

# Unlocking the Potential of Chia Seed: A Comprehensive Review of its Potential Applications

## Abstract

Chia, originally from Mexico and Guatemala, is now cultivated globally, including in Australia, Europe, and America. Chia seeds, with an oil content of 25–39% and protein levels of 16–26%, are rich in omega-6 and omega-3 fatty acids, tocopherols, and phytosterols, making them highly valued as functional foods. This review covers methods for extracting chia oil and analyzing its composition and properties. It also examines the potential of chia seed by-products in food processing, such as partially defatted chia cake or meal. These by-products can be transformed into protein concentrates and phenolic compound extracts and used in products like bread, cookies, and pasta. Chia cake can substitute fats and stabilize fatless salad dressings and ice creams, while chia gum from the cake is used in biodegradable films and vegan mayonnaise. Further research is needed to expand the understanding and applications of chia seeds and their by-products in industries.

**Keywords:** Chia; Seed; Oil; Application; Gum

## Introduction

*Salvia hispanica*, commonly known as chia, is an annual oilseed plant belonging to the Lamiaceae family. It produces small, hermaphroditic flowers that are either white or purple, measuring about 3 to 4 mm in size. [1] The plant can grow to a 60 to 180 cm height and features petiolate leaves with serrated edges ranging from 4 to 8 cm in length and 3 to 5 cm in width.[2] Chia plants typically yield between 500 and 600 kg of seeds per acre, although some studies have reported yields varying from 120 to 930 kg per acre.[3]The seeds are small, oval-shaped, and measure approximately 2 mm in length, 1 to 1.5 mm in width, and less than 1 mm in thickness. They come in various colors, including black, gray, black-speckled, and white. Originally native to Mexico and Guatemala, chia is cultivated in various regions, including the Americas, Argentina, Australia, Bolivia, Colombia, Europe, and Peru. Historically, the Aztecs and Mayans valued chia alongside amaranth, beans, and corn for dietary and medicinal uses.[3-10]

Agronomically, research has shown that three varieties of chia—Tzotzol, Iztac 1, and Tliltic—have growth cycles ranging from 125 to 160 days when grown in different locations within

Ecuador's Inter-Andean valleys, such as Patate (2,042 m), Guayllabamba (2,200 m), and Salinas (1,621 m). As outlined in various studies, chia seeds are particularly rich in oil (25% to 39%) and protein (16% to 26%).[6-8,11-13,34]

**Table 1:** Oil and protein levels in various oilseeds.

| Oil Seed  | Yield (%) | Protein content (%) |
|-----------|-----------|---------------------|
| Chia      | 25-39     | 16-26               |
| Canola    | 44-54     | 17-27               |
| Flax      | 37-49     | 19-24               |
| Soybean   | 19-22     | 39%-49              |
| Sunflower | 20-43     | 15-22               |

Adapted from : Mondor and Hernández-Álvarez [34].

Chia seeds' oil content is comparable to sunflower seeds (*Helianthus annuus* L.). However, it is generally lower than that in canola (*Brassica napus* L.) and flax (*Linum usitatissimum* L.), while it exceeds that of soybeans (*Glycine max* L.).[15-20] Chia seeds have a higher protein content than sunflower seeds and are comparable to soybeans in this regard. They are also a rich source of essential fatty acids, including omega-3 and omega-6, as well as tocopherols, phytosterols, and phenolic compounds. As shown in Table 2, the saturated fatty acid content in chia seeds is similar to that of flaxseed but lower than in soybeans and sunflower seeds. Among the five oilseeds listed in Table 2, chia seeds have the lowest content of monounsaturated fatty acids and the highest level of polyunsaturated fatty acids.[21-25,34] Additionally, chia seeds generally have a lower total tocopherol content than the other four oilseeds. This pattern is also observed for total phenolic content, with flax showing a similar phenolic content to chia seeds.[26-33,34]

**Table 2.** Fatty acid profiles, total tocopherol levels, and total phenolic contents in various oilseeds.

| Oilseed   | SFA content (%) | MUFA content (%) | PUFA content (%) | Total tocopherol content (mg/kg) | Total phenolics content (mg/100 g) |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Chia      | 8.7–10.0        | 7.3–11.0         | 80.4–82.7        | 143-164                          | 53-164                             |
| Canola    | 5.5–8.3         | 60.1–78.0        | 15.1–31.0        | 166-526                          | 756-1324                           |
| Flax      | 7.9–9.9         | 13.3–20.1        | 71.7–78.5        | 92.6–311.6                       | 88-156                             |
| Soybean   | 16.4–19.3       | 17.5–23.1        | 60.1–64.3        | 114.0–361.1                      | 183-554                            |
| Sunflower | 10.7            | 27.5             | 61.8             | 153.5–513.3                      | 1800–7200                          |

Source: [34]

Ciftci et al. [15] identified 16 distinct fatty acids in chia seeds, with  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid ( $59.76\% \pm 0.13\%$ ), linoleic acid ( $20.37\% \pm 0.19\%$ ), and oleic acid ( $10.53\% \pm 0.17\%$ ) being the most prominent [33, 35, 36]. These findings align with other studies that also highlight  $\alpha$ -linolenic and linoleic acids as the primary fatty acids in chia seeds, along with significant levels of palmitic acid. The fatty acid composition is influenced by temperature fluctuations during the growing season, with higher temperatures leading to a reduction in linoleic and palmitic acids and an increase in linolenic and stearic acids [37–40]. Ayerza and Coates, along with Ayerza, observed that factors such as geographic location, temperature, light exposure, and soil type significantly impact the fatty acid profile of chia seeds [6-8, 35, 36, 47]. The health benefits of these fatty acids are well-documented, including their roles in maintaining membrane structure and function, regulating intracellular signaling, influencing transcription factors and gene expression, and producing bioactive lipid mediators. Fatty acids from chia seeds are beneficial for cardiovascular health and may offer advantages for managing metabolic conditions such as type 2 diabetes, inflammatory diseases, and cancer.

In addition to fatty acids, 19 polyphenols have been identified in chia seeds, including apigenin, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acid, daidzin, epicatechin, ferulic acid, gallic acid, genistein, genistin, glycitein, glycitin, kaempferol, kaempferol 3-O-glucoside, myricetin, p-coumaric acid, protocatechuic acid ethyl ester, quercetin, rosmarinic acid, and rutin. Chia proteins are classified into several fractions: albumins, globulins, glutelins, and prolamins, each with unique solubility characteristics. Segura-Campos isolated these protein fractions from chia meal using the method developed by Vázquez-Ovando et al. [39, 46]. The predominant fraction was glutelins (42.94%), followed by albumins (20.81%), globulins (17.3%), and prolamins (5.81%). The functional properties of these protein fractions were evaluated, including their water-holding capacity, oil-holding capacity, water-absorption capacity, organic molecule absorption capacity, emulsifying capacity, stability, and foam capacity and stability. Glutelins exhibited the highest water-holding and water-adsorption capacities, albumins were most effective in organic molecule absorption, globulins had the greatest oil-holding capacity, and prolamins demonstrated the highest water-adsorption capacity. Emulsifying capacity was highest for glutelins at pH 2, 4, and 6, and for prolamins at pH 8 and 10. Globulins showed the greatest foam capacity at pH 8, while the other fractions exhibited the highest foam capacity at pH 2. The stability of foaming and emulsifying

properties was significantly influenced by pH. Additionally, chia proteins contain bioactive peptides with potential antidiabetic, anticancer, antimicrobial, antibiofilm, and antioxidant activities.

