Original Research Article

A COMPREHENSIVE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDYON DERMATOPHYTOSIS IN DOGS

ABSTRACT

Dermatophytosis is a contagious and zoonotic fungal infection of keratinized tissue. The present study was undertaken to investigate occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs. During the study period, from May to October 2024, a total of 2468 dogs were screened which were presented at VCC, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Jabalpur (M.P.). Among them, 225 dogs were suspected for dermatological disorders and 43 dogs were found to be positive for dermatophytosis. The occurrence of different dermatological disorders was recorded highest in Pyoderma (20.89%), followed by dermatophytosis (19.11%), others (18.67%), Malassezia dermatitis (15.11%), mange in (13.78%) and tick and flea infestation (12.44%). The overall occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs was recorded as 1.74% and among the suspected dogs, the occurrence was 19.11%. Age wise occurrence was significantly higher in 0-1 years of age (38.10%). Gender wise occurrence was observed significantly higher in males (25.93%). The breed wise highest occurrence of dermatophytosis was recorded in the Labrador breed of dogs (24.39%). The most prevalent clinical signs observed in canine dermatophytosis were alopecia (86.00%), scales (74.40%), crusts (74.40%), circular lesions (72.10%), pruritis (58.10%), erythema (51.20%), hyperpigmentation (46.50) and pustules and papules (34.90%). The lesions were predominantly seen on hind limbs (69.77%) followed by forelimbs (67.44%), dorsum (65.12%), face, head and neck (62.79%), paws (55.81%), interdigital space (48.84%), tail (41.86%) and abdomen (13.95%).

Keywords: Dermatophytosis, Dermatological disorders, Occurrence, Dogs

INTRODUCTION

Dermatological affections are difficult to treat and one of the most frequently observed problems in dogs [1]. Several studies from India and abroad have documented that skin disorders make up to 12-75% of all affections in small animal population [2]. Dermatophytosis, which refers to the infection of the hair, claw, or stratum corneum of the skin by keratinophilic fungi, is one such condition. The prevalence and occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs vary depending on factors such as geographical location, environmental conditions, breed predispositions, and the health status of the animals. The infection is common worldwide with higher prevalence in tropical countries like India [3]. While the infection is often seen in young, immunocompromised, or animals living in overcrowded conditions, the spread of dermatophytes can be exacerbated by poor hygiene, lack of proper veterinary care, and close contact in animal shelters or breeding facilities.

This study aims to investigate the occurrence and distribution of dermatophytosis in dogs within a specific region and identify key risk factors. Understanding the epidemiology of dermatophytosis in dogs will contribute to improved diagnostic, preventive, and therapeutic strategies, ultimately reducing the burden of this fungal infection on both canine and human populations.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Location and place of work

The proposed study was carried out for six months from May 2024 to October 2024 at Department of Veterinary Medicine, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Nanaji Deshmukh Veterinary Science University (N.D.V.S.U), Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh (M.P.). For this study, dogs presented with history of different clinical signs, such aspruritus, alopecia, erythema, hyperpigmentation, scaling, crusting, etc. were thoroughly examined.

Statistical analysis

The recorded data was analyzed as per the standard procedures outlined by [4]. The chi-square test of significance was applied for the qualitative data about the occurrence of the disease.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Overall occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

An epidemiological study was conducted to know the occurrence of dermatophytosis in the dog population presented to the Veterinary Clinical Complex (VCC), Jabalpur, over a six-month period from May 2024 to October 2024. A total of 2468 dogs were screened. Among them, 225 dogs showing visible signs of dermatological disorders were suspected for dermatophytosis and 43 dogs were tested positive. The overall occurrence of dermatophytosis in dog population was 1.74% while it was 19.11% in suspected dogs. (Table 01)

Table 01: Overall occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

| Particulars | Number of dogs screened | Number of dogs affected | Occurrence (%) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|
| Total no. of dogs | 2468 | 43 | 01.74 |
| Suspected dogs | 225 | 43 | 19.11 |

