## Original Research Article

# EFFECT OF FOLIAR APPLICATION OF VARIOUS MICRONUTRIENTS ON FRUIT YIELD AND QUALITY ATTRIBUTES OF GUAVA

#### **Abstract**

The present investigation "effect of foliar application of various micronutrients on fruit yield and quality attributes of Guava (Psidium guajava) cv. Allahabad safeda" was undertaken at Central Research Field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (UP) during 2022. The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with 10 treatment combinations viz, T<sub>0</sub> (Control), T<sub>1</sub> (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.4%, T<sub>2</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.5%, T<sub>3</sub> (H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) 0.4%, T<sub>4</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub>) 3%, T<sub>5</sub> (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.4% + (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.5%, T<sub>6</sub> (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.5% + (KNO<sub>3</sub>) 3%, T<sub>7</sub> (KNO<sub>3</sub>)  $3\% + (FeSO_4) 0.4\%$ ,  $T_8 (H_3BO_3) 0.4\% + (KNO_3) 3\%$ ,  $T_9 (FeSO_4) 0.2\% + (ZnSO_4) 0.25\% + (ZnSO_4) 0.25\%$ H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) +(KNO<sub>3</sub>) 1.5% with three replications. The main objective of the experiment was to find out the effect of various levels of micronutrients on yield and quality of guava and to estimate the economics of various treatments. From the present investigation treatment  $T_9$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.2% + (ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) 0.25% + (H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>) 0.2% + (KNO<sub>3</sub>) 1.5%, performed best in terms of yield parameters, (fruit weight (130.45g), fruit length (7.93cm), fruit diameter (7.28cm), fruit yield per tree (42.10 kg) and quality parameters T.S.S (10.23<sup>0</sup>Brix), Acidity (0.38%), Ascorbic acid (150.03mg/100g), Total sugars (7.86%) of Guava. However, highest B: C ratio was found in Treatment  $T_5$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.4%) +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.5%) with 4.61.

Keywords: Micronutrients, Guava, Fruit yield, Quality attributes, foliar application

## 1.Introduction

Guava (*Psidium guajava*) is a member of the Myrtaceae family and is native to tropical America. It is one of the most common and valuable fruit crops grown in the country's tropical and subtropical regions, and due to its hardiness, the trees can even be grown in

marginal lands. Guava fruits are mostly produced in India, Brazil, Mexico, South Africa, Jamaica, Kenya, Cuba, the United States of America, Egypt, Thailand, Columbia, and Pakistan. Guava is the fifth most important fruit crop in India, following mango, citrus, banana, and apple. The major guava producing states are Madhya Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh, Maharashtra, Bihar, Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan, Gujarat, Karnataka, and Tamil Nadu. Uttar Pradesh, the largest producer, produces the highest quality fruits. However, the fruits are blemished due to their sensitive nature, and biochemical post-harvest alterations soften them, causing deterioration.

The Allahabad-Varanasi region is known for producing the highest quality guava in the country and outside the world. Guava fruit is noted for its "vitamin-C," minerals such as calcium, iron, and phosphorus, as well as its pleasant taste and flavor **Yadav** et al. (2015), and its increased popularity has dubbed it "the apple of the tropics." Guava bears on the growth of the current season and flowers bloom in the axils of fresh leaves; therefore, it reacts well to pruning **Kumar and Rattanpal**, (2010).

After mango, banana, citrus, and grapes, guava (*Psidium guajava L.*) is India's fifth most important commercial fruit crop. More than three dozen guava cultivars are planted in India, but 'Allahabad Safeda' leads the others due to its appealing fruit size, colour, higher quality, and postharvest life **Yadav** *et al.* (2015). Guava trees often flower twice a year in northern India. The first flowering occurs in April-May, yielding fruits during the rainy season (July-August), while the second flowering occurs in August-September, yielding fruits throughout the winter season (November-January)

Micronutrients like zinc sulphate are essential for the growth and development of fruits, vegetables, and cereals. It is a necessary component for the creation of chlorophyll and thus beneficial in photosynthesis. It is also found in certain enzymes. Zinc stimulates enzymes that are involved in the creation of some proteins. It is involved in the synthesis of chlorophyll and certain carbohydrates, the conversion of starches to sugars, and its presence in plant tissue aids the plant's resistance to low temperatures. Zinc is required for the synthesis of auxins, which aid in growth control and stem elongation.