Chia seeds are a valuable source of dietary fiber, which can be divided into soluble and insoluble types. The soluble fiber, also known as mucilage, can be extracted using water. Dietary fiber has prebiotic properties and is associated with a lower risk of various health issues, including coronary heart disease, stroke, hypertension, diabetes, obesity, and gastrointestinal disorders. The total dietary fiber content in chia seeds ranges from 24.56 g/100 g to 56.46 g/100 g, with insoluble fiber being the predominant type. Klason lignin is identified as the primary component of the insoluble dietary fiber in chia seeds, recognized for its cholesterol-lowering effects. Both soluble and insoluble fiber fractions also contain neutral sugars.[47]

In addition to fiber, chia seeds are rich in bioactive compounds such as phytic acid, trypsin inhibitors, saponins, and tannins. The phytic acid content in chia seeds has been reported to range from 0.96 to 1.16 g/100 g, while other studies have found it to be 0.2341 g/100 g. [48,84] A trypsin inhibitor with a molecular weight of 14.4 kDa has been isolated from chia seeds,[50] showing 60% inhibition of trypsin activity. Saponin levels in chia seeds have been reported at 0.616 g/100 g, and tannin levels at 0.23 g/100 g.[49] While these compounds can negatively affect protein digestibility and amino acid bioavailability, they may also offer health benefits, such as anticarcinogenic and cholesterol-lowering effects. Various processing methods can reduce the levels of these compounds.[49] For instance, boiling, autoclaving, and roasting chia seeds have been shown to decrease phytic acid content by 49.94%, 48.27%, and 36.18%, respectively. Saponin levels decreased by 9.90%–62.27% with boiling, 29.11% with autoclaving, and 15.75%–21.59% with roasting. However, these treatments also resulted in an increase in tannin content, with boiling leading to a 78.84%–96.48% increase.[49]

Chia oil is produced from seeds on an industrial scale, but until recently, the by-product—partially defatted chia cake or meal—was often discarded. However, recent research has explored the potential of chia seeds and their oil by-products as functional foods to enhance the nutritional value of various products. This review examines the methods of chia oil extraction and processing, its uses in edible applications, and potential future research directions for utilizing chia by-products.

### **Extraction and Processing of Chia Oil**

There are a few methods to extract chia oil, but the most prevalent forms of extraction are through solvent and mechanical pressing. While "cake" is the term for the partially defatted by-product from mechanical pressing, solvent extraction yields a defatted by-product termed as meal. The literature points to some confusion regarding these terms. In the solvent extraction method, chia seeds are ground into flour and then soaked to mix with a low-boiling-point solvent such as hexane or acetone for extracting oil. It can be either continuous or in batch mode. The extraction efficiency relies on several factors, such as contact time, moisture content, temperature, sample size, and the kind of solvent used. The solvent must be evaporated with heat to retrieve the oil, and the defatted meal needs dehydration prior to more usage.[55] With high efficiency, simplicity, and low cost-effectiveness, hexane is widely used in industrial solvent extraction. Notwithstanding, it carries flammability risks and may be harmful when solvents are not completely removed. Extraction time had a noticeable effect on the oil yield, and in general, hexane resulted in richer oils than acetone. Using the Soxhlet method with acetone, one study reported that hexane produced 36.1% oil compared to only around 33.53% (4 h) and was not significantly different from 33.73% after 8 hours, respectively.[57] However, the total amount of phenolics and antioxidant activity were higher by extraction using acetone than hexane, with a maximum value for acetone (4 hours) of 75.13 mg GAE/g oil. At the same time, it was only 14.44 mg GAE/g oil for hexane extract. Furthermore, acetone (8 hours) was higher in carotenoids, with 3.51 mg/kg oil being its best source.[34,35,36,37]

Pressing extraction, a standard method, involves several steps, including seed pre-treatment and oil extraction. To enhance oil extraction efficiency, the pre-treatment process consists of cleaning, grinding, breaking, and heating the seeds at temperatures between 90°C and 115°C. The pre-treated seeds are then processed through a screw press, which extracts the oil and results in a partially defatted cake as a by-product. This method produces high-quality oil without solvent contamination and poses lower risks, although it yields less oil than solvent extraction.

Supercritical fluid extraction, a green technology, is gaining popularity for extracting chia oil. This method uses a fluid at its critical state to extract the oil, which is then separated by returning it to its gaseous phase. Supercritical solvents such as carbon dioxide, acetone, ethanol, and methanol are used in this process. It minimizes the degradation of sensitive compounds and avoids solvent contamination, but it is more expensive than traditional methods. Guindani et al.[58] utilized supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> to extract residual oil from chia seed cake, exploring the effects

of pressure, temperature, and co-solvents. Without co-solvents, oil yields ranged from  $5.0\% \pm 0.3\%$  at 150 bar/ $50^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $10.6\% \pm 0.2\%$  at 300 bar/ $50^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The highest total phenolic content of  $34 \text{ mg CAE/g} \pm 3 \text{ mg CAE/g}$  was achieved at 300 bar/ $50^{\circ}\text{C}$ , comparable to hexane Soxhlet extraction.

Dąbrowski et al.[59] evaluated different extraction methods for chia seed oil recovery, comparing Soxhlet extraction with acetone and hexane, screw pressing at room temperature and  $110^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and supercritical  $\text{CO}_2$  extraction at  $70^{\circ}\text{C}$  and  $90^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Recovery rates varied from  $69.6\% \pm 5.4\%$  for supercritical fluid extraction at  $90^{\circ}\text{C}$  to  $94.8\% \pm 1.1\%$  for Soxhlet extraction with acetone. Fernandes et al.[60] further examined the effects of different extraction processes on chia oil yield and composition. Supercritical  $\text{CO}_2$  extraction, both with and without ultrasound and ethanol as co-solvents, yielded between  $0.57\%$  and  $33.90\%$ . In comparison, Soxhlet extraction with hexane provided a yield range of  $13.56\% \pm 0.59\%$  to  $19.28\% \pm 0.74\%$ , with the highest yield obtained after a 15-minute ultrasound pre-treatment. The optimal supercritical  $\text{CO}_2$  extraction yielded  $25.10\%$  at 25 bar/ $40^{\circ}\text{C}$ /75 minutes with 30% ethanol co-solvent and a 15-minute ultrasound pre-treatment. Despite its higher peroxide value, screw pressing produced oil with the best chemical quality.[50,60,55,54](Table 3)

