ABSTRACT

This study investigated ex-situ spatial distribution of iron in soil and its effects on uptake of Fe by Zea mays. A greenhouse pot experiment which simulated three treatments control (0mg/kg Fe added, homogeneous (1000mg/kg Fe added) and heterogeneous (Simulated realistic heterogenity). Zea Mays was transplanted into the three treatments for six weeks after germination and initial establishment for four weeks. At harvest, root and shoot samples were collected and analyzed for Fe using the atomic absorption spectrometer (AAS) Thermos Fisher Scientific model ICE 3000 after acid digestion with nitric acid. The mean root Fe concentration in the control, homogeneous and heterogeneous treatments were 5965mg/kg, 7111mg/kg and 5389mg/kg respectively while the much shoot Fe concentration in the control, homogeneous and heterogeneous treatments were 1121mg/kg, 1405mg/kg and 831mg/kg respectively. There was no significant difference (p>0.05) in the shoot and root Fe concentration between treatments. This suggest that Zea Mays will acquire Fe from varying soil distribution effectively, However the root and shoot Fe concentration was 0.5 two percent higher than the control and heterogeneous treatments indicating an exaggerated uptake of Fe on a homogeneous distributed soil medium which unrealistic in nature shoot Fe concentration were two times as low as the root Fe concentration which implies that Fe is accumulated to a greater degree on the root and translocation to the shoot is greatly impaired in this plant. However the shoot Fe concentration showed significant amount of Fe that may be potentially fund on the edible fruit consumed by humans and animals. The concentration factor (CF) were 0.3656, 0.4394 and 0.3209 for the control, homogeneous and heterogeneous treatments respectively. This similar trend in concentration factor showed that this plant have same ability for uptake of Fe in varying nutrient patch. This study demonstrated that spatial distribution of Fe metals plays a significant role in their uptake by plants it also showed that the metal uptake is also affected by the nature of the sort and *zea Mays* may be a rich source of Fe if grown in Fe rich soil. The plant iron concentration exceeded the WHO limits on food which may power a health risk to consumers. This study has implications for improving the nutritional quality of maize especially in Fe deficient Soils.

INTRODUCTION

Iron(Fe)isanessentialmicronutrientforplantgrowthanddevelopment, playing a vital role in various physiological processes, including photosynthesis, respiration, and enzyme activation (kelerpteris*et al.*, 2006). Iron deficiency inplants can lead to chlorosis, stunted growth, reduced yield, and lower nutritional quality (Fageria, 2001). While iron is abundant in the Earth's crust, it savailabil ity to plants is often limited due to its low solubility and the presence of various soil factors that influence its distribution and uptake (Fimmen, 2009).

The distribution of iron in the soil is rarely uniform, exhibiting spatial heterogeneity at various scales (kabata-Pendias and Mukherjee, 2007). Factorssuch as soil parent material, weathering processes, land history, use and soilmanagementpracticescontributetothenon-uniformdistributionofiron((kabata-Pendias Mukherjee, 2007). The spatial variability of iron in and thesoilcanrangefrommacro-scalepatterns, such as variations across lands capes or field sections, to micro-scale patterns, such as variations within a single soilaggregate (Viscarraet al., 2016). Understanding the heterogeneous distribution of iron in the soil and its relation of to iron uptake by maize is great importance for a gricultural productivity and sustainable plant nutrition (Setime la et al., 2)017).

Maize is a globally important crop mainly utilized as feed, food and raw material for diverse industrial applications. Among cereals, it occupies the third place after wheat and rice and is a staple food for a large segment of population worldwide

Maize (*Zea mays*) is one of the most widely cultivated cereal crops globally, serving as a staple food for millions of people. Its growth and productivity

aredirectlyinfluencedbytheavailabilityanduptakeofessentialnutrients,includingiro n(Setimela*etal.*,2017).

The spatial variability of iron in the soil can significantly impact the iron uptakeefficiency of maizeplants. Regions with high iron concentrations in the soilmay provide favorable conditions for iron uptake, leading to improved plantgrowth and yield. Conversely, areas with low iron concentrations may posechallenges for maize plants in acquiring sufficient iron, potentially resulting innutrient deficiencies and reduced cropproductivity (Marschner, 2012).

The relationship between iron distribution in the soil and its uptake by maize isinfluencedbyvariousfactors.Soilproperties,suchaspH,organicmattercontent,and redoxconditions,canaffectironsolubilityandavailability(Johnson,2017).

