed planting improved grain yield by 13–16.9% compared to conventional and zero tillage. Similarly, Basavanneppa *et al.* (2017) found bed planting superior to conventional and zero-tillage practices. Nwite *et al.* (2017) noted that deep tillage produced significantly higher grain yield and harvest index than zero and shallow tillage. Khan *et al.* (2014) recorded the highest harvest index (18.73%) in conventional tillage, which was 2.93% greater than deep tillage. Kumar *et al.* (2018) identified improved yield attributes, including cob count, cob length, grain weight, and yield under bed planting. Aditi *et al.* (2019) found no significant yield differences between zero and conventional tillage, while permanent bed planting and strip tillage resulted in higher yields than conventional tillage. Omara *et al.* (2019) showed higher wheat yields under no-tillage compared to conventional tillage, and found that deep and conventional tillage provided better yield attributes and biomass than reduced tillage. Hasnain *et al.* (2021) documented higher grain yield and protein content in maize under permanent raised beds compared to conventional tillage systems.

## 2.3 EFFECT OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON GROWTH PARAMETERS

Sapkota *et al.* (2021) identified SSNM as a sustainable approach that optimizes fertilizer management to meet the nutritional demands of crops, including maize. Plant height, a critical growth parameter, is directly linked to the productive potential of plants in terms of grain yield. Optimal plant height is positively correlated with productivity (Zhang *et al.*, 2017; Lan *et al.*, 2023). Ren (2022) also reported a positive correlation between plant height and grain yield, with taller plants yielding better. Asghar *et al.* (2010) found that plant height increased linearly with NPK application, with the maximum plant height (198.55 cm) achieved at 250-110-85 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, compared to the minimum (143.60 cm) observed in the control. Similar results were reported by Ekwere *et al.* (2013). Rehman *et al.* (2010) recorded the tallest plants (216.5 cm) with a full nitrogen dose of 250 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, while the shortest (184.5 cm) were observed with no fertilizer.

Studies by Ibrahim *et al.* (2022) and Muhammad *et al.* (2022) also confirmed increased plant height with higher nitrogen rates. Reddy *et al.* (2019) reported a maximum plant height of 160 cm at 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> nitrogen application, significantly higher than the 90 cm observed at 90 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Roshini *et al.* (2022) observed an increase in maize plant height from 130 cm to 193 cm as nitrogen application rose from 0 to 240 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Govindasamy *et al.* (2023) similarly documented an increase from 190 cm to 201 cm with nitrogen levels increasing from 60 to 180 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Sivamurugan *et al.* (2017), in a kharif experiment at Coimbatore, reported that RDF (250:75:75 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) resulted in the tallest plants, on

par with STCR (232:99:37.5 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and superior to SSNM (110:61:90 NPK kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), likely due to prolonged vegetative growth.

Walter *et al.* (2017) observed that site-specific nitrogen management resulted in a higher leaf area than conventional and control treatments. Nitrogen fertilizer also significantly affects maize leaf area (Tofa *et al.*, 2022). Berdjour *et al.* (2020) recorded the highest number of leaves (32.10), leaf area per plant (1600 cm<sup>2</sup>), and leaf area index (0.853) with 600 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, 120% higher than the control. Szabo *et al.* (2022) reported maximum total leaf area (4161.5 cm<sup>2</sup>) and leaf area index (2.22) at 300 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to lower rates (0, 150, 200, and 250 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>). Obidiebube *et al.* (2012) found the highest total leaf area (5489 cm<sup>2</sup>) in plants fertilized with 0.15 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> (15:15:15), followed by 0.10 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> (5321 cm<sup>2</sup>), with the lowest (4221 cm<sup>2</sup>) in unfertilized plants.

Ogbomo and Ogbomo (2009) demonstrated that maize dry matter production was 11.76%, 19.49%, and 115% higher at 600 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> than at 400, 200, and 0 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Kumar *et al.* (2014) found significant improvements in growth parameters, including crop growth rate and relative growth rate, with SSNM over RDF. SSNM significantly enhanced dry matter accumulation at various growth stages (Kumar *et al.*, 2014). Singh *et al.* (2012) concluded that increasing nitrogen levels from 0 to 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> significantly improved dry weight per plant, though 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was on par with 120 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Meena *et al.* (2012) observed higher crop growth rates with nitrogen application up to 150 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Chetan (2015) found that applying 367:143:226 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O through SSNM for a target yield of 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in greater plant height (215.45 cm and 216.14 cm) and leaf area index (4.31) at 90 DAS and harvest, compared to other techniques. Sinha (2016) reported significantly higher plant height, leaf area, dry matter accumulation, and crop growth rate with SSNM compared to RDF under conservation agriculture. Anand *et al.* (2017) recorded significantly taller plants (267.42 cm) and more leaves per plant (17.67) with SSNM for a 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> target yield, compared to state-recommended fertilizer. Pooniya *et al.* (2015) noted a significant increase in CGR across growth stages with SSNM, except during the 0–30 days period, where CGR was comparable to 100% RDF.