**Table 3: Extraction Techniques for Different Methods**

| Method of Extraction                | Solvent                            | Extraction Yield% (Black Chia Seeds) | Fatty acid content % black chia seed $\omega$ -3 | Fatty acid content % black chia seed $\omega$ -6 |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Ultrasonic extraction               | Hexane, ethyl acetate, isopropanol | 25.6                                 | 62.9   | 19.8   |
|                                     | Hexane                             | ND                                   | 46.4   | 19.5   |
|                                     | Hexane ethyl acetate               | 10.6                                 | 59.6   | 22.1   |
|                                     | ethanol                            | 11.3                                 | ND   | ND   |
| Cold pressing and DCS               | ethanol                            | ND                                   | ND   | ND   |
| Ultrasound extraction               | acetone                            | ND                                   | ND   | ND   |
| Ultrasound liquid-liquid extraction | methanol-water solution            | ND                                   | ND   | ND   |

|                                |                      |           |           |           |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Supercritical fluid extraction | CO <sub>2</sub>      | 88.1      | 63.4      | 35.8      |
|                                |                      | 7.2       | 66.0      | 18.2      |
|                                |                      | 10.6      | 62.3      | 19.7      |
|                                |                      | 27.8–31.8 | 52.5–55.9 | 19.8–20.9 |
|                                |                      | 17.5      | ND        | ND        |
|                                | ethanol              | 64.5–90.3 | 65.0–68.0 | 17.0–23.0 |
|                                | CO <sub>2</sub>      | 24.6      | 68.3      | 18.6      |
|                                | <i>n</i> -propane    | ND        | 46.2      | 17.5      |
| Pressing                       | -                    | 20.3–24.8 | 64.5–69.3 | 16.6–17.5 |
| Pressurized liquid extraction  | ethanol              | 17.7–19.9 | 65.0–68.0 | 17.0–23.0 |
|                                | Hexane               | ND        | 65.5      | 18.1      |
| Screw pressing                 | Hexane               | 9.0       | 3.5       | 2.97      |
| Soxhlet extraction             | Hexane ethyl acetate | 13.8      | ND        | ND        |
|                                |                      | 12.10     |           |           |
|                                | ethanol              | 15.4      | 64.1      | 19.9      |
|                                | Hexane               | ND        | 48.66     | 17.98     |
|                                |                      | 10.9      | ND        | ND        |
|                                |                      | 35.6      | 3.5       | 2.97      |
|                                |                      | 25.7–32.2 | 54.4–54.4 | 20.2–21.8 |
|                                |                      | ND        | ND        | ND        |
| Cold solvent extraction        | Hexane               | 26.7–33.6 | 65.6–69.3 | 16.6–19.7 |
|                                |                      | 30.0      | 3.5       | 2.97      |
|                                |                      | 42        | ND        | ND        |
|                                |                      | 19.3      | 67.9      | 17.6      |

### Oil Composition, Characteristics, and Health Attributes

Chia oil is abundant in fatty acids, tocopherols, and phytosterols. Key fatty acids in chia oil include  $\alpha$ -linolenic acid, linoleic acid, oleic acid, and palmitic acid. Ciftci et al.[15] identified 21 triacylglycerides in chia seed lipids, with LLnLn (21.8% $\pm$ 2.1%), LnLnLn (21.3% $\pm$ 1.6%), and OLnLn (11.3% $\pm$ 1.4%) being the most prevalent. The oil also contains three tocopherols ( $\alpha$ -,  $\gamma$ -,  $\delta$ -), with  $\gamma$ -tocopherol accounting for about 95% of the total tocopherols. Four phytosterols were identified—campesterol, stigmasterol,  $\beta$ -sitosterol, and  $\Delta$ 5-avenasterol—with  $\beta$ -sitosterol being the most abundant at 2057 mg/kg of lipids  $\pm$ 110 mg/kg.

Ixtaina et al.[54] noted that chia oil, whether extracted by pressing or solvent extraction, contains significant phenolic compounds, including chlorogenic acid, caffeic acid, myricetin, quercetin,

and kaempferol. Chlorogenic acid and the total polyphenol content were higher in chia oil obtained through pressing extraction compared to solvent extraction.[74,75,76]

Dąbrowski et al.[59] compared various extraction methods (Soxhlet with acetone and hexane, screw pressing at room temperature and 110°C, and supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> at 70°C and 90°C). They found that Soxhlet extraction with acetone was the most effective for preserving bioactive components, yielding the highest phenolic content (172.4 mg D-catechin/kg  $\pm$ 5.7 mg D-catechin/kg) and carotenoid content (8.4 mg lutein/kg  $\pm$ 0.4 mg lutein/kg), resulting in oil with superior oxidative stability.(Table 4 and 5)

Chia seed oil is recognized as a functional food due to its rich content of essential fatty acids ( $\alpha$ -linolenic and linoleic acids), tocopherols, and antioxidants, which are vital for health. It is noted for its anticancer, antioxidant, antihyperlipidemic, and antiviral properties. Tak et al.[61] highlighted its benefits for skin health, anti-inflammatory effects, antihypertensive properties, and potential to combat obesity-related diseases. These attributes have heightened interest in chia seed oil as a valuable functional food.[79,80,81-90]

**Table 4:** Comparison of chemical composition between Chia and Flax Seed

| <b>Fatty Acids</b>                          | <b>Chia</b> | <b>Flax</b> |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| <b>Saturated Fats (SFA) (g/100g)</b>        |             |             |
| Lauric acid (12:0)                          | 0.03        | 0.03        |
| Myristic acid (C14:0)                       | 0.06        | 0.04        |
| Pentadecanoic acid (C15:0)                  | 0.04        | 0.05        |
| Palmitic acid (C16:0)                       | 7.1         | 5.39        |
| Margaric acid (C17:0)                       | 0.06        | 0.08        |
| Stearic acid (C18:0)                        | 3.24        | 3.17        |
| Arachidic acid (20:0)                       | 0.24        | 0.15        |
| Behenic acid (22:0)                         | 0.08        | 0.14        |
| Lignoceric acid (24:0)                      | 0.1         | 0.09        |
| <b>Monounsaturated Fats (MUFA) (g/100g)</b> |             |             |
| Palmitoleic acid (C16:1)                    | 0.2         | 0.02        |
| Margaric acid (C17:0)                       | 0.06        | 0.08        |
| Oleic acid (C18:1 – $\omega$ -9)            | 10.53       | 18.7        |
| Eicosenoic acid (20:1)                      | 0.16        | 0.2         |



**Polyunsaturated Fats (g/100g)**

|                                      |       |       |
|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Linoleic acid (C18:2 – $\omega$ -6)  | 20.37 | 16.13 |
| Linolenic acid (C18:3 – $\omega$ -3) | 59.76 | 56.37 |
| Eicosadienoic acid (20:2)            | 0.08  | n.e.  |

**Summary (g/100g)**

|               |       |       |
|---------------|-------|-------|
| SFA           | 9.99  | 8.78  |
| MUFA          | 7.33  | 18.72 |
| PUFA          | 82.68 | 72.5  |
| Ratio n-6/n-3 | 0.3   | 0.29  |

Table inspired by cift et al. [15]

**Table 5:** Amino acid composition between Chia Seed

| Essential amino acids content in (g/100g) |      | Non-essential amino acids content in (g/100g) |      |
|---|------|---|------|
| <b>Arginine</b>                           | 2.14 | <b>Cystine</b>                                | 0.41 |
| <b>Histidine</b>                          | 0.53 | <b>Tyrosine</b>                               | 0.56 |
| <b>Isoleucine</b>                         | 0.80 | <b>Alanine</b>                                | 1.04 |
| <b>Leucine</b>                            | 1.37 | <b>Aspartic acid</b>                          | 1.69 |
| <b>Lysine</b>                             | 0.97 | <b>Glutamic acid</b>                          | 3.50 |
| <b>Methionine</b>                         | 0.59 | <b>Glycine</b>                                | 0.94 |
| <b>Phenylalanine</b>                      | 1.02 | <b>Proline</b>                                | 0.78 |
| <b>Threonine</b>                          | 0.71 | <b>Serine</b>                                 | 1.05 |
| <b>Tryptophan</b>                         | 0.44 |   |      |
| <b>Valine</b>                             | 0.95 |   |      |

Table inspired by cift et al. [15]

**Quantity of Chia Seed Oil By-Product**

Due to limited data, estimating the quantity of chia seed oil by-products in the industrial process is challenging. However, based on the oil extraction yield from cold pressing reported by Fernández-López [62], approximately 72% of the seed weight remains as residual chia cake. With an import of 1200 tonnes of chia oil, it is estimated that about 2830 tonnes of chia cake were produced during the same period.