Acidic soils with low pH often limit iron availability, while alkaline soils canlead to iron precipitation and reduced uptake (Guptaand lipsett, 1981).Organicmattercaninfluenceironretentionandrelease, affecting its accessibilit ytoplantroots(Guptaandlipsett, 1981). Theredox potential of the soil environment can influence iron speciation and its availability for uptake (Guptaand lipsett, 1981). Efficient management of iron in the soil is crucial to enhanceironuptakebymaizeandimprovecropproductivity(Vertetal., 2002). Targete

dfertilization approaches, based on the specific distribution patterns of iron, canoptimizenutrientapplication, ensuring that maizeplants receive adequateiron in regions with low concentrations (Vert *et al.*, 2002). Soil amendments and organic fertilizers can also be employed to modify soil properties and improveiron availability (Vert *et al.*, 2002). Iron (Fe) uptake by *Zea mays*, commonly known as maize, is a nuanced process influenced by spatial heterogeneity within the soil environment (Thompson*etal.*, 2012).

The spatial distribution of iron in the rhizosphere, which is the soil regioninfluenced by root activity, plays a pivotal role in determining the availabilityandsubsequentuptakeofironbymaizeplants.Understandingthespatialhe terogeneity in iron uptake is crucial for optimizing agricultural practices andenhancingtheefficiencyofironacquisitionbythisessentialcerealcrop(Kronzuck er*etal.*,2016).

Therhizosphereisadynamicandcomplexinterfacewheresoil, plantroots, and microorganisms interact. In this microenvironment, the availability of iron is subject to spatial variations influenced by factors such as soiltex ture, pH, and the prese nceoforganic matter. Maizeroots release various compounds into the rhizosphere, incl udingorganic acids and root exudates, which can influence the solubility and mobility of iron in the soil (Kronzucker *et al.*, 2016).

Maize exhibits a distinctive root architecture, with primary, seminal, and lateralroots exploring the soil in search of nutrients. Each type of root contributes

tothespatialheterogeneityofironuptake.Lateralroots,forinstance,areresponsible for exploring the outer regions of the rhizosphere, encounteringdifferent iron availability zones. The spatial distribution of iron uptake zones isnot uniform across the root system, and specific root segments may exhibithigheror lowerironuptakecapacities(Kronzucker*etal.*,2016).

Microorganisms in the rhizosphere further contribute to spatial heterogeneity inironavailability.Somemicrobescanenhanceironsolubilitythroughtheproduction of siderophores, organic molecules that chelate iron, making it moreaccessible to plant roots. The spatial distribution of these microbial populations and their activities in the rhizosphere can create hotspots of increased ironavailability, influencing the areas where maize roots are more likely to absorbiron (Kronzucker*et al.*, 2016). One of the critical processes in iron uptake bymaize is the reduction of ferric iron (Fe^3+) to ferrous iron (Fe^2+) at the root-soilinterface.Thisreductionoccursprimarilyintherhizosphereandisinfluencedbysp atialvariationsinoxygenlevels.Micrositeswithdifferentredox potentials within the rhizosphere contribute to spatial heterogeneity in theavailabilityofFeimpacting theefficiencyofironuptakebymaizeroots.

Therelationshipbetweentheheterogeneousdistributionofironinsoilandtheefficienc yofironuptakebyplants remains poorlyunderstood.

The impact of soil heterogeneity on the dynamics of iron uptake by plantsneedstobeunderstood.

Theidentification of factors that may influence the heterogeneous distribution of iron is crucial in optimizing iron availability top lants.

The lack of comprehensive studies on the effect of soil heterogeneity onironuptakelimitsourunderstandingofplantnutrientacquisitionstrategies.

The complex interaction between soil properties, such as pH, organicmatter content, and iron mobility may contribute to the heterogeneous distribution of iron and consequently affect iron up take by plant.

However, several knowledge gaps and challenges exist in understanding theheterogeneous distribution of iron in the soil and its relation to maize ironuptake. The spatial variability of iron needs to be accurately characterized atdifferent scales, and the underlying factors contributing to this variability shouldbe identified. (White *et al.*, 2010). The genetic and physiological variations inmaize plants that influence iron uptake efficiency require further investigation.Additionally, the interactions between iron and other nutrients, as well as

theimpactofenvironmentalfactors, needtobeconsidered to develop comprehensive strategies for optimizing iron uptake in maize (Vert *et al.*, 2002).