The results of the present study are in close proximity to the findings of Seker and Dogan [5], Debnath *et al.* [6] and Nafie *et al.* [7], who reported an occurrence of 20.1%, 20.93% and 17.77%, respectively. Sever *et al.* [8], Cunha *et al.* [9], Prakash *et al.* [10] and Tarra *et al.* [11] reported an occurrence of 29.6%, 26.9%, 26.32% and 25% respectively. On the contrary, Patel, *et al.* [12] reported a lower occurrence of fungal dermatitis as 4.77%, and Khosravi and Mahmoudi [13] indicated that 8.2% of samples from dogs were found positive for dermatophytosis. Whereas Minnat and Khalaf [14] recorded a higher occurrence of 62.42%.

The overall occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs varies widely across different studies, influenced by factors such as geographical location, climate and host conditions.

Distribution of different dermatological disorders in dogs

The distribution of different dermatological disorders in dogs was recorded. Out of 225 dogs, pyoderma was reported to be the highest in 20.89% of dogs (47 out of 225), followed by dermatophytosis in 19.11% (43 out of 225), others in 18.67% (42 out of 225), Malassezia dermatitis in 15.11% (34 out of 225), mange in 13.78% (31 out of 225) and tick and flea infestation in 12.44% dogs (28 out of 225). The details are summarized in Table 02

Table 02: Distribution of different dermatological disorders in dogs

| Clinical condition | Number of cases (n=225) | Per cent (%) |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| Pyoderma | 47 | 20.89 |
| Dermatophytosis | 43 | 19.11 |
| Others | 42 | 18.67 |
| Malassezia dermatitis | 34 | 15.11 |
| Mange | 31 | 13.78 |
| Tick and flea infestation | 28 | 12.44 |

The results of the present study are consistent with the findings of Khoshnegah*et al*. [15] and Varughese and Chitra [16] reported the highest prevalence of pyoderma as (18.03%) and (60.80%), respectively. These findings were in contrast to the findings of Singh *et al*. [17], Thapa and Sarkar [18] and Haritha *et al*. [19] who reported highest prevalence of ectoparasite infestation followed by pyoderma. However, Anikar*et al*. [20] recorded the highest occurrence of dermatophytosis (31.64%).

The variations observed might be due to differences in diagnostic methodologies, inconsistencies in recording observations such as diverse classifications used within diseases,

non-specific or overlapping miscellaneous conditions and the influence of geographic factors, including climatic and seasonal biases.

Age wise occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

The age-wise occurrence of skin disorders in dogs revealed the highest prevalence of 38.10 per cent (16 out of 42) in the age group of 0-1 year, followed by 23.91 per cent (11 out of 46) in dogs aged 1-3 years, 12.50 per cent (9 out of 72) in the 3-6 years age group and 10.77 per cent (7 out of 65) in dogs older than 6 years. A significant difference (p < 0.05) was observed in the age-wise occurrence of skin disorders in dogs. The details are outlined in Table 03.

Table 03: Age wise occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

| Age group | Number of dogs screened | Number of dogs affected (n=43) | Occurrence (%) |
|-----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| 0-1 | 42 | 16 | 38.10 |
| 1-3 | 46 | 11 | 23.91 |
| 3-6 | 72 | 9 | 12.50 |
| >6 | 65 | 7 | 10.77 |
| $x^2 = 15.431*$ | | Significant at p-val | ue ≤ 0.05) |

The observations recorded in the current study are in close agreement with, Kaitiraee*et al.* [21], Prakash *et al.* [10] and Reddy *et al.*, [22] who reported the highest occurrence of dermatophytosis in 0-1 years of age. In contrast to these findings, Seker and Dogan [5] and Sigirci*et al.* [23] detected statistically no significant difference between the age groups and the prevalence rate. Similar findings were reported by Minnat and Khalaf [14] but Murmu *et al.* [24] recorded a higher occurrence of dermatophytosis in adult dogs (61.1%).