**Edible Applications of Chia Seed Oil By-Product**

Chia cake and meal are valuable protein and fiber sources, comparable to other oilseeds, although soybeans generally have higher protein content. Silva et al.[73] observed that chia meal (fine fractions: 100 mesh) has functional properties that are either comparable to or exceed those

of full-fat flour. For example, while the water-holding capacity was similar for both chia meal and full-fat flour ( $9.2 \pm 0.37$  g/g vs.  $10.13 \pm 0.08$  g/g), chia meal exhibited superior oil-holding capacity and emulsification activity. The oil-holding capacity for full-fat flour was  $1.94 \pm 0.09$  g/g, whereas it ranged from  $2.75 \pm 0.01$  g/g to  $3.07 \pm 0.35$  g/g for chia meal fine fractions. Additionally, emulsification activity was 66.6% for full-fat flour compared to 100% for chia meal.(Table 6)

Given their composition and functional properties, chia seed oil by-products are highly valuable for various food applications. They can be used to produce protein ingredients (concentrates and isolates), extract phenolic compounds, and enhance the nutritional profile of products such as bread, cookies, muffins, pasta, and meat products. These by-products also serve as fat replacers and stabilizers in low-fat salad dressings and ice cream.

**Table 6.** Composition of some oilseeds cake and meal.

| <b>Oilseed</b> | <b>Lipids content</b> | <b>Protein content</b> | <b>Fibers content</b> |
|----------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Chia cake      | 6.0%-12.4%            | 21.6%-36.5%            | 21.4%-59.7%           |
| Chia meal      | 0.3%                  | 38.0%-41.5%            | 32.5%                 |
| Canola cake    | 16.7%-21.5%           | 27.7%-33.3%            | ND                    |
| Canola meal    | 2.0%-3.8%             | 34%-40%                | 9.7%-12.8%            |
| Flax cake      | 4.8%-12.0%            | 32.7%                  | 66%                   |
| Flax meal      | 1.9%                  | 34.1%                  | ND                    |
| Soybean cake   | 1.2%                  | 44.5%-49.3%            | 45.8%                 |
| Soybean meal   | 3.2%                  | 44%-53.2%              | 4.3%-6.1%             |
| Sunflower cake | 11.0%-30.3%           | 23.6%-29%              | 8.6%-32%              |
| Sunflower meal | 1.2%-2.0%             | 15%-48%                | 13.2%-28.9%           |

Inspired by papers [40-72]

### **Production of Chia Protein Ingredients and Extraction of Phenolic Compounds**

By 2027, the global market for plant-based proteins is projected to reach \$17.4 billion, driven by a 7.3% annual growth rate. This increase is attributed to the rising consumer preference for animal protein alternatives and a growing focus in the industry on plant-based products. While traditional sources of plant protein include soybean, wheat, and corn, chia seeds are emerging as a viable alternative due to their impressive nutritional profile and functional benefits.

Research on chia protein extraction methods has produced various results. Timilsena et al.[76] used defatted chia meal, removing mucilage with sodium carbonate before extracting proteins at pH 12, and reported protein contents between 90.5% and 91.2%. Their spray-dried isolate exhibited superior solubility across different pH levels. Cárdenas et al.[78] conducted alkaline extraction at pH 8 and found the highest yield and protein content with water at pH 4, which also demonstrated significant anti-inflammatory activity. Coelho et al.[65] compared dry fractionation and wet extraction methods, discovering that dry fractionation yielded 49.7% protein, while wet extraction achieved higher protein contents (70.9% to 74.1%) but with reduced functional properties. López et al.[80,81] reported the highest protein recovery at pH 12 and optimal protein content at pH 10.

The variability in protein content across studies is partly due to the different pH levels used during extraction. Generally, higher pH levels enhance protein yield but may lead to the formation of undesirable compounds like lysinoalanine. Therefore, exploring extraction methods at pH 11 and alternative approaches could be beneficial. Conventional alkaline extraction methods, though effective, may affect protein functionality and generate environmental waste.(**Table 7**)

Research on phenolic compounds from chia by-products is still limited. Capitani et al.[88] identified chlorogenic and caffeic acids as the main phenolics in chia meal and cake, respectively. Fernández-López et al.[80,81,62] reported multiple phenolic acids and flavonols in chia cake, with rosmarinic acid being the most prevalent. Oliveira-Alves et al.[86] used methanol extraction combined with ultrasound to identify phenolic compounds with high antioxidant activity in chia cake. Given their health benefits, such as antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties, extracting phenolic compounds from chia by-products for use in food and pharmaceutical applications shows great promise.

**Table 7.** Phenolic compounds in chia cake and meal.

|                              |             | Meal    | Cake          |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------------|
| <b>Phenolic acids (µg/g)</b> | Caffeic     | ~3.86   | 128.66 ± 1.36 |
|                              | Chlorogenic | ~124.01 | ND            |
|                              | Ferulic     | ND      | 37.04 ± 0.36  |
|                              | Gallic      | ND      | 43.87 ± 0.16  |
|                              | p-Coumaric  | ND      | 26.41 ± 0.39  |

|                           |            |        |                |
|---------------------------|------------|--------|----------------|
| <b>Flavonols (µg/g)</b>   | Rosmaniric | ND     | 669.88 ± 23.98 |
|                           | Kaempferol | ~4.09  | ND             |
|                           | Myricetin  | ~9.10  | 29.41 ± 0.36   |
|                           | Quercetin  | ~23.75 | 298.96 ± 1.56  |
|                           | Rutin      | ND     | 101.45 ± 0.98  |
| <b>Isoflavones (µg/g)</b> | Daizdin    | ND     | 463.88 ± 4.87  |
|                           | Genistein  | ND     | 55.69 ± 0.31   |
|                           | Genistin   | ND     | 19.58 ± 0.09   |

---

Abbreviations: ND: not detected. Inspired by Capitani et al.,[88] Fernández-López et al.[62]

## **Supplementation/Formulation of Food Products**

### **General Overview**

Recently, partially defatted chia cake and defatted chia meal have been integrated into a variety of food products, such as breads, cookies, muffins, pasta, and meat items. Incorporating these chia by-products can boost the protein content, antioxidant levels, and dietary fiber of these products, potentially offering additional health benefits. Furthermore, chia gum or mucilage extracted from chia cake has been utilized to create low-fat vegan mayonnaise and edible biodegradable films.[71,72,73]

### **Bread**

Bread, a staple in many diets worldwide, has increasingly included chia cake or meals. Guiotto et al.[66] experimented with partially defatted chia cake and defatted meal, replacing 5% and 10% of wheat flour with these chia by-products. The resulting bread had a higher protein content (13.5% - 15.25%) compared to the control (11.2%). While the specific volume remained unaffected at the 5% substitution level, it decreased significantly to 10%. Texture was generally stable, though firmness increased at the higher substitution rate. The study concluded that a 5% substitution improves the nutritional profile without compromising bread quality. Sayed-Ahmad et al.[94] tested defatted chia cake in whole wheat bread at 2%, 4%, and 6% substitution levels, noting slight increases in protein content and significant antioxidant activity at 6%. Higher substitution levels also improved moisture content and reduced hardness. Zdybel et al.[67] incorporated partially defatted chia cake into both wheat and gluten-free breads. A 5% substitution increased the protein content and specific volume in wheat bread but reduced the specific volume in gluten-free varieties. Both bread types saw enhanced polyphenol and

antioxidant levels without deteriorating sensory attributes. Ewerling et al.[95] optimized gluten-free bread formulations using defatted chia cake, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose gum, and xanthan gum, achieving a balanced formulation with good sensory acceptance. These studies suggest that chia cake or meal can enhance bread's nutritional profile, though specific volume and texture may vary depending on the substitution level.