Investigating the heterogeneous distribution of iron in soil provides valuableinsight into understanding the availability of this essential nutrient to maizeplants. Thisknowledgehelpsfarmers and agriculturist to make informed decision ns regarding soil management practice including iron supplementations trategies to optimize plants growth and yield. A comprehensive understanding of the relationship between iron spatial heterogeneity in the soil and its uptakebymaize can aid in optimizing fertilizer application.

Maize is a stable crop worldwide, an iron deficiency can severely affect itsproductivity. By studying the heterogeneous distribution of iron and its impactonironuptakebymaize,researcherscandevelopstrategiestoenhancecrop productivity and mitigate iron deficiency-related issues. This knowledge can beused to improve breeding programmes, develop iron-efficient maize varieties, orimplement precision agriculture techniques to ensure optimal iron availability tothecrop.

Iron deficiency is a widespread form of micronutrient malnutrition, particularlyin developing countries wheremaize adietary staple. Understanding the factors influencing iron up take by maize can contribute to address ing this nutritiona 1 challenge (Pii*et al.*,2015) In interventions such as iron fortification, bio fortification, or soil amendment practices can be implemented to enhances oil iron content, thus improving the nutrition alguality of the crop and reducin g iron deficiency-related healt his such as

Efficient nutrient management is a key aspect of sustainable agriculture. Byinvestigating the Spartial heterogeneity of iron and its relation to iron uptake bymaize, researchers can contribute to more sustainable farming practices. Thisknowledgehelpsoptimizeresourceallocation, reducenutrientloss through leachi ngorrunoff, and enhance overall nutrient use efficiency.

Theaim of this study is to investigate the up take of iron by Zeamays and its relationshipwithitsspatial distributioninsoil.

2. METHODS

Maize (Zea maize) was selected for pot trials based on the fact that it iscommonly consumed. Maize (Zea mays) is one of the most widely cultivatedcerealcropsglobally, serving as a staple food formillions of people. A knowle dgeoftheinsituheterogeneityofleadinanearlierstudyoncontaminant heterogeneity (Anibasa and Ramsey 2020) was applied to simulatesimilar scales of this known heterogeneity of the iron in study based on scale ofheterogeneities.Maize(Zeaymaize)wasusedinagreenhousepottrialmodelling the simulated in situ heterogeneity. Herbage samples was processed and analyzed for the concentrations of iron in roots and shoots at the end of the growth period using appropriate analytical methods and equipmente.g. the Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AAS) Data collected from this study wasanalyzed using appropriate statistical tools. Growth medium samples were alsoanalyzed for the concentrations of iron. These data will provide information on the uptake potential of the maize and availability of these nutrients to potential consumers of these crops.

2.1 ExperimentalDesign

Thiswasdoneasdescribedby(Solomon-

Wisdom*etal.*,2015).Fourheterogeneitymodelswassimulated(usingexcelcomputer modelswithacombination of the Robust ANOVA- a visual basic programme developed basedon a FORTRAN programme and previous work, which will generate the levelsof heterogeneity similar to those that had been found in field sites and previousfield studies. The scale of heterogeneity used, the plant

species selected, and themean Fe concentrations that was chosen, was based upon conclusions of pottrialsinearlierstudies(Ogunlade-Anibasa,2023)Thesamplesizewasdetermined using power analysis to estimate the minimum number of

replicatesrequiredtodetectastatisticallysignificantdifferencebetweenmeansofdiffe rent treatments based on the assumption that data will be normal in their distribution. Data from these edgermination was used for power analysis having confirmed they are normally distributed using the Kolmogorov Smirnovtest. It is impossible to simulate the exact in situ heterogeneity (real lifesituation). The actual spatial heterogeneity of nutrients can only be estimated by sampling at the is practically impossible to field site. and it recreate the exact insituheterogeneityinpottrials. Inviewofthispotential complexity, the model of heter ogeneity was designed to simulate as closely as practicably possible the insitu heterogeneity of trace elements measured at this scale in field sites in anearlierstudy(Anibasa,2023)witharangeofintermediateHF(HFrangedfrom1to3.2 2(3.22atthe20mscale). The proposed simulation of heterogeneity factors (HF) was 1.00, 1.25, 2.00 and 3.19 while an overall mean concentration of approximately 1000 mg/kg in all treatments was maintained The simulationwas based on the log-normal distribution field observed in those sites, withincreasing values of geometric standard deviation (GSD) and hence the values of HF. The central cell (C3) of all treatments was maintained at 1000 mg/kg Fe. This is to ensure that the heterogeneity treatment did not differentially affect theearly establishment of these edling.

| Cells | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Α | 900 | 700 | 900 | 1100 | 900 |
| В | 1100 | 1100 | 1400 | 1400 | 1400 |
| С | 1100 | 700 | 1000 | 900 | 900 |
| D | 1100 | 900 | 1100 | 1800 | 900 |
| E | 900 | 1100 | 900 | 1100 | 700 |

List1a:Modelsofinsitu heterogeneity.

| Cells | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|-------|------|------|------|------|------|
| А | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| В | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| С | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| D | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| Е | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 | 1000 |

List1b:Modelsofinsituheterogeneity.