## 2.4 EFFECT OF NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON YIELD AND YIELD PARAMETERS

Bakht *et al.* (2006) found that ridge planting combined with 200 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> resulted in the highest maize yields, improving grain production metrics such as the number of cobs and grains per cob. Similarly, Biradar *et al.* (2006) observed superior yields in rice, wheat, and chickpea under SSNM compared to conventional fertilization methods. Amanullah *et al.* (2009) reported optimal biological yields in maize with nitrogen applied in four to five split doses at a high plant density, with 180 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> producing the best results. Ahmad *et al.* (2009) demonstrated that conventional tillage and 120 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup>, applied in two stages (pre-planting and post-planting), achieved the best maize yields.

Onasanya *et al.* (2009) noted significantly higher maize yields with a combination of 120 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> and 40 kg phosphorus ha<sup>-1</sup> compared to other nutrient combinations. Murni *et al.* (2010) reported a 19% yield increase (1.5 mg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in maize under SSNM, where nitrogen was the limiting nutrient, followed by phosphorus and potassium. Ghaffari *et al.* (2011) observed notable maize yield improvements by supplementing the recommended NPK dose with a single multi-nutrient spray, enhancing grain rows per cob, grains per cob, and 100-grain weight. Pampolino *et al.* (2012) highlighted that the Nutrient Expert for Hybrid Maize (NEHM) tool increased maize yields by 0.9 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in Indonesia and 1.6 t ha<sup>-1</sup> in the Philippines compared to conventional practices.

Jat *et al.* (2013) recorded significantly higher maize yields under SSNM across multiple regions, outperforming state recommendations. Kumar *et al.* (2014) corroborated these findings, reporting improved yield attributes, such as cob length, girth, and grain rows per cob, under SSNM compared to reduced and standard fertilizer doses. Sapkota *et al.* (2017) noted significantly enhanced maize grain yields with nitrogen applications up to 240 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Zothanmawii *et al.* (2018) found that 180 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> improved cob length, girth, and grain weight. Pasuquin *et al.* (2014) observed a 13% maize yield increase under SSNM compared to farmer practices, and Kumar *et al.* (2015) reported similarly enhanced yields with SSNM over reduced and standard doses. Joshi *et al.* (2018) demonstrated that SSNM targeting a 10 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yield produced the highest stover yield. Anand *et al.* (2017) noted that SSNM treatments yielded significantly more stover than conventional methods. Shahi *et al.* (2020) concluded that SSNM with 200:120:100 N:P:K in maize outperformed traditional practices (180:91:71 N:P:K), with

yield reductions of 10–15% in plots lacking phosphorus or potassium and up to 80% reductions without nitrogen.

Jat *et al.* (2018) showed that SSNM improved maize grain yield, cob length, cob weight, and grain count per cob compared to farmer practices and recommended fertilizer doses. Meena *et al.* (2014) observed increased maize productivity and micronutrient uptake with SSNM in Udaipur, with 17–18% higher grain, stover, and biological yields than control treatments. Singh *et al.* (2020) demonstrated that nutrient expert-based SSNM increased maize grain yield over conventional doses and farmer practices, affirming SSNM's role in improving productivity across regions. Phillippi *et al.* (2018) reported significant yield gains in maize with nitrogen application based on the Nutrient Expert tool. Similarly, Sharma *et al.* (2019) found that 150 kg nitrogen ha<sup>-1</sup> produced the highest maize grain yield compared to lower and higher nitrogen levels. Singh *et al.* (2015) highlighted a 38.1% maize yield increase with SSNM over farmer practices due to its efficient nutrient supply in line with crop demand.