Foliar application of micronutrients and plant growth regulators is critical for boosting plant quality and is comparatively more effective for rapid plant recovery. Foliar feeding of fruit trees has grown in popularity in recent years, as micronutrients supplied through soil require more micronutrients because some leak away and some become unavailable to the plant due to complicated soil reactions. The spray of micronutrients increases yield parameters such as average fruit weight, fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm), and fruit yield (kg/tree) **Awasthi and Lal (2009).** 

#### 2.Materials and methods

**Experimental site** 

The experiment entitled "Effect of foliar application of various micronutrients on fruit yield and quality attributes of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda" was conducted at central research field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj, during the year 2022.

#### CLIMATE CONDITION IN EXPERIMENTAL AREA

This region has a sub-tropical climate prevailing in the South-East part of Uttar Pradesh with both the extremes in temperature, *i.e.*, the winter and the summer. In cold winters, the temperature sometimes is as low as 9°C in December – January and very hot summer with temperature reaching up to 46°C in the months of May and June. During winter, frosts and during summer, hot scorching winds are also common. The average rainfall is around 1013.4 (mm) with maximum concentration during July to September months with occasional showers in winters.

**Table 1. TREATMENT DETAILS** 

S. No	Notation	Treatment Combination	1 <sup>st</sup> foliar	2 <sup>nd</sup> foliar

			spraying	spraying
1	T <sub>0</sub>	Control		
2	T <sub>1</sub>	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%)		
3	T <sub>2</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%)		
4	Т <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.4%)	flowering	fruit
5	T <sub>4</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)		
6	T <sub>5</sub>	$FeSO_4(0.4\%) + ZnSO_4(0.5\%)$	stage	development stage
7	T <sub>6</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)		
8	T <sub>7</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%) + FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%)		
9	T <sub>8</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)		
10	T <sub>9</sub>	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (1.5 %)		

**NOTE** - 1<sup>st</sup> foliar spraying during August at flowering stage and 2<sup>nd</sup> foliar spraying at fruit development stage during October.

## **2.4 Observations Recorded**

Following are the observation that were observed during the experiment: -

## **■** Yield Parameters

- 1. Fruit Weight (g)
- 2. Fruit length(cm)
- 3. Fruit Diameter(cm)
- 4. Fruit Yield(kg/tree)

## Qualitative Parameters

- 1. Total soluble solid (<sup>0</sup>Brix)
- 2. Acidity (%)
- 3. Ascorbic acid (Vitamin-C)
- 4. Total sugars (%)

## **Economical Parameters**

- 1. Cost of cultivation (Rs. plant<sup>-1</sup>)
- 2. Gross return (Rs. plant<sup>-1)</sup>
- 3. Net return (Rs. plant<sup>-1</sup>)
- 4. B.C Ratio

## 3. Results and Discussion

The present investigation entitled "Effect of foliar application of various micronutrients on fruit yield and quality attributes of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad safeda" was undertaken

at central research field, Department of Horticulture, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (UP) during the year 2022-2023. The experiment was conducted in randomized Block Design (RBD) with ten treatments and three replications. The mean data of all the traits were subjected to statistical analysis and salient features of experimental findings are mentioned below:

## 3.1: Yield Parameters

### Fruit weight (g):

The Data relevant to fruit weight (g) is presented in the Table (2). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on fruit weight(g) as compare to control(T<sub>0</sub>). T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken maximum fruit weight (g) (130.45), which was followed by fruit weight (128.33) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (3%), Whereas the minimum fruit weight (g) (81.36) was found in control FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> micronutrients may have boosted guava fruit weight by promoting photosynthesis, improving nutrient availability, controlling hormones, and leveraging nutritional interactions, leading to optimal growth and development. **Awasthi and Lal (2009)** conducted an experiment on guava and reported that, maximum number of fruits per tree, yield, fruit length, fruit diameter and fruit weight with Zinc Sulphate @ I .5 and 2.0% spray on guava tree. The similar effect were found by **Mishra** *et al.*, (2003), **Singh and Maurya (2003) and Sachin** *et al.*, (2019).