2.2SeedGerminationExperiment

Thiswasdone asdescribedby (Anibasa,2016).Prior toseedgerminationexperiment, one seed tray was washed and sterilized with household bleach (onepart to nine parts of water), thoroughly rinsed with tap water and finally withreverse osmosis water and air dried to ensure they are sterile for seed sowing.Tray was labelled with the name of plant to be sown and date sown on them.Seed trays with drain holes were used to prevent water-logged conditions afterseeds had been sown. A light density fine grade, Sinclair vermiculite of (grainsize 2.0-5.0 mm) with neutral pH 7 (which is lighter and easier for seeds tobreakthrough it) was used for sowing seeds. It was watered with tap water untilevenly moist

before sowing seeds and then placed in the seed trays about 1cmbelow the rim. The sprinkled thinly on the vermiculite or according seeds was to supplier's instruction if present and covered thinly with vermiculite. After sowing, the large tray with drain holes was used to cover tray to let in light andair, preventmedium from drying out and becoming dampas well. They were left to germinate in a greenhouse under a photoperiod of 16 hours' natural lightand maintained at a temperature of 30 C ± 5°C. The Tray was removed oncegermination occurred. Watering was done carefully when the top of the seedtraysappeareddryusingafinespraywateringcan, and watersprinkledgentlyto avoid resetting or disturbing the seeds. The surface was kept evenly moistand Zeamays considered for initial never dried out. transplanting into unspikedgrowthmediumafter7daysofgerminationtoensurepropergrowthand establishment before the actual transplant into the trace metal spiked growthmedium.Aftergerminationandthedevelopmentofthefirsttrueleaves,plantso f approximately equal size was selected and transplanted into the Centre ofseparate circular 1- litre pots (15 cm deep and 12 cm wide) pots for each unspiked growth medium (washedsilver sand, speciescontaining John InnescompostII, 7 parts sand to 3-part compost). Thirty seedling of zea mays was transplanted into pots (making a total of 30 seedlings) of unspiked growth medium first fortwo weeks and was watered daily using a fine rose watering can. This was maintained under 16 hours of natural light at 30 ± 5 o C in the greenhouse.

 $\label{eq:label} Attwo weeks after the first transplanting, tenseed lings of each species was transplanted$

into the 15 potscontaining growth medium spikedwithFe atconcentrations of 1000 Fe mg/kgFe added (homogenous)and0 mg/kg addedtreatment(control)andheterogeneoustreatmentwhichsimulatedrealisticheter ogenity. A total of 30 pots was maintained (1000 mg/kg (homogenous) and0 mg/kg added treatment (control and heterogeneous treatments) for 6 weeksunder a photoperiod of 16 hours natural sunlight at $30 \pm 5^{\circ}C$ in the greenhouse. These were maintained in 3.5-litre square pots (dimensions 17 cm x 24 cm) in a simple randomized block design both in 1000 mg/kg Fe added and 0 mg/kgadded Fe as control and heterogeneous treatment were rotated clockwise by 90oweekly toreducetheeffectof uneven environmental conditions within the greenhouse. Randomized blocks were between tr eatments, because of the number of the available space/m2 of greenhouse benches.

2.3 GreenHousePotExperiments

This was done as described by (Anibasa, 2016) and (Ogunlade and Ramsey2023).Fifteen(15)rigidsquarepots(14X14cmand17cmdeep)werethorough ly washed with detergents and labeled with namesof plant speciesthree treatments e.g. Homogeneous, heterogeneous and control. A customizedcell divider made from a 1 mm clear polyethylene terephthalate glycol (PTEG)sheet was inserted into the pots to produce a 5 by 5, 2-dimensional grid witheach cell measuring 25 mm square and 170 mm deep. This was used to createthe designed heterogeneity models. The relatively thin PETG helped to maintaintheheterogeneity designby

reducing the collapse of each column after its removal. Labeled paper liners were inserted and the set of th