This finding can be attributed to several factors. Immature epithelial development and the absence of specific immunity, which is typically acquired after initial exposure, make the dog more vulnerable at a younger age. Additionally, young animals face high nutritional demands and are often housed in overcrowded conditions, further increasing their susceptibility. The biochemical composition of their skin and secretions also plays a significant role. Sebum in young dogs contains lower levels of fungistatic fatty acids, such as linoleic acid, which are essential for inhibiting fungal growth. These factors collectively contribute to the higher incidence of dermatophytosis and other skin infections in younger animals.

Gender wise occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

The gender-wise occurrence of skin disorders in dogs revealed a higher prevalence in males, with 25.93 per cent (28 out of 108) affected, compared to 12.82 per cent (15 out of 117) in females. A significant difference (p < 0.05) was observed in the gender-wise occurrence of skin disorders in dogs. (Table 04)

Table 04: Gender wise occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

| Gender | Number of dogs screened | Number of dogs affected (n=43) | Occurrence (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Male | 108 | 28 | 25.93 |
| Female | 117 | 15 | 12.82 |
| $x^2 = 6.240*$ | | Significant at p-val | $ue \leq 0.05)$ |

The observations recorded in the present study were in coherence with the findings of Cafarchiaet al. [25], Debnath et al. [6], Seker and Dogan [5], Murmu et al. [14] and Tarra et al. [11]. On the contrary, Cunha [9] and Prakash et al. [10] recorded a higher incidence of

dermatophytosis in female dogs as compared to males. In contrast to the present findings, Katiraee*et al.* [21] and Minnat and Khalaf [14] documented no statistically significant association found between the sex of dogs and the presence of dermatophytosis.

This variation could be a result of difference in composition of sebum of male dogs when compared with female dogs as male dogs tend to produce more sebum than females, largely due to the influence of testosterone which can increase the activity of sebaceous glands. Other study-specific variables, such as population demographics may also play roles in disease prevalence.

Breed wise occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs

The breed-wise occurrence of skin disorders in dogs revealed the highest prevalence in Labrador Retrievers, with 24.39 per cent (10 out of 41) affected, followed by German Shepherds at 22.22 per cent (8 out of 36) and Golden Retrievers at 20.69 per cent (6 out of 29). Other breeds, including Bulldogs, Shih Tzus, Beagles, Dachshunds and Great Danes, showed an occurrence of 20.00 per cent (5 out of 25). Saint Bernards and Pugs each had an occurrence of 18.18 per cent (2 out of 11), while non-descript dogs showed an occurrence of 14.81 per cent (8 out of 54). The lowest occurrence was observed in Pomeranians, with 11.11 per cent (2 out of 18). No significant difference was observed in the breed-wise occurrence of skin disorders in dogs. The details are outlined in Table 05.

Table 05: Breed wise occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs at Jabalpur

| Breed | Number of dogs screened | Number of dogs affected (n=43) | Occurrence (%) |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Labrador retriever | 41 | 10 | 24.39 |
| German shepherd | 36 | 8 | 22.22 |
| Golden retriever | 29 | 6 | 20.69 |
| Others (Bull dog, Shih Tzu, Beagle, Dachshund, Great | 25 | 5 | 20.00 |

| Dane) | | | |
|--------------------|----|----------|---------|
| Saint Bernard | 11 | 2 | 18.18 |
| Pug | 11 | 2 | 18.18 |
| Non-descript | 54 | 8 | 14.81 |
| Pom | 18 | 2 | 11.11 |
| $x^2 = 2.426^{NS}$ | | Non-sign | ificant |

The results of the present study are consistent with the findings of Anikaret al. [20], Tarra et al. [11] and Patel et al. [12] who recorded the highest occurrence in Labrador retrievers. On the contrary, Minnat and Khalaf [14] and Prakash et al. [10] reported the highest breedwise occurrence in German Shepherds.