SSNM's benefits extend beyond maize. Mohanta *et al.* (2021) reported yield improvements in rice using tools like the Green Seeker and Nutrient Expert, achieving 19.21% and 14.71% increases, respectively, over farmer practices. Shankar *et al.* (2021) observed increased rice yields with adequate nutrient supply, while Hasnain *et al.* (2021) found that combining the Nutrient Expert and Green Seeker tools outperformed standalone methods, improving grain yield, protein content, and protein yield. Shahi *et al.* (2020) emphasized the importance of optimized nutrient mixes (200:120:100 N:P:K) under SSNM, outperforming conventional methods and significantly reducing yields when nutrients were omitted, especially nitrogen (up to 80% reduction). Singh *et al.* (2015) confirmed similar benefits in Kanpur. Avinash *et al.* (2023) demonstrated that using a Leaf Colour Chart (LCC) nitrogen split along with nutrient expert recommendations increased rice yield by 80.37% compared to conventional practices, underscoring SSNM's potential for significant yield gains in various crops.

### 3. CONCLUSION

Conventional tillage generally promotes plant height, dry matter accumulation, and leaf area index, but conservation tillage practices such as zero tillage and ridge planting enhance soil health and long-term productivity. SSNM emerges as a cost-effective, sustainable approach that optimizes fertilizer use, meeting the nutrient demands of maize while improving yield attributes and environmental quality. Integrating SSNM with efficient tillage practices ensures higher productivity, profitability, and resource use efficiency, offering a pathway for sustainable intensification in maize-based cropping systems.

### DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE):

Author(s) hereby declares that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Aditi, K., Chander, G., Laxminarayana, P., Wani, S. P., Narender Reddy, S., & Padmaja, G. (2019). Impact of tillage and residue management on sustainable food and nutritional security. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 8(10), 1742-1750.
- Agber, P. I., Akubo, J. Y., & Abagyeh, S. O. I. (2017). Effect of tillage and mulch on growth and performance of maize in Makurdi, Benue State, Nigeria. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 2(6), 238979.
- Ahmad, I., Iqbal, M., Ahmad, B., Ahmad, G., & Shah, N. H. (2009). Maize yield, plant tissue, and residual soil as affected by nitrogen management and tillage systems. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 1(1), 19-29.
- Aikins, S. H. M., Afuakwa, J. J., & Owusu-Akuoko, O. (2012). Effect of four different tillage practices on maize performance under rainfed conditions. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America*, 3(1), 25–30.

- Akbarnia, A., Alimardani, R., & Baharloeyan, S. (2010). Performance comparison of three tillage systems in wheat farms. *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 4(8), 586–589.
- Amanullah, Bahadar, K., Shah, P., Maula, N., & Arifullah, H. (2009). Nitrogen levels and its time of application influence leaf area, height, and biomass of maize planted at low and high density. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 41(2), 761-768.
- Anand, S. R., Vishwanatha, J., & Rajkumar, R. H. (2017). Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) using “Nutrient Expert” for hybrid maize (*Zea mays* L.) under zero tillage in Thungabhadra Project (TBP) command area of Karnataka. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(8), 3597–3605.
- Asghar, A., Ali, A., Syed, W. H., Asif, M., Khaliq, T., & Abid, A. A. (2010). Growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) cultivars affected by NPK application in different proportion. *Pakistan Journal of Science*, 62(4), 211–216.
- Avinash, B., Banerjee, M., Duvvada, S. K., Paul, S. K., & Malik, G. C. (2023). Response of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties to nutrient management in kharif season under lateritic soil of West Bengal. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, 15(8), 326-333.
- Bakht, J., Ahmad, S., Tariq, M., Akber, H., & Shafi, M. (2006). Response of maize to planting methods and fertilizer N. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 1(3), 605-607.
- Bakht, J., Ahmad, S., Tariq, M., Akber, H., & Shafi, M. (2006). Response of maize to planting methods and fertilizer N. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 1(3), 605-607.
- Basavanneppa, M. A., Gaddi, M. A., Chittapur, B. M., & Basavarajappa, R. (2017). Yield maximization through resource conservation technologies under maize-chickpea cropping system in vertisols of Tunga Bhadra command project area of Karnataka. *Research on Crops*, 18(2), 225.
- Berdjour, A., Dugje, I., Nurudeen, A. R., Odoom, D., Kamara, A., & Ajala, S. (2020). Direct estimation of maize leaf area index as influenced by organic and inorganic fertilizer rates in Guinea Savanna. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, 12, 66.
- Biradar, D. P., Aladakatti, Y. R., Rao, T. N., & Tiwari, K. N. (2006). Site-specific nutrient management for maximization of crop yields in Northern Karnataka. *Better Crops*, 90(3), 33-35.
- Blecharczyk, A., Małecka, I., & Skrzypczak, G. (2004). Effect of reduced tillage on yield, weed infestation of maize, and soil properties. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Agricultura*, 3(1), 157–163.
- Chetan, H. T. (2015). Site-specific nutrient management for target yield in maize hybrids under irrigated situation (M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis). University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad, Karnataka, India.
- Choudhury, B. U., Singh, A. K., & Pradhan, S. (2013). Estimation of crop coefficients of dry seeded irrigated rice-wheat rotation on raised beds by field water balance method in the Indo-Gangetic plain India. *Agricultural Water Management*, 123(C), 20-31.
- De, B., & Bandyopadhyay, S. (2013). Influence of soil conservation techniques on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the Terai region of West Bengal. *SAARC Journal Agriculture*, 11(1), 133–147.
- Ekwere, O. J., Mouneke, C. O., Eka, M. J., & Osodeke, V. E. (2013). Growth and yield parameters of maize and egusi melon in intercrop as influenced by the cropping system and different rates of NPK fertilizer. *Journal of Agricultural Crop Research*, 1(5), 69–75.
- Fernandes, F. C. S., Alves, M. C., & Silva, M. M. Da. (2007). Crop yield and physical characteristics of an Oxisol affected by the management system. *Revista Brasileira de Milho e Sorgo*, 6(3), 297–308.
- Ghaffari, A., Ali, A., Tahir, M., Waseem, M., Ayub, M., Iqbal, A., & Mohsin, A. U. (2011). Influence of integrated nutrients on growth, yield, and quality of maize (*Zea mays* L.). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 2, 63-69.
- Govindasamy, P., Muthusamy, S. K., Bagavathiannan, M., Mowrer, J., Jagannadham, P. T. K., Maity, A., Halli, H. M., G. K., S., Vadivel, R., T. K., D., Raj, R., Pooniya, V., Babu, S., Rathore, S. S., L., M., & Tiwari, G. (2023). Nitrogen use efficiency—a key to enhance crop productivity under a changing climate. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1121073.