dintoeachcellwhilefillingcellswithgrowthmedia.Itprovidedafillingtemplate,tohel ptomaintainthestructural integrity of the divider and minimize spillage from adjacent cells. Thegap between the paper liners and the outer edge of the pot were packed with aninert Sinclair Perlite (grain size 2.0-5.0 mm) because of the non-vertical

ofpots.Cellswerefilledaccordingtotheparticulardesignedmodelofheterogeneity. Filling of the pots was done in two stages to ensure that equalvolume of growth medium goes into the cells and that the growth medium isevenly distributed throughout the pot. The gently compacted growth mediumwasmeasured with a 100 ml customized container into each cell according to the design. The growth medium will be before additional 50 tapped down an mlwill beaddedandtappeddown again. Completed potswere placedondriptrays and arranged on benches in the randomized block design with blocks of 3 rowsand 3columnsasshownintable1

Thegrowthmediumwasmoistenedfrombelowbycapillaryactionbeforetransplanting seedlings already established in an unspikedgrowth media for twoweeks. Tap water was applied using a fine rose wateringcan. This ensured thatthe heterogeneity is disturbed to a minimal extent. Thepercentage moisturecontent of the growth media was taken. The pH of thegrowth media was taken. The established seedlings of the selected plant specieswastransplantedinto

14

the Centre of each treatment after two weeks growing in the unspiked growth media. Ten the contrast of the treatment of the

replicates of each treatment was maintained in the greenhouse forsix weeks under simulated sunlight using light-emitting diodes (LED) lights(undera photoperiodof 12 hours) at30±5C.

2.4 Harvesting

Harvesting was done after 60 days of growth. Data such as Plant biomass rootand shoot biomass (Fresh Weight and Dry Weight) in all pots in homogeneous,heterogeneous and control treatments were collected at harvest to assess

the impact of heterogeneity on the plant species. Shoots of all treatments was harvested.

Plant stems were cut 0.01 mm above the soil surface for shoot harvest and soilremoved from the roots using a sieve. Soil was removed from harvested plantmaterialsbyrepeatedwashingusingtapwateranddriedat60oCfor48hours. This was milled (using an herbage mill) for acid digestion using nitric andperchloricacidsand analyzedforFeusingtheAAS.

2.5 ChemicalAnalysis

ShortenedRootswerecarefully washed toremovesoilparticlesthatcouldintroduce potential bias in measurements of Fe concentration. Harvested rootsand shoots were dried at 60°C for 48 hours in a fan oven, weighed for DryWeight,andanalyzedforFeconcentrationusinganAtomicAbsorptionSpectrome ter(AAS)afteraciddigestionusingnitricacid(ThompsonandWalsh, 1983). Thompson and Walsh (1983) reported that a biomass of 1 gram(Dry Weight) was ideal for chemical analysis, but did not preclude the use ofsmaller masses, with suitable checks on data quality. The growth media wasanalyzedfor their actual Fe concentration. Regressionanalysiswas usedtoshowtherelationshipbetweentheactualconcentrationsandthenominalconcen trations.

2.6 AcidDigestion

Thiswasdoneasdescribeby(AOAC, 1990)withlittleamendments

Samples of maize shoot and root was washed thoroughly after harvesting toremoveany externalcontaminants. The maizeshootandrootwasdriedtoremoveexcessmoisture.Themaizerootandshootwas grindedintofineparticles to increase the surface area available for digestion.

The respectiveprepared samples of maizewas weighed 0.3g using a weighbalance and it was poured into a beaker 70% of concentrated nitric acid wasadded. The beaker containing the sample and nitric acid was placed in a minutesing system at a temperature of 100-200 degree delicious for five to ten minutes in the process of the heating distilled water was added.

The digestion process was completed when the maize shoot and root of therespectivesamplesweredissolvedcompletelyandnosolidresidueorundigestedpa rticlesremain

The digested samples were allowed to cool to room temperature and diluted with deionized water to reduce the acidity and concentrate the analytes in the solution for subsequent analysis. Filter pepper was used to filter any undissolved par ticles or in soluble impurities to obtain a clear solution for further analysis

2.7 DataAnalysis

Datawereanalyzedusing statisticalsoftwareMinitab 18andSPSS 25forWindows. Statistical tools such as analysis of variance (ANOVA), RANOVA(robust analysis of variance) as shown in Appendix iii was be used to test forsignificanceofmeasuredvariableswhilstKolmogorov-Smirnovtestwasusedto test for normal distribution of data as shown in Appendix ii Other relevantstatistical toolsand software packageswereused toanalyzeandmodeldatafromthisstudy.