## Fruit length (cm):

The Data relevant to fruit length (cm) is presented in the Table (2). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on fruit length (cm) as compare to control (T<sub>0</sub>). T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken maximum fruit length(cm) (7.93), which was followed by fruit length (7.73) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (3%), Whereas the minimum fruit length (cm) (6.78) was found in control. FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> were applied as foliar micronutrients, and these micronutrients may have contributed to the lengthening of guava fruit by stimulating cell elongation, affecting hormonal control, enhancing plant health, and maybe gaining from synergistic interactions between them. **Ruby and Brahmachari (2001)** noted maximum fruit weight (22.00 g), fruit of volume (20.97 cm<sub>3</sub>), pulp weight per fruit (16.99 g), fruit length (3.90 cm) and least acidity (0.521%) with application of 0.5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>. Maturation period was earliest (13.50 days) with 0.8% Borax in litchi. The similar effect were found by **Awasthi and Lal (2009)**, **Waskela** *et al.*, **(2013)** and **Sachin** *et al.*, **(2019)**.

## Fruit diameter (cm):

The Data relevant to fruit diameter (cm) is presented in the Table (2). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on fruit diameter (cm) as

compare to control (T<sub>0</sub>). T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken maximum fruit diameter(cm) (7.28), which was followed by fruit length (7.10) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub>(3%), Whereas the minimum fruit diameter (cm) (5.28) was found in control. By encouraging cell division and expansion, influencing hormonal regulation, improving nutrient uptake and metabolism, and possibly taking advantage of synergistic effects between the micronutrients, the foliar application of micronutrients such as FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> may have increased guava fruit diameter. **Awasthi and Lal (2009)** conducted an experiment on guava and reported that, maximum number of fruits per tree, yield, fruit length, fruit diameter and fruit weight with Zinc Sulphate @ I .5 and 2.0 % spray on guava tree. The similar effect were found by **Awasthi and Lal (2009)**, **Singh** *et al.* (2012), **Sachin** *et al.*, (2019) and **Waskela** *et al.*, (2013).

## Fruit yield per tree (kg):

The Data relevant to fruit yield per tree (kg) is presented in the Table (2). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on fruit yield per tree (kg) as compare to control (T<sub>0</sub>). T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken maximum fruit yield per tree (kg) (42.10), which was followed by fruit yield per tree (kg) (40.38) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (3%), Whereas the minimum fruit yield per tree (kg) (9.93) was found in control. Micronutrients like FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> that were applied topically probably enhanced the amount of guava fruit produced per tree (kg) by optimizing nutrient availability, boosting photosynthesis, regulating hormones, and strengthening overall plant health and stress resistance. **Awasthi and Lal (2009)** conducted an experiment on guava and reported that, maximum number of fruits per tree, yield, fruit length, fruit diameter and fruit weight with Zinc Sulphate @ I .5 and 2.0 % spray on guava tree. The similar effect were found by **Dashora** *et al.* (2005), **Prasad** *et al.* (2005) and Singh *et al.* (2017).

<u>Table 2</u>: Effect of various micronutrients on fruit weight (g), fruit length (cm), fruit diameter (cm) and fruit yield per tree (kg) of Guava:

Treatment notation	Treatment combinations	Fruit	Fruit length	Fruit Diameter	Fruit yield per tree
notation		weight (g)	(cm)	(cm)	(kg)
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	81.36	6.78	5.28	9.93
T <sub>1</sub>	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%)	116.56	6.88	5.32	10.10
$T_{2}$	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%)	106.28	7.66	6.02	15.23
T <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.4%)	105.78	7.21	6.11	21.08
$T_{4}$	KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)	124.91	7.02	6.14	26.01
T <sub>5</sub>	$FeSO_4(0.4\%) + ZnSO_4(0.5\%)$	114.62	7.03	6.80	32.28
$T_{\overline{6}}$	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)	123.79	7.33	6.38	24.23
T <sub>7</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%) + FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%)	108.05	7.42	6.93	36.01
T <sub>8</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)	128.33	7.73	7.10	40.38
т	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.25%) +	130.45	7.93	7.28	42.10
T <sub>9</sub>	$H_3BO_3(0.2\%) + KNO_3(1.5\%)$				
	F-Test	S	S	S	S
	SE(d)	14.627	0.384	0.697	11.828
	C.D. at 0.5%	4.625	0.121	0.220	3.740
	CV	0.128	0.052	0.110	0.459