- Hakim, B., Helena, G. M., & Francisco, J. V. (2011). Permanent bed planting in irrigated Mediterranean conditions: Short-term effects on soil quality, crop yield, and water use efficiency. *An Institute of Agricultural Sustainability, Department of Agronomy, Universidad de Córdoba, Spain*.
- Hasnain, M., Singh, V. K., Rathaur, S. S., Shekhawat, K., Singh, R. K., Dwivedi, B. S., Upadhyaya, P. K., & Singh, S. (2021). Effect of site-specific nutrient management in conservation agriculture-based maize in north-western India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 66(2), 136-142.
- Hasnain, M., Singh, V. K., Rathaur, S. S., Shekhawat, K., Singh, R. K., Dwivedi, B. S., Upadhyaya, P. K., & Singh, S. (2021). Effect of site-specific nutrient management in conservation agriculture-based maize in north-western India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 66(2), 136-142.
- Ibrahim, I. A. E., Yehia, W. M. B., Saleh, F. H., Lamlom, S. F., Ghareeb, R. Y., El-Banna, A. A. A., & Abdelsalam, N. R. (2022). Impact of plant spacing and nitrogen rates on growth characteristics and yield attributes of Egyptian cotton (*Gossypium barbadense* L.). *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 916734.
- Ita, B. N., Ariga, E. S., Michieka, R. W., & Muir, W. M. (2014). Comparative efficiency of tillage practices in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Current Agriculture Research Journal*, 2(2), 89–93.
- Jat, M. L., Gathala, M. K., Saharawat, Y. S., Tetarwal, J. P., Gupta, R., & Singh, Y. (2013). Double no-till and permanent raised beds in maize–wheat rotation of north-western Indo-Gangetic plains of India: Effects on crop yields, water productivity, profitability and soil physical properties. *Field Crops Research*, 149, 291-299.
- Jat, R. D., Jat, H. S., Nanwal, R. K., Yadav, A. K., Bana, A., Choudhary, K. M., Kakraliya, S. K., Sutaliya, J. M., Tek, B., Sapkota, S., & Jat, M. L. (2018). Conservation agriculture and precision nutrient management practices in maize-wheat system: Effects on crop and water productivity and economic profitability. *Field Crops Research*, 222, 111–120.
- Javeed, H. M. R., Zamir, M. S. I., Nadeem, M., Qamar, R., Shehzad, M., Sarwar, M. A., & Iqbal, S. (2014). Response of maize phenology and harvest index to tillage and poultry manure. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 51(3), 633–638.
- Joshi, N., Chandrashekara, C. P., & Potdar, M. P. (2018). Assessment of precision nutrient management techniques in maize and their effect on yield, nutrient use efficiency and economics. *International Journal of Applied and Pure Science and Agriculture*, 4(1), 13-20.
- Khan, A., Jan, M. T., Jan, A., Shah, Z., & Arif, M. (2014). Efficiency of dry matter and nitrogen accumulation and redistribution in wheat as affected by tillage and nitrogen management. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 37(5), 723–737.
- Khan, A., Jan, M. T., Jan, A., Shah, Z., & Arif, M. (2014). Efficiency of dry matter and nitrogen accumulation and redistribution in wheat as affected by tillage and nitrogen management. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 37(5), 723–737.
- Khan, A., Jan, M. T., Marwat, K. B., & Arif, M. (2009). Organic and inorganic nitrogen treatments effects on plant and yield attributes of maize in a different tillage system. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 41(1), 99–108.
- Khan, H. Z., Malik, M. A., & Saleem, M. F. (2008). Effect of rate and source of organic material on the production potential of spring maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 45(1), 40-43.
- Khan, H. Z., Malik, M. A., & Saleem, M. F. (2008). Effect of rate and source of organic material on the production potential of spring maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 45(1), 40-43.
- Khurshid, K., Iqbal, M., Arif, M. S., & Nawaz, A. (2006). Effect of tillage and mulch on soil physical properties and growth of maize. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 08(5), 593–596.
- Kumar, A., & Kumar, S. (2018). A review on impact of tillage and nutrient management on maize production in Indian scenario. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(09), 583–594.