2.8 QualityControl

Appropriatesafetymeasuresandprocedurewerefollowedtoensurethereliability of the test results. Chemicals and reagents used were of analyticalgrade, utensilswere thoroughly and properly cleaned during the research.Samples were cautiously handled to minimize cross-contaminations and reagentblanks, duplicate samples, and certified Reference material(CRM)IAEA-V8wereincorporatedintosamplebatchtocheckforcontamination, analytical precisio n and estimation of bias respectively.

3. RESULTS

ThemeanshootFeconcentrationforthecontrol,homogeneousandheterogeneoustrea tmentswere1121mg/kg,1405mg/kgand831mg/kgrespectively (Figure 1) while the mean root Fe concentration for the control,homogeneous and heterogeneous

were 5965mg/kg, 7111mg/kg and 5389mg/kgrespectivelyasshownin(Figure2). Therewasnosignificant difference(P> 0.05)in the and shootFeconcentration between treatments root (Appendixv). The control has the highest shoot Fe concentration of 1121 mg/kg followe 1405mg/kg and dby the homogeneous treatments the heterogeneous treatments with the lowest mean shoot concentration of 831mg/kg (as shown in Figure 3). This indicates that the normal soil is rich in Fe. The highest mean root Feconcentrationof7111mg/kgwasrecordedinthehomogeneoustreatmentsfollowed by the control 5965mg/kg and the heterogeneous treatment had thelowest mean root Fe concentration of 5389mg/kg (as shown in figure 3). Thisshowed that Zea Mays will accumulate Fe easily in a homogeneous distributedFe medium. The shoot concentration root and were over 100 times higher thantheWHOrecommended valueof45mg/kginplants (Table1).

The concentration factors for control, homogeneous and heterogeneous were0.3656, 0.4394 and 0.3209 respectively. The concentration factor (C.F) washighest in the homogenous treatment with about 0.1% higher than the controlandheterogeneous. This showed that *Zeamays* accumulate Fefrom the soil and

translocated about 10% of the accumulated Fet othe shoot in respective of the spatial distribution of the standard sta

ibution.

Table1:Meanconcentrationin(mg/kg)ofmaizerootsandshootsbetwe endifferenttreatments.

| Soil Treatments | Meanconcentration(mg/kg) | | |
|------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Root | | | |
| Control | 5965±674 | | |
| Homogeneous | 7111±2492 | | |
| Heterogeneous | 5389±1374 | | |
| Shoot | | | |
| Control | 1121±511 | | |
| Homogeneous | 1405±123 | | |
| Heterogeneous | 831±114 | | |
| Recommendedvalue | 45mg/kg(WHO2002) | | |



Figure1:Ironconcentrationofmg/kgofZeamays rootbetweentreatments



Figure 2: Iron concentration in mg/kg of Zea mays shoot

betweentreatments



Figure 3: Comparison of Shoot and Root concentration of

betweentreatments

4. DISCUSSION

The results of this study indicate that the spatial distribution of Fe in the soil hassignificant impact on the Fe uptake by maize plants. Iron was found to haveaccumulated to a greater extent in the root in all treatments. This suggests thatplant thrived well in normal Fe rich soil and on enhanced or Fe fortified soils. The higher shoot Fe concentration in the homogeneous treatment compared

totheheterogeneoustreatmentindicatesthattheplanthavetendenciestoaccumulatehi gherFeinahomogeneouslydistributedsoil.Comparingthemeasured Fe concentration with the World Health Organization recommendedvalue of 45mg/kg of plants; it is evident that all three treatments exceeded therecommended Fe value. The mean root Fe concentration was 119.76 to 158.02timeshigherthantheWHOlimit,whilethemeanshootFeconcentrationwas 18.47to31.22timeshigher.ThesefindingsindicatesthattheFeconcentrationin the plants are relatively high, which may have implications for health impactfor humans and animals.

Efficient iron uptake and translocation mechanism arecrucial for adequate iron accumulation in the shoots which is essential for plantgrowth and development (Romheld and Marschner, 1986). Iron concentration toshoot was greatly reduced in this study complex interaction of edaphic factorsandplantsspecificmechanismmayhavebeenresponsibleandthisstudyshowe dthatspatialdistributionofFeinthesoilcanbesignificantlyinfluence uptake. Thiscan be exploredtoimprove Fe concentration ofsoils deficientinFe to improve plant

growth and consequent availability to humans and animals. The high shoot Fe concentration observed in control treatment can be attributed to the fact the inherent soil was rich in Fe. The added Fe resulted in increased Feuptake by the plants, leading to elevated Fe concentration in both the shoots androots. However, it is important to note that these high Fe concentrations may notnecessarily translate to improved plant health or nutrient availability. ExcessiveFeconcentrationcandisruptthebalanceofotheressentialnutrientsandpote ntiallyhaveadverseeffects on plant growth and development.