The increased susceptibility to dermatophytosis in certain dog breeds can be attributed to qualitative and/or quantitative differences in their non-specific cutaneous defences, such as variations in sebum composition, sweat gland activity and hair coat characteristics. Dogs with thicker skin are observed to have a greater number of keratinocytes compared to thin-skinned breeds. This abundance of keratinocytes may predispose thick-skinned dogs to infections caused by keratinophilic fungi like dermatophytes, as these fungi thrive on keratin as a nutrient source. It could be influenced by owners' breed preferences in different regions, the purpose for which dogs are kept, variations in breed behaviour and the population of specific breeds in a given area.

Clinical symptoms associated with dermatophytosis in dogs

During the study, different clinical symptoms associated with dermatophytosis in dogs were observed. Alopecia was found to be the most frequently observed symptom, affecting 86.0 per cent (37 out of 43) of cases. This was followed by scales and crusts, each observed in 74.4 per cent (32 out of 43) of cases. Circular lesions were present in 72.1 per cent (31 out

of 43) of cases, while pruritus was seen in 58.1 per cent (25 out of 43). Other symptoms included erythema in 51.2 per cent (22 out of 43), hyperpigmentation in 46.5 per cent (20 out of 43) and pustules and papules, which were the least common, observed in 34.9 per cent (15 out of 43) of cases. (Table 06)

Table 06: Clinical symptoms associated with dermatophytosis in dogs

| | Frequency | |
|----------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Clinical symptom | (n=43) | Per cent (%) |
| Alopecia | 37 | 86.0 |
| Scales | 32 | 74.4 |
| Crusts | 32 | 74.4 |
| Circular lesions | 31 | 72.1 |
| Pruritis | 25 | 58.1 |
| Erythema | 22 | 51.2 |
| Hyperpigmentation | 20 | 46.5 |
| Pustules and papules | 15 | 34.9 |

The observations of the present study are in close proximity with the findings of Cunha *et al.* [9], Minnat and Khalaf [14], Moriello *et al.* [26].

The frequency and severity of clinical signs in dermatophytosis are influenced by a combination of factors like host's immune response, as a stronger immunity may limit lesion spread and severity. Lesion severity ranges from mild to severe, depending on factors such as the specific infecting organism, its virulence, the infection site, secondary bacterial infections, environmental conditions with warm and humid conditions favouring fungal growth, predisposing conditions and the presence of natural reservoirs of infection.

Distribution of lesions on body of dogs affected with dermatophytosis

The distribution of lesions on the body in dogs revealed the highest frequency on the hindlimbs, with 69.77 per cent (30 out of 43) of cases affected, followed closely by the forelimbs at 67.44 per cent (29 out of 43). Lesions were observed on the dorsum in 65.12 per cent (28 out of 43) of cases and on the face, head and neck in 62.79 per cent (27 out of 43). Other affected regions included the paws in 55.81 per cent (24 out of 43), interdigital space in 48.84 per cent (21 out of 43) and the tail in 41.86 per cent (18 out of 43). The abdomen was the least affected region, with lesions observed in 13.95 per cent (6 out of 43) of cases. (Table 07)

Table 07: Distribution of lesions on body of dogs affected with dermatophytosis

| | Frequency | Distribution |
|---------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Regions on body | (n=43) | (%) |
| Hindlimbs | 30 | 69.77 |
| Forelimbs | 29 | 67.44 |
| Dorsum | 28 | 65.12 |
| Face, head and neck | 27 | 62.79 |
| Paws | 24 | 55.81 |
| Interdigital space | 21 | 48.84 |
| Tail | 18 | 41.86 |
| Abdomen | 6 | 13.95 |

The result in the present study were in coherence with the findings of Prakash *et al.* [10] who recorded the highest distribution on hind limbs (40%) followed by forelimbs (33.33%). Minnat and Khalaf [14] noticed highest distribution of lesions on back region

followed by hind limbs, forelimbs, tail, pinnae, face, paws and interdigital areas. On the contrary, Patel *et al.* [12] reported highest distribution of lesions on inner thighs, followed by abdomen and legs.