### **Cookies and Muffins**

Chia cake or meal has also been used to fortify cookies and muffins. Lucini Mas et al.[96,99] replaced wheat flour in cookies with 5%, 10%, or 20% defatted chia cake. The substitution increased hardness and polyphenol content, with 10% being the preferred level in sensory tests. Martínez et al.[85,97] used chia cake in cookies, resulting in higher protein, fiber, and fat content but reduced elasticity compared to control cookies made with wheat flour. These chia cookies were more prone to crumbling and received lower sensory scores. Aranibar et al.[53,64,98] added 2.5%, 5%, and 10% semi-defatted chia cake to wheat muffins. The chia muffins had increased protein, fiber, polyphenol content, and antioxidant capacity, with minimal impact on sensory properties up to a 5% substitution. While chia cake can enhance the nutritional profile of cookies and muffins, higher substitution levels may affect textural and sensory attributes.

### **Pasta**

Pasta formulations have also been improved with chia cake or meals. Aranibar et al.[53,64,98] incorporated 2.5%, 5.0%, and 10.0% defatted chia cake into pasta. This substitution reduced whiteness and cooking time while increasing phenolic content and antioxidant capacity. Sensory evaluations indicated that although the supplemented pasta was acceptable, the control pasta was preferred.

### **Meat Products**

In response to health and environmental concerns, chia cake and meals have partially replaced meat in various products. Souza et al.[95] added semi-defatted chia cake and textured soy proteins to hamburgers. This substitution significantly affected lipid, protein, and ash content, with higher chia cake levels leading to reduced lipid content. Rabadán et al.[97] replaced corn starch with chia cake in lamb burgers, increasing fiber content and altering texture parameters. Sensory evaluations showed similar acceptability between chia and control burgers. Fernández-López et al.[80,81,62] added chia cake to frankfurters, resulting in lower moisture content and higher fiber content. This addition improved oxidative stability and did not affect microbial load

or most texture parameters, although general acceptability was slightly lower than the control. These findings highlight chia cake's potential to enhance meat products' nutritional profile while maintaining acceptable sensory qualities.

### **Low-Fat Salad Dressing and Ice Cream**

Chia cake and mucilage have been used as fat replacers in low-fat salad dressings and ice creams. One study developed a low-fat salad dressing using semi-defatted chia cake, xanthan gum, oil, and egg yolk powder, resulting in a product with comparable properties and high stability to commercial samples. Hijazi et al.[91,94] extracted mucilage from chia cake for use in low-fat vegan mayonnaise, achieving desirable rheological and microstructural properties. Additionally, they formulated a low-fat ice cream with chia cake, xanthan gum, and reduced fat content, which had similar sensory properties to full-fat ice cream and improved emulsion stability.

### **Edible Films**

Chia mucilage has also been employed to produce edible biodegradable films. Muñoz-Tebar et al.[92,93] developed chia mucilage films with good mechanical properties and water vapor permeability, and the addition of oils enhanced the film's antifungal activity.

### **Conclusion and Future Perspectives**

Partially defatted chia cake and meals, which are rich in nutrients such as protein and antioxidants, offer significant potential as value-added ingredients in a range of food products. Although conventional extraction methods have been widely utilized, there is a growing need to explore more environmentally sustainable and efficient techniques. Additional research is essential to optimize the use of chia by-products in innovative food applications and to fully comprehend their health benefits.

### **Availability of Data and Materials**

The data supporting this study's findings can be accessed via Google Scholar at <https://scholar.google.com/>. As this work is a review, the data supporting its conclusions are available online through various websites. All references and DOIs are listed in the References section. The dataset supporting this review's findings is included within the article.

### **References**

1. Knez Hrnčič, M.; Ivanovski, M.; Cör, D.; Knez, Ž. Chia Seeds (*Salvia hispanica* L.): An Overview—phytochemical Profile, Isolation Methods, and Application. *Molec.* 2019, **25**(1), 11–13. DOI: [10.3390/molecules25010011](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25010011).
2. Jamshidi, A. M.; Amato, M.; Ahmadi, A.; Bochicchio, R.; Rossi, R. Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as a Novel Forage and Feed Source: A Review. *Ital. J. Agron.* 2019, **14**(1), 1297–12300. DOI: [10.4081/ija.2019.1297](https://doi.org/10.4081/ija.2019.1297).
3. Grancieri, M.; Martino, H. S. D.; Gonzalez de Mejia, E. Chia Seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as a Source of Proteins and Bioactive Peptides with Health Benefits: A Review. *Compr.Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.* 2019, **18**(2), 480–499. DOI: [10.1111/1541-4337.12423](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12423).
4. Amato, M.; Caruso, M. C.; Guzzo, F.; Galgano, F.; Commisso, M.; Bochicchio, R.; Labella, R.; Favati, F. Nutritional Quality of Seeds and Leaf Metabolites of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) from Southern Italy. *Eur. Food Res. Techno.* 2015, **241**(5), 615–625. DOI: [10.1007/s00217-015-2488-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-015-2488-9).
5. Ayerza, R. Oil Content and Fatty Acid Composition of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) from Five Northwestern Locations in Argentina. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* 1955, **72**(9), 1079–1081. DOI: [10.1007/BF02660727](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02660727).
6. Ayerza, R. The Seed's Protein and Oil Content, Fatty Acid Composition, and Growing Cycle Length of a Single Genotype of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as Affected by Environmental Factors. *J. Oleo. Sci.* 2009, **58** (7), 347–554. DOI: 10.5650/jos.58.347.
7. Ayerza, R.; Coates, W. Protein and Oil Content, Peroxide Index, and Fatty Acid Composition of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Grown in Six Tropical and Subtropical Ecosystems of South America. *Trop. Sci.* 2004, **44** (3), 131–135. DOI: 10.1002/ts.154.
8. Hossain, Z.; Johnson, E. N.; Wang, L.; Blackshaw, R. E.; Gan, Y. Comparative Analysis of Oil and Protein Content and Seed Yield of Five Brassicaceae Oilseeds on the Canadian Prairie. *Ind. Crop Prod.* 2019, **136**, 77–86. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2019.05.001.
9. Tetteh, E. T.; de Koff, J. P.; Pokharel, B.; Link, R.; Robbins, C. Effect of Winter Canola Cultivar on Seed Yield, Oil, and Protein Content. *Agron. J.* 2019, **111** (6), 2811–2820. DOI: 10.2134/agronj2018.08.0494.
10. Saastamoinen, M.; Eurola, M.; Hietaniemi, V. Oil, Protein, Chlorophyll, Cadmium, and Lead Contents of Seeds in Oil and Fiber Flax (*Linum Usitatissimum* L.) Cultivars and in

Oil Hemp (*Cannabis Sativa* L.) Cultivar Finola Cultivated in South-Western Part of Finland. *J. Food Chem. Nano.* 2016, **2** (2), 73–76. DOI: 10.17756/jfcn.2016-013.