- Kumar, P., Kumar, M., Kishor, K., & Kumar, R. (2018). Effect of nutrient management on yield and yield attributes of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under different tillage practices. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(2), 807-810.
- Kumar, V., Singh, A. K., Jat, S. L., Parihar, C. M., Pooniya, V., Sharma, S., & Singh, B. (2014). Influence of site-specific nutrient management on growth and yield of maize (*Zea mays*) under conservation tillage. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 59(4), 657-660.
- Kumar, V., Singh, A. K., Jat, S. L., Parihar, C. M., Pooniya, V., Singh, B., & Sharma, S. (2015). Precision nutrient and conservation agriculture practices for enhancing productivity, profitability, nutrient-use efficiencies and soil nutrient status of maize (*Zea mays*) hybrids. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 85(7), 926-930.
- Kutu, F. R. (2012). Effect of conservation agriculture management practices on maize productivity and selected soil quality indices under South Africa dryland conditions. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7(26), 3839-3846.
- Lan, D., Cao, L., Liu, M., Ma, F., Yan, P., Zhang, X., Hu, J., Niu, F., He, S., Cui, J., Yuan, X., Yang, J., Wang, Y., & Luo, X. (2023). The identification and characterization of a plant height and grain length-related gene *hfr131* in rice. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 14, 1152196.
- Li, H., He, J., Gao, H., Chen, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2015). The effect of conservation tillage on crop yield in China. *Frontiers in Agricultural Science and Engineering*, 2(2), 179-185.
- Meena, R. R., Purohit, H. S., Khatik, M. L., & Sumeriya, H. K. (2014). Productivity of maize (*Zea mays* L.) as influenced by site-specific nutrient management. *Annals of Agricultural Biological Research*, 19(1), 38-44.
- Meena, S. R., Kumar, A., Jat, N. K., Meena, B. P., Rana, D. S., & Idnani, L. K. (2012). Influence of nutrient sources on growth, productivity and economics of baby corn (*Zea mays*)-mungbean (*Vigna radiata*) cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 57(3), 217-221.
- Memon, S. Q., Mirjat, M. S., Mughal, A. Q., & Amjad, N. (2013). Effect of conventional and non-conventional tillage practices on maize production. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 29(2), 155-163.
- Mohanta, S., Banerjee, M., Malik, G. C., Shankar, T., Maitra, S., Ismail, I. A., Dessoky, E. S., Attia, A. O., & Hossain, A. (2021). Productivity and profitability of kharif rice are influenced by crop establishment methods and nitrogen management in the Lateritic belt of the Subtropical Region. *Agronomy*, 11(7), 1280.
- Muhammad, I., Yang, L., Ahmad, S., Farooq, S., Al-Ghamdi, A. A., Khan, A., Zeeshan, M., Elshikh, M. S., Abbasi, A. M., & Zhou, X-B. (2022). Nitrogen fertilizer modulates plant growth, chlorophyll pigments, and enzymatic activities under different irrigation regimes. *Agronomy*, 12(4), 845.
- Murni, A. M., Pasuquin, J. M., & Witt, C. (2010). Site-specific nutrient management for maize on Ultisols Lampung. *Journal of Tropical Soils*, 15(1), 49-54.
- Najafinezhad, H., Ali Javaheri, M., Gheibi, M., & Ali Rostami, M. (2007). Influence of tillage practices on the grain yield of maize and some soil properties in maize-wheat cropping system of Iran. *Journal of Agriculture and Social Sciences*, 3, 87-90.
- Nwite, J. N., & Okolo, C. C. (2017). Organic carbon dynamics and changes in some physical properties of soil and their effect on grain yield of maize under conservation tillage practices in Abakaliki, Nigeria. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 12(26), 2215-2222.
- Obidiebube, E. A., Achebe, U. A., Akparobi, S. O., & Kator, P. E. (2012). Effect of different levels of NPK (15:15:15) on the growth and yield of maize in rainforest agro-ecological zone. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 2(12), 1103-1106.
- Ogbomo, K. E. L., & Ogbomo, J. E. L. (2009). The performance of *Zea mays* as influenced by NPK fertilizer application. *Notulae Scientia Biologicae*, 1(1), 59-62.