The distribution of lesions in canine dermatophytosis could be influenced by several factors, including the causative dermatophyte species, the dog's immune response and environmental conditions. Local skin factors, such as thickness, moisture levels and sebum composition also affect lesion distribution. Anatomical and functional gland involution such as variations in sweat or sebaceous gland activity may create environments that either promote or inhibit fungal growth. Furthermore, unidentified immunological factors may contribute to the variability in lesion patterns.

Duration of illness of dogs affected with dermatophytosis

The history of duration of illness was recorded in dogs affected with dermatophytosis. Among 43 dogs, 6.98% of dogs (3 out of 43) had a duration of illness of 0-1 weeks, 20.93% of dogs (9 out of 43) had a duration of 1-2 weeks, 18.60% of dogs (8 out of 43) had a duration of 2-3 weeks, 34.88% of dogs (15 out of 43) had a duration of 3-4 weeks and 18.60% of dogs (8 out of 43) had a duration of more than 1 month. The details are summarized in Table 08.

Table 08: Duration of illness of dogs affected with dermatophytosis

| Duration of illness | Frequency (n=43) | Per cent (%) |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------|
| 0-1 weeks | 03 | 6.98 |
| 1-2 weeks | 09 | 20.93 |
| 2-3 weeks | 08 | 18.60 |
| 3-4 weeks | 15 | 34.88 |

| > 1 month | 08 | 18.60 |
|-----------|----|-------|
| | | |

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

References

- 1. Scott, D.W., Miller, W.H. and Griffin, C.E. (2001). Keratinization defects in small animal dermatology, 6th Edn., W.B. Saunders Company, Philadelphia, pp 1043-1051.
- 2. Sarma, K., Mondal, D.B., Sarvanan, M., Kumar, M. and Vijaykumar, H. (2013). Incidence of dermatological disorders and its therapeutic management in canines. *IntasPolivet*, **14**(2): 186–192.
- 3. Ramaraj, V.K., Vijayaraman, R.S., Rangarajan, S. and Kindo, A.J. (2016). Incidence and prevalence of dermatophytosis in and around Chennai, Tamilnadu, India. *International Journal of Research in Medical Sciences*, **4**(3): 695-700.
- 4. Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1994). Statistical Method, 8th Edn., The Lowa State University Press, Ames, United States of America.
- 5. Seker, E. and Dogan, N. (2011). Isolation of dermatophytes from dogs and cats with suspected dermatophytosis in Western Turkey. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **98**(1): 46-51.
- 6. Debnath, C., Mitra, T., Kumar, A. and Samanta, I. (2016). Detection of dermatophytes in healthy companion dogs and cats in eastern India. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research*, **17**: 20.
- 7. Nafie, T., Mahmoud, M. and Abdelkhalek, D. (2021). Clinical and laboratory studies of dermatophytosis affected dogs in correlation to oxidative stress. *Suez Canal Veterinary Medical Journal*, **26**(1): 17-26.
- 8. Sever, N.K.S.K., Ustun, T., Omerovic, M., Mustafa, O.N.O.L., Zahiri, A.K. and Dogan, B. (2017). Prevalence of dermatophytes isolated from domestic animals in Ankara within a three-year period. *Veterinary Journal of Mehmet Akif Ersoy University*, **6**: 1-7.
- 9. da Cunha, M.M., Capote-Bonato, F., Capoci, I. R.G., Bonato, D.V., Ghizzi, L.G., Paiva-Lima, P., Baeza, L. C. and Svidzinski, T.I.E. (2019). Epidemiological investigation and molecular typing of dermatophytosis caused by *Microsporumcanis* in dogs and cats. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, **167**: 39-45.
- 10. Prakash, V.A., Rathish, R.L., Deepa, P.M., Bipin, K.C. and John, L. (2022). Host and environmental risk factors of canine dermatophytosis. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(10): 936-939.
- 11. Tarra, M., Davis, K.J., Vinodkumar, K., Vijayakumar, K. and Menon, K.V. (2022). Occurrence of dermatophytosis in dogs from Thrissur, Kerala. *Kerala Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences*, **53**(2): 322-327.
- 12. Patel, P.V., Vagh, A.A., Bhatt, R.H., Bilwal, A.K., Parmar, V.L., Damor, J.R. and Sherasiya, R.M. (2024). Incidence of fungal dermatitis in dogs. *International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry*, **9**(2): 679-686.
- 13. Khosravi, A.R. and Mahmoudi, M. (2003). Dermatophytes isolated from domestic animals in Iran. *Mycoses*, **46**(5-6): 222-225.