## 3.2: Qualitative Parameters

## Total soluble solid (<sup>0</sup>Brix):

The Data relevant to total soluble solid (<sup>0</sup>Brix) is presented in the Table (3). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on total soluble solid (0Brix) as compare to control (T0). Treatment T9 FeSO4 (0.2%) + ZnSO4 (0.25%) + H3BO3 (0.2%) + KNO3 (1.5%) was taken maximum total soluble solid (0Brix) (10.23), which was followed by total soluble solid (0Brix) (9.93) in T8 H3BO3 (0.4%) + KNO3 (3%), Whereas the minimum total soluble solid (0Brix) (7.19) was found in control. Micronutrients like FeSO4, ZnSO4, H3BO3, and KNO3 that were applied topically to guavas likely boosted total soluble solids (0Brix) by encouraging sugar buildup through improved nutrient uptake, balanced nutrition, and hormonal regulation. **El-Sherif** *et al.* (2000) concluded that treatment with potassium sulphate at 1 per cent, 2 (%) or 3 (%) and zinc sulphate at 0.5 per cent, 1 per cent or 2 per cent increased total soluble solids, ascorbic acid, reducing and total sugar with reduction in acid content of guava fruits. The similar effect were found by **Hasan and Jana** (2000), Mishra *et al.*, (2003), Yadav *et al.* (2004) and Yadav *et al.* (2015).

### Acidity (%):

The Data relevant to acidity (%) is presented in the Table (3). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on acidity as compare to control (T<sub>0</sub>). Treatment T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken minimum acidity (0.38). which was followed by to acidity (%) (0.40) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (3%), whereas the maximum acidity (0.90) was found in control. By affecting nutritional balance, hormonal control, and metabolic processes, the foliar application of micronutrients including FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> probably decreased the acidity (%) in guava. **El-Sherif** *et al.* (2000) concluded that treatment with potassium sulphate at 1 per cent, 2 (%) or 3 (%) and zinc sulphate at 0.5 per cent, 1 per cent or 2 per cent increased total soluble solids, ascorbic acid, reducing and total sugar with reduction in acid content of guava fruits. The similar effect were found by **Hasan and Jana (2000)**, **Mishra** *et al.*, (2003) and Singh *et al.* (2017).

## Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g):

The Data relevant to Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g) is presented in the Table (3). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g) as compare to control (T<sub>0</sub>). Treatment T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken maximum Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g) (150.3). which was followed by to Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g) (148.32) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (3%), Whereas the minimum Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g) (100.12) was found in control. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) concentration in guava was probably raised by the foliar application of micronutrients such FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> due to improved nutritional availability, enhanced antioxidant capabilities, and hormonal control. **Yadav** *et al.* (2015) find out the efficacy of foliar spray of micronutrients on physico-chemical characters and yield of guava fruit cv. Allahabad Safeda. The quality of fruits with respect to TSS, sugar and ascorbic acid were obtained maximum with the foliar spray of zinc sulphate + borax 06%. Therefore, obtained better yield and quality of winter season guava cv. Allahabad under Lucknow conditions. The similar effect were found by **Singh and Maurya** (2003) and **Venu** *et al.* (2014).

## **Total sugars (%):**

The Data relevant to total sugars (%) is presented in the Table (3). The data shown that foliar application of various micronutrients have significant effect on total sugars (%) as compare to control (T<sub>0</sub>). Treatment T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) was taken maximum total sugars (7.86), which was followed by total sugars (%) (7.77) in T<sub>8</sub> H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (3%), whereas the minimum total sugars (%) (6.03) was found in control. By promoting photosynthesis, enhancing nutrient uptake and metabolism, and modulating hormones, the foliar application of micronutrients including FeSO<sub>4</sub>, ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and KNO<sub>3</sub> probably boosted total sugars (%) in guava. **El-Sherif** *et al.* (2000) concluded that treatment with potassium sulphate at 1 per cent, 2 (%) or 3 (%) and zinc sulphate at 0.5 per cent, 1 per cent or 2 per cent increased total soluble solids, ascorbic acid,

reducing and total sugar with reduction in acid content of guava fruits. The similar effect were found by Ghosh and Besra (2000), Hasan and Jana (2000) and Singaram and Prabhu (2001).