5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, spatial distribution of Fe impact uptake by maize plants. The control treatment, representing normal soil conditions without additional Fesupplementation, resulted in relatively high shoot and root Fe concentration, indicating that soil is originally rich in Fe. The homogeneous treatment, with a uniform distribution of Fe in the soil, led to higher mean root and shoot Fe concentration compared to the control and heterogeneous treatments. On the other hand, the heterogeneous treatment, with a non-uniform distribution of Fe, resulted inthelowest mean root Fe concentration.

All three treatments exceeded the recommended Fe concentrations set by theWorldHealthOrganization(WHO)forplants.ThehighFeconcentrationsobserve dintheplantsmaybeattributedtotheinherentFerichcontrolsoiland

thefortifiedtreatmentswhichhasimplicationsforimprovingthenutritionalqualityof maize for humanconsumption.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS

Basedontheresultsofthisstudythefollowingrecommendationcanbemade:

Soil Management: Given the trends in theiron concentrations of *Zea Mays* in the control, homogeneous, and heterogeneous treatments, it is important toconsidersoilmanagementpracticestooptimizeironavailabilityformaizeplants. Thi smayincludemeasuressuchassoilamendmentwithiron-

richfertilizersororganicmattertoimproveironcontentandavailabilityinthesoil.

Translocation Enhancement: While the control treatment exhibited highershoot iron concentrations, indicating efficient translocation from roots to shoots, the homogeneous group had higher root iron concentrations but comparativelylowershootironconcentrations. Therefore, strategies to enhance the translocation of iron from roots to shoots could be explored, such as the use offoliars prays containing chelated iron of fortification techniques.

Site-Specific Approaches: The lower iron concentrations observed in bothshootsand roots of theheterogeneoustreatment suggest limitationsin ironavailability and uptake. It is important to identify the factors contributing to thislimitation, such as soil heterogeneity or environmental factors, and develop site-specific approaches to address them. This may involve targeted soil analysis,site-specific amendments, or adjustments in irrigation practices to optimize ironavailabilityinheterogeneoussoils.

Nutritional Considerations: The iron concentrations observed in all threegroups exceeded the World Health Organization's recommended value of 45mg/kg. While this may be beneficial in terms of addressing iron deficiency inhumans consuming maize, it is important to consider the overall nutritionalbalanceandpotentialhealthimplicationsofexcessiveironintake.Furtherre search is needed to assess the bioavailability and bio accessibility of iron inmaize plants with high iron concentrations to ensure optimal nutritional benefitswithoutpotentialadverseeffects.

Long-TermMonitoring: Tofully understand the effectiveness of recommended interventions and the stability of iron concentrations in maizeplants, long-term studies should conducted. This monitoring be would helpassessthesustainability of soil management practices, the persistence of enhanced mechanisms, and uptake and translocation potential iron any changesinplanthealthorproductivityovertime.

CropDiversificationandRotation:Additionally,consideringcropdiversificationa ndrotationstrategiesmaybebeneficial.Introducingleguminous crops or cover crops known for their ability to fix atmosphericnitrogen and improve soil health can contribute to better iron availability forplants.

Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing: Collaboration among researchers, agronomists, and farmers is crucial for sharing knowledge and best practices regarding iron up take optimization in plants. This can facilitate the developm ent and adoption of effective strategies tailored to specific regions and production systems.

By implementing these recommendations, it is possible to improve iron

uptakeand accumulation in maize, thereby enhancing the nutritional quality of the cropand addressing iron deficiency concerns in populations relying on maize as astaplefood.