- 14. Minnat, T.R. (2019). Epidemiological, clinical and laboratory study of canine dermatophytosis in Baghdad Governorate, Iraq. *The Iraqi Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, **43**(1): 183-196.
- 15. Khoshnegah, J., Movassaghi, A.R. and Mohamadi, R. (2013). Survey of dermatological conditions in a population of domestic dogs in Mashhad, northeast of Iran (2007-2011). *Veterinary Research Forum*, **4**: 99.
- 16. Varughese, H.S. and Chitra, M.A. (2022). Prevalence of dermatological conditions in dogs in Chennai. *Veterinary Practitioner*, **23**(1).
- 17. Singh, R., Beigh, S.A., Soodan, J.S., Tikoo, A. and Tantaray, H. (2012). Clinico-epidemiological studies in canine dermatitis. *Indian Journal of Canine Practice*, **4**(2): 96-99.
- 18. Thapa, G. and Sarkar, S. (2018). Occurrence of canine skin disorder and its haematobiochemical alterations. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, **7**(12): 184-195.
- 19. Haritha, G.S. and Ramesh, P. (2022). Prevalence of anemia in small ruminants in Garividi region of Andhra Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(1): 285-288.
- Anikar, M.J., Bhadesiya, C.M., Chaudhary, G.R., Patel, T.P., Patil, D.B. and Dadawala, A.I. (2021). Incidence of dermatological disorders in dogs at Leo Animal and Bird Clinic, Vastral, Ahmedabad (Gujarat). *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*, 8(3): 1-7.
- 21. Katiraee, F., Kosari, Y.K., Soltani, M., Shokri, H., Shokri, M. and Minooieanhaghighi, M.H. (2021). Molecular identification and antifungal susceptibility patterns of dermatophytes isolated from companion animals with clinical symptoms of dermatophytosis. *Journal of Veterinary Research*, **65**(2): 175-182
- 22. Reddy, B.L., Mishra, R., Rath, P.K., Patra, B., Soren, N., Mishra, B.P. and Patra, R. (2023). Microbial prevalence of dermatitis in dogs and their owners in Odisha. *Environment and Ecology*, **41**(2B): 1241-1246.
- 23. Dirensigirci, B., Metiner, K., Çelik, B., Başaran Kahraman, B., İkiz, S., Bağcıgil, A.F., Özgür, N.Y. and Ak, S. (2019). Dermatophytes isolated from dogs and cats suspected dermatophytoses in Istanbul, Turkey within a 15-year-period: an updated report. The Veterinary Journal, 12: 116-121.
- 24. Murmu, S., Debnath, C., Pramanik, A.K., Mitra, T., Jana, S., Banerjee, S., Isore, D.P. and Batabyal, K. (2017). Characterization and anti-fungal susceptibility pattern of dermatophytes isolated from dogs, cats and pet owners in and around Kolkata, India. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, **51**: 336-339.
- 25. Cafarchia, C., Romito, D., Capelli, G., Guillot, J. and Otranto, D. (2006). Isolation of *Microsporumcanis* from the hair coat of pet dogs and cats belonging to owners diagnosed with *M. canis tinea corporis*. *Veterinary Dermatology*, **17**(5): 327-331.
- 26. Moriello, K.A., Coyner, K., Paterson, S. and Mignon, B. (2017). Diagnosis and treatment of dermatophytosis in dogs and cats. Veterinary Dermatology, 28(3): 266.