- Omara, P., Aula, L., Eickhoff, E. M., Dhillon, J. S., Lynch, T., Wehmeyer, G. B., & Raun, W. (2019). Influence of no-tillage on soil organic carbon, total soil nitrogen, and winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) grain yield. *International Journal of Agronomy*, 1-8.
- Onasanya, R. O., Aiyelari, O. P., Onasanya, A., Oikeh, S., Nwilene, F. E., & Oyelakin, O. O. (2009). Growth and yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to different rates of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizers in southern Nigeria. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 5(4), 400-407.
- Pampolino, M. F., Witt, C., Pasuquin, J. M., Johnston, A., & Fisher, M. J. (2012). Development approach and evaluation of the nutrient expert software for nutrient management in cereal crops. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 88, 103-110.
- Parihar, C. M., Jat, S. L., Singh, A. K., Kumar, B., Pradhan, S., Pooniya, V., Dhauja, A., Chaudhary, V., Jat, M. L., Jat, R. K., & Yadav, O. P. (2016). Conservation agriculture in irrigated intensive maize-based systems of north-western India: Effects on crop yields, water productivity, and economic profitability. *Field Crops Research*, 193, 104-116.
- Parihar, C. M., Yadav, M. R., Jat, S. L., & Singh, A. K. (2018). Long term conservation agriculture and intensified cropping systems: Effect on growth, yield, water and energy-use efficiency of maize in North-Western India. *Pedosphere*, 28(6), 952-963.
- Parihar, M. D., Nanwal, R. K., Kumar, P., Kumar, S., Singh, A. K., Chaudhary, V., Parmar, H., & Jat, M. L. (2015). Effect of tillage practices and cropping system on growth and yield of maize grown in sequence with wheat and chickpea. *Annals of Agriculture Research New Series*, 36(2), 177-183.
- Pasuquin, J. M., Pampolino, C., Witt, A., Dobermann, T., Oberthur, M. J., & Fisher, K. (2014). Closing yield gaps in maize production in Southeast Asia through site-specific nutrient management. *Field Crops Research*, 156, 219-230.
- Phillippi, E., Khosla, R., Turk, P., & Longchamps, L. (2018). Precision nitrogen and water management for enhancing efficiency and productivity in irrigated maize. *International Conference on Precision Agriculture*, June 24-27, 2018, Montreal, Quebec, Canada.
- Pooniya, V., Jat, S. L., Choudhary, A. K., Singh, A. K., Parihar, C. M., Bana, R. S., Swarnalakshmi, K., & Rana, K. S. (2015). Nutrient Expert assisted site-specific nutrient management: An alternative precision fertilization technology for maize-wheat cropping system in South-Asian Indo-Gangetic Plains. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 85(8), 996-1002.
- Ram, H., Kler, D. S., Singh, Y., & Kumar, K. (2010). Productivity of maize-wheat system under different tillage and crop establishment practices. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55(3), 185-190.
- Ramesh, R., Rana, S. S., Kumar, S., & Rana, R. S. (2016). Impact of different tillage methods on growth, development and productivity of maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 8(4), 1861-1867.
- Reddy, V. G., Kumar, R., Baba, A. Y., Raviteja, T., & Teja, M. (2019). Effect of combined levels of nitrogen and zinc on yield and yield attributes of kharif maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 8(4), 1993-1995.
- Rehman, S. S., Khalif, K., Muhammad, F., Rehman, A., Khan, A. Z., Saljoki, A. R., Zubair, M., & Khalil, I. H. (2010). Phenology, leaf area index and grain yield of rainfed wheat influenced by organic and inorganic fertilizer. *Pakistan Journal of Botany*, 42(5), 3671-3685.
- Ren, H., Liu, M., Zhang, J., Liu, P., & Liu, C. (2022). Effects of agronomic traits and climatic factors on yield and yield stability of summer maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the Huang-Huai-Hai Plain in China. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 13, 1050064.
- Roshini, D., Prasad, P. V. N., Anny, M. K., & Srinivas, D. (2022). Spatial arrangement and nitrogen management effects on yield attributes and yield of maize in maize + mungbean intercropping. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(10), 191-194.
- Saha, I., Jat, S., Singh, P., Smruti, P., Ranjan, S., Radheshyam, M., Abhijit, R., & Karkraliya, M. (2023). Influence of planting density and nitrogen management on growth and productivity of maize in eastern India. *Maize Journal*, 12(1), 45-51.