11. Zhang, J.; Xie, Y.; Dang, Z.; Wang, L.; Li, W.; Zhao, L.; Dang, Z.; Dang, Z. Oil Content and Fatty Acid Components of Oilseed Flax Under Different Environments in China. *Agron. J.* 2016, **108** (1), 365–372. DOI: 10.2134/agronj2015.0224.
12. Finoto, E. L.; Soares, M. B. B.; de Anchieta Alves de Albuquerque, J.; Lopes Monteiro Neto, J. L.; da Silva Maia, S.; Doná, S.; Chagas, E. A.; Soares-da-Silva, E.; Abanto-Rodríguez, C. Selection of Soybean Genotypes for Yield, Size, and Oil and Protein Contents. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.* 2021, **15** (1), 48–50. DOI: 10.21475/ajcs.21.15.01.2390.
13. Sobko, O.; Zikeli, S.; Claupein, W.; Gruber, S. Seed Yield, Seed Protein, Oil Content, and Agronomic Characteristics of Soybean (*Glycine Max* L. Merrill) Depending on Different Seeding Systems and Cultivars in Germany. *Agronomy.* 2020, **10** (7), 1020-1023. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy10071020.
14. Amaral, R. D. A.; Ferrari, R. A.; Rabonato, L. C.; Morgano, M. A.; Dalchiavon, F. C.; Oliveira, R. S. Essential Elements, Oil and Protein Contents of Sunflower Hybrids Grown in Brazil. *Braz. J. Food Technol.* 2018, **21**, e2017065-67. DOI: 10.1590/1981-6723.06517.
15. Ciftci, O. N.; Przybylski, R.; Rudzińska, M. Lipid Components of Flax, Perilla, and Chia Seeds. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2012, **114** (7), 794–800. DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.201100207.
16. de Falco, B.; Amato, M.; Lanzotti, V. Chia Seeds Products: An Overview. *Phytochem. Rev.* 2017, **16** (4), 745–760. DOI: 10.1007/s11101-017-9511-7.
17. Kulczyński, B.; Kobus-Cisowska, J.; Taczanowski, M.; Kmiecik, D.; Gramza-Michałowska, A. The Chemical Composition and Nutritional Value of Chia Seeds—Current State of Knowledge. *Nutrients* 2019, **11** (6), 1242. DOI: 10.3390/nu11061242.
18. Nitravová, S.; Brestenský, M.; Heger, J.; Patráš, P.; Rafay, J.; Sirotkin, A. Amino Acids and Fatty Acids Profile of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) and Flax (*Linum Usitatissimum* L.) Seed. *Potravinárstvo* 2014, **8** (1), 72–76. DOI: 10.5219/332.
19. Reyes-Caudillo, E.; Tecante, A.; Valdivia-López, M. A. Dietary Fibre Content and Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds Present in Mexican Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Seeds. *Food Chem.* 2008, **107** (2), 656–663. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2007.08.062.



20. McDowell, D.; Elliott, C. T.; Koidis, A. Pre-Processing Effects on Cold Pressed Rapeseed Oil Quality Indicators and Phenolic Compounds. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2017, **119** (9), 1600357-60. DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.201600357.
21. Rękas, A.; Wroniak, M.; Szterk, A. Characterization of Some Quality Properties and Chemical Composition of Cold-Pressed Oils Obtained from Different Rapeseed Varieties Cultivated in Poland. *Pol. J. Nat. Sci.* 2016, **31** (2), 249–261.
22. Szydłowska-Czerniak, A.; Bartkowiak-Broda, I.; Karlović, I.; Karlovits, G.; Szłyk, E. Antioxidant Capacity, Total Phenolics, Glucosinolates, and Colour Parameters of Rapeseed Cultivars. *Food Chem.* 2011, **127** (2), 556–563. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.01.040.
23. Wang, X.; Zhang, C.; Li, L.; Fritsche, S.; Endrigkeit, J.; Zhang, W.; Long, Y.; Jung, C.; Meng, J.; Nelson, J. C. Unraveling the Genetic Basis of Seed Tocopherol Content and Composition in Rapeseed (*Brassica napus* L.). *PLoS One* 2012, **7** (11), e50038-40. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050038.
24. Kiczorowska, B.; Samolińska, W.; Andrejko, D.; Kiczorowski, P.; Antoszkiewicz, Z.; Zajac, M.; Winiarska-Mieczan, A.; Bąkowski, M. Comparative Analysis of Selected Bioactive Components (Fatty Acids, Tocopherols, Xanthophyll, Lycopene, Phenols) and Basic Nutrients in Raw and Thermally Processed Camelina, Sunflower, and Flax Seeds (*Camelina sativa* L. Crantz, *Helianthus* L., and *Linum* L.). *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, **56** (9), 4296–4310.
25. Li, X.; Li, J.; Dong, S.; Li, Y.; Wei, L.; Zhao, C.; Li, J.; Liu, X.; Wang, Y. Effects of Germination on Tocopherol, Secoisolaricresinol Diglucoside, Cyanogenic Glycosides, and Antioxidant Activities in Flaxseed (*Linum usitatissimum* L.). *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, **54** (7), 2346–2354. DOI: 10.1111/ijfs.14098.
26. Pająk, P.; Socha, R.; Broniek, J.; Królikowska, K.; Fortuna, T. Antioxidant Properties, Phenolic and Mineral Composition of Germinated Chia, Golden Flax, Evening Primrose, Phacelia, and Fenugreek. *Food Chem.* 2019, **275**, 69–76. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.09.081.
27. Wondolowska-Grabowska, A. Effect of Diversified Fertilization with Nitrogen, Sulphur, and Boron on Fatty Acids Profile in Oil Flax Seeds. *J. Elementol.* 2014, **19** (4), 1131–1142. DOI: 10.5601/jelem.2014.19.3.713.