Table 3: Effect of various micronutrients on Total soluble solid (<sup>0</sup>Brix), Acidity (%),

Treatment	Treatment combinations	Total	Acidity	Ascorbic	Total
notation		soluble	(%)	acid	sugars
		solid		(mg / 100 g)	(%)
		(Brix)			
T <sub>0</sub>	Control	7.19	0.90	100.12	6.03
T <sub>1</sub>	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%)	8.22	0.82	111,01	6.86
T <sub>2</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%)	8.84	0.68	116.03	6.01
T <sub>3</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.4%)	9.04	0.84	122.21	6.10
T <sub>4</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)	9.08	0.93	126.26	6.64
T <sub>5</sub>	FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%)	8.88	0.74	132.16	6.93
T <sub>6</sub>	ZnSO <sub>4</sub> (0.5%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)	9.52	0.68	136.02	7.01
T <sub>7</sub>	KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%) + FeSO <sub>4</sub> (0.4%)	9.77	0.70	145.24	7.24
T <sub>8</sub>	H <sub>3</sub> BO <sub>3</sub> (0.4%) + KNO <sub>3</sub> (3%)	9.93	0.40	148.32	7.77
	$FeSO_4(0.2\%) + ZnSO_4(0.25\%)$	10.23	0.38	150.03	7.86
T <sub>9</sub>	+				
	$H_3BO_3(0.2\%) + KNO_3(1.5\%)$				
	F-Test	S	S	S	S
	SE(d)	0.889	0.188	16.724	0.669
	C.D. at 0.5%	0.281	0.059	5.288	0.211
	CV	0.098	0.267	0.129	0.097

Ascorbic acid (mg / 100 g) and Total sugars (%) of Guava:

## **Economics**

Maximum gross returns & Net Return (2105 Rs. plant<sup>-1</sup>, 1623 Rs. plant<sup>-1</sup>) respectively was recorded in treatment T<sub>9</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%) + KNO<sub>3</sub> (1.5%) & maximum Cost: Benefit ratio (4.61) was recorded in treatment T<sub>5</sub> FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.4%) +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.5%) and the minimum Gross Return, Net Return & Cost: Benefit ratio (496.5 Rs. Plant<sup>-1</sup>, 182.5 Rs. Plant<sup>-1</sup> & 1.58) respectively was recorded in treatment T<sub>0</sub> (Control).

## **CONCLUSION:**

From the present investigation it is concluded that treatment  $T_9$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.2%) + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>(0.25%) + H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> (0.2%)+KNO<sub>3</sub>(1.5%) performed best in terms of yield parameters (fruit weight (130.45g), fruit length (7.93cm), fruit diameter (7.28cm), fruit yield per tree (42.10 kg) and quality parameters (T.S.S (10.23<sup>0</sup>Brix), Acidity (0.38%), Ascorbic acid (150.03mg/100g), Total sugars (7.86%) of Guava.

However, highest B: C ratio was found in Treatment  $T_5$  (FeSO<sub>4</sub> (0.4%) +ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (0.5%) with 4.61.

## **REFERENCES:**

- Abhijith Y.C, Dinakara Adiga J, Honnabyraiah MK, Shivanna M, Kishor H, Sindhu, C. (2018). Effect of micronutrients on yield and quality of aonla (Emblica officinalis Gaertn.) cv. NA-7. International Journal of Chemical Studies; 6(6):203-207.
- **Awasthi, P. and Shant, L. (2009).** Effect of calcium, boron and zinc sulphate n the yield and quality of guava (Psidium guajava L.). Pantnagar Journal of Research Vol.7 No.2 pp.223-225 ref.11.
- Bastakoti, Saurav.; Sharma, Deepika; Shrestha, Arjun Kumar (2022). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, fruit retention and yield parameters of acid lime (Citrus aurantifolia Swingle). Cogent Food & Agriculture, Section- Soil & Crop Science, Vol-8, Issue-1.
- **Dashora, L.K.; Lakhawat, S.S. and Kavita, A.K. (2005).** Effect of foliar spray of zinc and boron on yield and quality of aonla. National Seminar on commercialization of Horticulture by Central Institute for Arid Horticulture, Bikaner (Rajasthan) from Feb. 5-6:8-5.
- EI-Sherif, A.A.; Saeed, W.T. and Nouman, V.F. (2000). Effect of foliar application of potassium and zinc on behaviour of Mantakhab EI-kanater guava trees. Egyptian Journal of Agricultural Sciences, Bullentin of faculty of Agricultural Sciences, Cairo University Vol. 51, Issue 1, Pages:73-84.
- **Ghosh, S.N. and Besra, K.C.** (2000). Effect of Zn, B and Fe spray on quality and yield of sweet orange cv. Mosambi grown under rain fed literate soil. Indian Agriculturist, 44:3-4, 147-151.
- Giram, K, S; Shinde, S.J.; Jaiswal, S.B; L.G, Pavankumar (2021). Influence of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and chemical attributes of Mrig bahar guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Sardar. The Pharma Innovation Journal;10(10): 842-844.
- Goswami, A.K.; Shukla, H.S.; and Mishra; P.K. (2012). Effect of preharvest application of micro-nutrients on quality of guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Sardar. HortFlora Research Spectrum, 1 (1):60-63.
- **Hasan, M. D.A.; Jana, A. (2000).** Effect of potassium, calcium, zinc and copper in improving the chemical composition of fruits in litchi cv. Bombai. Environment and Ecology, 18: (2) 497-499.
- Khafagy, S.A.A.; Zaied, N.S.; Nageib, M.M.; Saleh, M.A.; and Fouad, A.A. (2010). The beneficial effects of yeast and zinc sulphate on yield and fruit quality of Navel orange trees. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences 6 (6):635-638.ref.