Saha, S., Chakraborty, A., Sharma, A. R., Tomar, R. K., Bhadraray, S., Sen, U., Behera, U. K., Purakayastha, T. J., Garg, R. N., & Kalra, N. (2010). Effect of tillage and residue management on soil physical properties and crop productivity in maize (*Zea mays* L.) - Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) system. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 80(8), 679-685.

Sapkota, A., Shrestha, R. K., & Chalise, D. (2017). Response of maize to the soil application of nitrogen and phosphorous fertilizers. *International Journal of Applied Sciences and Biotechnology*, 5(4), 537-541.

Sapkota, T. B., Jat, M. L., Rana, D. S., Khatri-Chhetri, A., Jat, H. S., Bijarniya, D., Sutaliya, J. M., Kumar, M., Singh, L. K., Jat, R. K., & Kalvaniya, K. (2021). Crop nutrient management using Nutrient Expert improves yield, increases farmers' income, and reduces greenhouse gas emissions. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1), 1564.

Sepat, S., & Rana, D. S. (2013). Effect of double no-till and permanent raised beds on productivity, profitability, and physical properties of soil in maize-wheat cropping system under Indo-Gangetic plains of India. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 58(4), 469-473.

Shahi, U., Singh, V., Kumar, A., Singh, P., Dhyani, B., Singh, A., & Patel, S. (2020). Effect of site-specific nutrient management on productivity, soil fertility, and nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 65(4), 118-124.

Shahi, U., Singh, V., Kumar, A., Singh, P., Dhyani, B., Singh, A., & Patel, S. (2020). Effect of site-specific nutrient management on productivity, soil fertility, and nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 65(4), 118-124.

Shankar, T., Malik, G. C., Banerjee, M., Dutta, S., Maitra, S., Praharaj, S., Sairam, M., Kumar, D. S., Dessoky, E. S., Hassan, M. M., et al. (2021). Productivity and nutrient balance of an intensive rice-rice cropping system are influenced by different nutrient management in the Red and Lateritic belt of West Bengal, India. *Plants*, 10(8), 1622.

Sharma, A. R., Singh, R., Dhyani, S. K., & Dube, R. K. (2010). Tillage and legume mulching effects on moisture conservation and productivity of rainfed maize-wheat cropping system. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55(4), 245-252.

Sharma, C. K., & Gautam, R. C. (2010). Weed growth, yield, and nutrient uptake in maize (*Zea mays*) as influenced by tillage, seed rate, and weed control method. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, 55(4), 299-303.

Sharma, R., Adhikari, P., Shrestha, J., & Acharya, B. P. (2019). Response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids to different levels of nitrogen. *Archives of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, 4(3), 295-299.

Singh, A. K., Jat, S. L., Parihar, C. M., Kumar, M., Singh, C. S., Hallikeri, S. S., Shreelatha, D., Manjulatha, D., & Maha, M. (2020). Precision nutrient management for enhanced yield and profitability of maize (*Zea mays*). *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 90(5), 952-956.