28. Bursać, M.; Krstonošić, M. A.; Miladinović, J.; Malenčić, Đ.; Gvozdenović, L.; Cvejić, J. H. Isoflavone Composition, Total Phenolic Content, and Antioxidant Capacity of Soybeans with Colored Seed Coat. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* 2017, **12** (4), 527–532. DOI: 10.1177/1934578X1701200417.
29. Choi, Y.-M.; Yoon, H.; Lee, S.; Ko, H.-C.; Shin, M.-J.; Lee, M. C.; Hur, O. S.; Ro, N. Y.; Desta, K. T. Isoflavones, Anthocyanins, Phenolic Content, and Antioxidant Activities of Black Soybeans (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) as Affected by Seed Weight. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, **10** (1), 19960. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-76985-4.
30. Ghosh, S.; Zhang, S.; Azam, M.; Qi, J.; Abdelghany, A. M.; Shaibu, A. S.; Gebregziabher, B. S.; Feng, Y.; Huai, Y.; Paing Htway, H. T.; et al. Seed Tocopherol Assessment and Geographical Distribution of 1151 Chinese Soybean Accessions from Diverse Ecoregions. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2021, **100**, 103932. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfca.2021.103932.
31. Szpunar-Krok, E.; Wondolowska-Grabowska, A.; Bobrecka-Jamro, D.; Janczak-Pieniazek, M.; Kotecki, A.; Kozak, M. Effect of Nitrogen Fertilisation and Inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* on the Fatty Acid Profile of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) Seeds. *Agronomy* 2021, **11** (5), 941. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy11050941.
32. Del Moral, L.; Pérez-Vich, B.; Velasco, L. Tocopherols in Sunflower Seedlings Under Light and Dark Conditions. *Sci. World J.* 2015, **2015**, 146782. DOI: 10.1155/2015/146782.
33. Prasifka, J. R.; Wallis, C. M. Concentrations of Sunflower Phenolics Appear Insufficient to Explain Resistance to Floret- and Seed-Feeding Caterpillars. *Arthropod-Plant Inte.* 2019, **13** (6), 915–921. DOI: 10.1007/s11829-019-09706-y.
34. Mondor, M.; Hernández-Álvarez, A. J. Camelina sativa Composition, Attributes, and Applications: A Review. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2022, **124** (3), 2100035. DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.202100035.
35. Brandán, J. P.; Izquierdo, N.; Acreche, M. M. Oil and Protein Concentration and Fatty Acid Composition of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) as Affected by Environmental Conditions. *Ind. Crop Prod.* 2022, **177**, 114496. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.114496.

36. Ayerza, R. Crop Year Effects on Seed Yields, Growing Cycle Length, and Chemical Composition of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Growing in Ecuador and Bolivia. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 2016, **28** (3), 196–200. DOI: 10.9755/ejfa.2015-05-323.
37. Calder, P. C. Dietary Fatty Acids, Lipid Mediators, Immunity, and Inflammation. *Food Lipids: Chem. Nutr. Biotechnol.* 2017, Fourth Ed., 627–638.
38. Segura-Campos, M. R. Isolation and Functional Characterization of Chia (*Salvia hispanica*) Proteins. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, **40** (2), 334–339. DOI: 10.1590/fst.41618.
39. Vázquez-Ovando, J. A.; Rosado-Rubio, J. G.; Chel-Guerrero, L. A.; Betancur-Ancona, D. Procesamiento en Seco de Harina de Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.): Caracterización Química de Fibra y Proteína. *CYTA J. Food* 2010, **2** (2), 117–127. DOI: 10.1080/19476330903223580.
40. Valenzuela Zamudio, F.; Hidalgo-Figueroa, S. N.; Ortiz Andrade, R. R.; Hernández Álvarez, A. J.; Segura-Campos, M. R. Identification of Antidiabetic Peptides Derived from in silico Hydrolysis of Three Ancient Grains: Amaranth, Quinoa, and Chia. *Food Chem.* 2022, **394**, 133479. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.133479.
41. Valenzuela Zamudio, F.; Segura-Campos, M. R. Amaranth, Quinoa, and Chia Bioactive Peptides: A Comprehensive Review on Three Ancient Grains and Their Potential Role in Management and Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2022, **62** (10), 2707–2721. DOI: 10.1080/10408398.2020.1857683.
42. Quintal-Bojórquez, N. D. C.; Carrillo-Cocom, L. M.; Hernández-Álvarez, A. J.; Segura-Campos, M. R. Anticancer Activity of Protein Fractions from Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *J. Food Sci.* 2021, **86** (7), 2861–2871. DOI: 10.1111/1750-3841.15780.
43. León Madrazo, A.; Segura-Campos, M. R. In Silico Prediction of Peptide Variants from Chia (*S. Hispanica* L.) with Antimicrobial, Antibiofilm, and Antioxidant Potential. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* 2022, **98**, 107695. DOI: 10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2022.107695.
44. Barber, T. M.; Kabisch, S.; Pfeiffer, A. F. H.; Weickert, M. O. The Health Benefits of Dietary Fibre. *Nutrients* 2020, **12** (10), 3209. DOI: 10.3390/nu12103209.
45. Ayerza, R. Seed Composition of Two Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Genotypes Which Differ in Seed Color. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 2013, **25** (7), 495–500. DOI: 10.9755/ejfa.v25i7.13569.

46. Vázquez-Ovando, A.; Rosado-Rubio, G.; Chel-Guerrero, L.; Betancur-Ancona, D. Physicochemical Properties of a Fibrous Fraction from Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *LWT—Food Sci. Technol.* 2009, **42** (1), 168–217. DOI: 10.1016/j.lwt.2008.05.012.
47. Tolba, R.; Guosheng, W.; Aicheng, C. Adsorption of Dietary Oils onto Lignin for Promising Pharmaceutical and Nutritional Applications. *BioResources* 2011, **6** (2), 1322–1335.
48. Da Silva, B. P.; Anunciação, P. C.; Matyelka, J. C. D. S.; Della Lucia, C. M.; Martino, H. S. D.; Pinheiro-Sant’Ana, H. M. Chemical Composition of Brazilian Chia Seeds Grown in Different Places. *Food Chem.* 2017, **221**, 1709–1716. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.10.115.
49. Kataria, A.; Sharma, S.; Singh, A.; Singh, B. Effect of Hydrothermal and Thermal Processing on the Antioxidative, Antinutritional and Functional Characteristics of *Salvia hispanica*. *J. Food Meas. Charact.* 2022, **16** (1), 332–343. DOI: 10.1007/s11694-021-01161-9.
50. de Souza, A. P. A.; Marinheiro Nascimento, L. M. A.; Oliveira de Lima, V. C.; Coimbra de Carvalho, F. M.; dos Santos, E. A.; de Araújo Moraes, E. H. Anti-Tryptic Activity in Seed and Food Product of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.). *Demetra* 2017, **12** (1), 319–331. DOI: 10.12957/demetra.2017.25636.
51. Gilani, G. S.; Xiao, C. W.; Cockell, K. A. Impact of Antinutritional Factors in Food Proteins on the Digestibility of Protein and the Bioavailability of Amino Acids and Protein Quality. *Br. J. Nutr.* 2012, **108** (S2), S315–332. DOI:10.1017/S0007114512002371.
52. Campos-Vega, R.; Loarca-Piña, G.; Oomah, B. D. Minor Components of Pulses and Their Potential Impact on Human Health. *Food Res. Int.* 2010, **43** (2), 461–482. DOI: 10.1016/j.foodres.2009.09.004.
53. Aranibar, C.; Pigni, N. B.; Martínez, M. L.; Aguirre, A.; Ribotta, P. D.; Wunderlin, D. A.; Borneo, R. Influence of the Extraction Conditions on Chia Oil Quality and Partially Defatted Flour Antioxidant Properties. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2022, **59** (5), 1982–1993. DOI: 10.1007/s13197-021-05213-2.
54. Ixtaina, V. Y.; Martinez, M. L.; Spotorno, V.; Mateo, C. M.; Maestri, D. M.; Diehl, B. W. K.; Nolasco, S. M.; Tomás, M. C. Characterization of Chia Seed Oils Obtained by

Pressing and Solvent Extraction. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2011, **24** (2), 166–174. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfca.2010.08.006.