- **Kumar, Y. and Rattanpal, H. S. (2010).** Effect of pruning in guava planted at different spacings under Punjab conditions. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 67: 115-119.
- **Kumar, Raj; Tiwari, J.P., and Lal, Shant (2010).** Influence of zinc sulphate and boric acid spray on vegetative growth and yield of winter 64 season guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Pantnagar Journal of Research; 8 (1):135-138.
- Mishra, L.N.; Singh, S.K.; Sharma, H.C.; Goswami, A.M. and Pratap, Bhanu (2003). Effect of micronutrients on fruit yield and quality of Kinnow mandarin under high density planting. Indian Journal of Horticulture, 60 (2): 131-134.
- **Prasad, B.; Das, S.; Chaterjee, D. and Singh, U.P. (2005).** Effect of foliar application urea, zinc and boron on yield of guava. Journal of Applied Biology, 15 (1): 44-47.
- **Ruby R. and Brahmachari, V.S. (2001).** Effect of foliar application of calcium, zinc and boron on cracking and physico-chemical composition of litchi. Orissa Journal of Horticulture, 29 (1):50-54.
- Sachin, Arvind Kumar, Vipin Kumar, S.K. Tripathi and Mukesh Kumar (2019). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on physical quality parameters of Guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Lalit. Journal of Horticulture 12 (2): 130-133.
- **Sarkar, A. and Ghosh, B. (2009).** Effect of foliar application of boron on retention, yield and quality of litchi fruit cv. Bombai. Environment and Ecology, Vol.27 (1): 89-91.
- **Singaram, P. and Prabhu, P.C. (2001).** Effect of Zn and B on growth and quality of grapes cv. Muscat Madras Agricultural Journal, 88 (4-6): 223-236.
- **Singh, Jitendra and Maurya, A.N. (2003).** Effects of micronutrients on quality of mango fruit (Mangifera indica L.) cv. Mallika. Progressive Agriculture, 4 (1): 47-50.
- **Singh, N.T.T.; Prasad, V.B. and Collis, J.P. (2012).** Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on yield and fruit quality of guava (Psidium guajava L.). HortFlora Research Spectrum, Vol. 1 No.3 pp.281.283. ref.9.
- Singh, Yatendra; Singh, S.S.; Singh, Raj Kumar; Prasad, V.M. and Yadav, Atul (2017). Assess the effect of different levels of micronutrient on quality attributes of guava (Psidium guajava L.)" cv Allahabad Safeda. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 2017; 6(6): 1340-1345.
- Venu A.; Delvadia, D.V.; Sharma, L.K.; Gardwal, P.C. and Makhmale, S. (2014). Effect of micronutrients application on flowering and yield of acid lime (Citrus aurantifolia L.)". cv. Kagzi Lime. International Journal of Microbiology Research; 32 (3/4): 331-556.
- Waskela, R.S.; Kanpure, R.N.; Kumaw, B.R.; and Kachouli, B.K. (2013). To study the effect of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of guava. International Journal Agriculture Sciences, vol. 9 No. 2: pp.551-556.
- Yadav, R.K.; Ram, R.B. Meena, M.L.; Kumar, V., and Singh, H.D. (2015). Response of foliar spray of micronutrients on fruit set, yield and quality of winter season guava (Psidium guajava L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda. Environment and Ecology, Vol.33 No.1B: pp. 444-447 ref.11.
- Yadav, R.A. Chaturvedi, O.P. and Tripathi, V.K. (2004). Influence of Zn and Fe on growth, flowering, yield and quality of strawberry 69 (Fragaria X ananssa DUCH)

cv. Chandler. International Seminar on Recent Trend in Hi-Tech Horticulture and Post -Harvest Technology, Kanpur, Feb. 4-6 2004, 201.