Singh, G., Marwaha, T. S., & Kumar, D. (2009). Effect of resource-conserving techniques on soil microbiological parameters under long-term maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) crop rotation. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 79(2), 94-100.

Singh, G., Sharma, G. L., & Shankar. (2012). Effect of integrated nutrient management on quality protein maize. *Crop Research*, 44(1 & 2), 26-29.

Singh, P., Mondal, T. K., & Mitra, B. (2018). Straw mulch and restricted irrigation effect on productivity, profitability, and water use in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under various crop establishment techniques in Eastern Sub-Himalayan Plains of India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(2), 1521-1533.

Singh, R., Sharma, A. R., Dhyani, S. K., & Dube, R. K. (2011). Tillage and mulching effects on performance of maize (*Zea mays*)-wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system under varying land slopes. *The Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 81(4), 330-335.

- Singh, V., Shukla, A., Singh, M., Majumdar, K., Mishra, R. P., Rani, M., & Singh, S. K. (2015). Effect of site-specific nutrient management on yield, profit, and apparent nutrient balance under predominant cropping systems of Upper Gangetic Plains. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 85(3), 335–343.
- Singha, C. S., Akansha, R., Kumar, A. K., Singh, A. K., & Singh, S. K. (2018). Nutrient expert assisted site-specific nutrient management: An alternative precision fertilization technology for maize production in Chota-Nagpur plateau region of Jharkhand. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(SP1), 760–764.
- Sinha, A. K. (2016). Effect of site-specific nutrient management on production and productivity of maize (*Zea Mays* L.) under mid hill condition of Chhatisgarh. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 11(2), 54–59.
- Sivamurugan, P., Ravikesavan, R., & Yuvaraja, A. (2017). Effect of planting density and nutrient management practices on the performance of maize hybrids in kharif season. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, 6(22), 1044–1048.
- Szabo, A., Mousavi, S. M. N., Bojtor, C., Ragan, P., Nagy, J., Vad, A., & Illes, A. (2022). Analysis of nutrient-specific response of maize hybrids in relation to leaf area index (LAI) and remote sensing. *Plants (Basel, Switzerland)*, 11(9), 1197.
- Thierfelder, C., Matemba-Mutasa, R., & Rusinamhodzi, L. (2015). Yield response of maize (*Zea mays* L.) to conservation agriculture cropping system in Southern Africa. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 146, 230–242.
- Tofa, A. I., Kamara, A. Y., Babaji, B. A., Aliyu, K. T., Ademulegun, T. D., & Bebeley, J. F. (2022). Maize yield as affected by the interaction of fertilizer nitrogen and phosphorus in the Guinea savanna of Nigeria. *Heliyon*, 8(11), e11587.
- Videnovic, Z., Simic, M., Srdic, J., & Dumanovic, Z. (2011). Long term effects of different soil tillage systems on maize (*Zea mays* L.) yields. *Plant, Soil and Environment*, 57(4), 186–192.
- Walter, A., Finger, R., Huber, R., & Buchmann, N. (2017). Opinion: Smart farming is key to developing sustainable agriculture. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(24), 6148–6150.
- Wasaya, A., Tahir, M., Tanveer, A., & Yaseen, M. (2012). Response of maize to tillage and nitrogen management. *The Journal of Animal and Plant Sciences*, 22(2), 452–456.
- Yadav, M. R., Parihar, C. M., Jat, S. L., Singh, A. K., Kumar, D., Pooniya, V., Parihar, M. D., Saveipune, D., Parmar, H., & Jat, M. L. (2015). Effect of long-term and diversified crop rotations on nutrient uptake profitability and energetics of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in north-western India. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 86(6), 743–749.
- You, D., Tian, P., Sui, P., Zhang, W., Yang, B., & Qi, H. (2017). Short-term effects of tillage and residue on spring maize yield through regulating root-shoot ratio in Northeast China. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 1–11.
- Zhang, Y., Yu, C., Lin, J., Liu, J., Liu, B., Wang, J., Huang, A., Li, H., & Zhao, T. (2017). OsMPH1 regulates plant height and improves grain yield in rice. *PLOS One*, 12(7), e0180825.
- Zothanmawii, Edwin, L., & Mariam, A. P. S. (2018). Growth and yield of hybrid maize as influenced by levels of nitrogen and biofertilizer. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 7(8), 1864–1873.