REFERENCES

- Anibasa, G. O. (2016). In situ metal heterogeneneity-its implication for plantuptake.Micheal.H.RamseyandElizabeth.A.John(eds).LambertAcade micpublishingcompany,GermanyISBN978-3330-00833-5
- Anibasa,G.O.,andRamsey,M.H.(2020).Heterogeneityfactor-anovelprogram and
approach to soil trace metal contamination.Zumajournalofpureandandappliedscience.11(1):32-56ISSN11196548.
- Anibasa, G. O., and Udeze, I. E. (2019). Accumulation of Potentially ToxicElementsinOccimumgratissumandVernoniaamygdalinasoldinGwag waladaMarket,FederalCapitalTerritory-Abuja,Nigeria.ZumaJournalofpureandAppliedSoilogy,10(1).
- Fageria, N.K. (2001). Nutrient interactions incropplants. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, 24 (8), 1269-1290.
- Fimmen,R.L.(2015).Rhizosphereredoxdynamics:frommeasurementsinthelaborat orytopredictionsandmeasurementsinthefield.InS.Banerjee,
 M.B.H.Awad,andM.N.Al-Subai(Eds.),SoilandWaterContamination: From molecular to catchment scale (pp. 101-128).CRCPress.
- Gupta, U. C., and Lipsett, J. (1981). Iron nutrition of field crops. Advances in Agronomy, 34, 1-88.
- Johnson, L. K. (2017). Soil Microbial Communities and Their Role in MaizeGrowth and Nutrition. *Frontiersin PlantScience*, 6, 1465.
- Kabata-Pendias, A., and Mukherjee, A. B. (2007). Trace elements from soil tohuman.Springer ScienceandBusiness Media.

- Kelerpteris, K., Argyraki, A. andAlexakis, D. (2006).Multivariate statistics andspatial interpretation of geochemical data for assessing soil contaminationbypotentiallytoxicelementsintheminingareaofStratoni,North Greece.GeochemistryEnvironmentAnalysis6: 349-355.
- Kronzucker,H.J., Coskun, D., Britto, D.T., and Huynh,W.Q.,(2016). The role ofsilicon in higher plants under salinity and drought stress. *Frontiers inplantscience*,7,210358.
- Li, G., Kronzucker, H. J., and Shi, W. (2016). The response of the root apex inplantadaptation to iron heterogeneity insoil.

FrontiersinPlantScience,7,344.

Marschner, P. (2012). Rhizospherebiology. In Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants (pp. 369-388). Academic Press.

- Ogunlade-Anibasa,G.O, John E.A (2023)-the binary simplistic Heterogeneity anunrealistic model for risk assessment and phytoremediation J Am sci;19(10):1-15.ISSN1545-1003(Print);ISSN2375-7264.
- Pii,Y.,Mimmo,T.,Tomasi,N.,Terzano,R.,Cesco,S.,andCrecchio,C.(2015).Microb ial interactions in the rhizosphere: beneficial influences of plantgrowthpromotingrhizobacteriaonnutrientacquisitionprocess.Areview.*Biologyand fertility of soils*,51,403-415.
- Setimela, P. S., Byrnes, N., Hodson, D., andVivek, B. (2017). Genetic gains ingrainyieldthroughgenomicselectioninmaizebreedingprograms.*ThePlant Genome*,10(3),1-11.
- Thompson,E.J.andWalsh,Y.R.(1983).Newapproachestothestudyofhumandystrop hicmusclecellsinculture. *JournaloftheNeurologicalSciences*,58(3),315-334.S

Thompson, S.W., Molz, F. J., Fjeld, R.A., and Kaplan, D.I. (2012).

Uptake, distribution, and velocity of organically complexed plutonium incorn (Zea mays). *Journal of environmental radioactivity*, *112*, 133-140.2012.

Vert,G.,Grotz,N.,andDedaldechamp.,

(2002).IRT1, an Arabidopsis *TransporterEssentialforIronUptakefromtheSoi* landforPlantGrowth.ThePlantCell, 14(6), 1223–1233.

- Vert, J.P., Scornet, E.,Biau, G., Sahoo, S. and Coskun, D. (2015). Consistencyofrandomforests.1716-1741.
- Viscarra Rossel, R. A., Behrens, T., Ben-Dor, E., Brown, D. J., Demattê, J. A.M., Shepherd, K. D., and Wetterlind, J. (2016). A globalspectral librarytocharacterizetheworld'ssoil. *Earth-ScienceReviews*, 155, 198-230.
- White, P.J., and Brown, P.H. (2010). Plantnutrition for sustainable development and gl obalhealth. *Annalsof Botany*, 105(7), 1073-1080.
- WorldHealthOrganization(WHO),(2002).Ironinguidelineforfood.2nded.

Geneva:WorldHealthOrganization

Zhang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, C., and Li, L. (2021). Effects of iron oxiden an oparticles on maize growth, nutrient uptake, and soil properties in along-term field experiment. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 21(12), 4600-461