55. Arrutia, F.; Binner, E.; Williams, P.; Waldron, K. W. Oilseeds Beyond Oil: Press Cakes and Meals Supplying Global Protein Requirements. *Trends Food Sci. Tech.* 2020, **100**, 88–102. DOI: 10.1016/j.tifs.2020.03.044.
56. Geow, C. H.; Tan, M. C.; Yeap, S. P.; Chin, N. L. A Review on Extraction Techniques and Its Future Applications in Industry. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2021, **123** (4), 2000302. DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.202000302.
57. Ishak, I.; Ghani, M. A.; Yuen, J. Z. Effects of Extraction Solvent and Time on the Oil Yield, Total Phenolic Content, Carotenoid and Antioxidant Activity of Australian Chia Seed (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Oil. *Food Res.* 2020, **4** (Suppl. 4), 27–37. DOI: 10.26656/fr.2017.4(S4).006.
58. Guindani, C.; Podestá, R.; Block, J. M.; Rossi, M. J.; Mezzomo, N.; Ferreira, S. R. S. Valorization of Chia (*Salvia hispanica*) Seed Cake by Means of Supercritical Fluid Extraction. *J. Supercrit. Fluid.* 2016, **112**, 67–75. DOI: 10.1016/j.supflu.2016.02.010.
59. Dąbrowski, G.; Konopka, I.; Czaplicki, S.; Tanska, M. Composition and Oxidative Stability of Oil from *Salvia hispanica* L. Seeds in Relation to Extraction Method. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* 2019, **119**, 5, 1600209. DOI: 10.1002/ejlt.201600209.
60. Fernandes, S. S.; Tonato, D.; Mazutti, M. A.; de Abreu, B. R.; da Costa Cabrera, D.; Da Ros Montes D'Oca, C.; Prentice-Hernández, C.; de las Mercedes Salas-Mellado, M. Yield and Quality of Chia Oil Extracted via Different Methods. *J. Food Eng.* 2019, **262**, 200–208. DOI: 10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2019.06.019.
61. Tak, Y.; Kaur, M.; Kumar, R.; Gautam, C.; Singh, P.; Kaur, H.; Kaur, A.; Bhatia, S.; Jha, N. K.; Gupta, P. K.; et al. Repurposing Chia Seed Oil: A Versatile Novel Functional Food. *J. Food Sci.* 2022, **87** (7), 2798–2819. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.16211>.
62. Fernández-López, J.; Lucas-González, R.; Viuda-Martos, M.; Sayas-Barberá, E.; Pérez-Alvarez, J. A. Chia Oil Extraction Coproduct as a Potential New Ingredient for the Food Industry: Chemical, Physicochemical, Techno-Functional and Antioxidant Properties. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 2018, **73** (2), 130–136. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11130-018-0670-5>.

[https://www.globaltradetracker.com/?gclid=EAIaIQobChMIj4bjotmU-wIVK8qUCR0jNglyEAAYASAAEgLHb\\_D\\_BwE](https://www.globaltradetracker.com/?gclid=EAIaIQobChMIj4bjotmU-wIVK8qUCR0jNglyEAAYASAAEgLHb_D_BwE) (accessed Nov 3, 2022).

64. Aranibar, C.; Pigni, N. B.; Martinez, M.; Aguirre, A.; Ribotta, P.; Wunderlin, D.; Borneo, R. Utilization of a Partially-Deoiled Chia Flour to Improve the Nutritional and Antioxidant Properties of Wheat Pasta. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 2018, **89**, 381–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.11.003>.
65. Coelho, M. S.; Salas-Mellado, M. D. L. M. How Extraction Method Affects the Physicochemical and Functional Properties of Chia Proteins. *LWT Food Sci. Technol.* 2018, **96**, 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.05.010>.
66. Guiotto, E. N.; Tomás, M. C.; Haros, C. M. Development of Highly Nutritional Breads with By-Products of Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Seeds. *Foods* 2020, **9** (6), 819. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9060819>.
67. Zdybel, B.; Różyło, R.; Sagan, A. Use of a Waste Product from the Pressing of Chia Seed Oil in Wheat and Gluten-Free Bread Processing. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 2019, **43** (8), e14002. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14002>.
68. Gaber, M. A. F. M.; Trujillo, F. J.; Mansour, M. P.; Taylor, C.; Juliano, P. Megasonic-Assisted Aqueous Extraction of Canola Oil from Canola Cake. *J. Food Eng.* 2019, **252**, 60–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2019.02.017>.
69. Zardo, I.; Rodrigues, N. P.; Sarkis, J. R.; Marczak, L. D. F. Extraction and Identification by Mass Spectrometry of Phenolic Compounds from Canola Seed Cake. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2020, **100** (2), 578–586. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.10051>.
70. Rani, R.; Badwaik, L. S. Functional Properties of Oilseed Cakes and Defatted Meals of Mustard, Soybean and Flaxseed. *Waste Biomass Valorization* 2021, **12** (10), 5639–5647. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12649-021-01407-z>.
71. Tirgar, M.; Silcock, P.; Carne, A.; Birch, E. J. Effect of Extraction Method on Functional Properties of Flaxseed Protein Concentrates. *Food Chem.* 2017, **215**, 417–424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.08.002>.
72. Rosset, M.; Acquaro, V. R.; Beléia, A. D. P. Protein Extraction from Defatted Soybean Flour with Viscozyme L Pretreatment. *J. Food Process. Preserv.* 2014, **38** (3), 784–790. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.12030>.

73. Silva, C.; Garcia, V. A. S.; Zanette, C. M. Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Oil Extraction Using Different Organic Solvents: Oil Yield, Fatty Acids Profile, and Technological Analysis of Defatted Meal. *Int. Food Res. J.* 2016, **23** (3), 998–1004.
74. MarketsandMarkets. Plant-Based Protein Market. MarketsandMarkets. Retrieved November 2, 2022, from [https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/plant-based-protein-market-14715651.html?gclid=EAIaIQobChMIwcGJi8jt6gIVg8DICh2eBQviEAAYASAAEgIyKPD\\_BwE](https://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/plant-based-protein-market-14715651.html?gclid=EAIaIQobChMIwcGJi8jt6gIVg8DICh2eBQviEAAYASAAEgIyKPD_BwE).
75. Sari, Y. W.; Mulder, W. J.; Sanders, J. P. M.; Bruins, M. E. Towards Plant Protein Refinery: Review on Protein Extraction Using Alkali and Potential Enzymatic Assistance. *Biotechnol. J.* 2015, **10** (8), 1138–1157. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biot.201400569>.
76. Timilsena, Y. P.; Adhikari, R.; Barrow, C. J.; Adhikari, B. Physicochemical and Functional Properties of Protein Isolate Produced from Australian Chia Seeds. *Food Chem.* 2016, **212**, 648–656. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.06.017>.
77. Karaca, A. C.; Low, N.; Nickerson, M. Emulsifying Properties of Canola and Flaxseed Protein Isolates Produced by Isoelectric Precipitation and Salt Extraction. *Food Res. Int.* 2011, **44** (9), 2991–2998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.07.009>.
78. Cárdenas, M.; Carpio, C.; Welbaum, J.; Vilcacundo, E.; Carrillo, W. Chia Protein Concentrate (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Anti-Inflammatory and Antioxidant Activity. *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* 2018, **11** (2), 382–386. <https://doi.org/10.22159/ajpcr.2018.v11i2.17225>.
79. Otto, T.; Baik, B. K.; Czuchajowska, Z. Wet Fractionation of Garbanzo Bean and Pea Flours. *Cereal Chem.* 1997, **74** (2), 141–146. <https://doi.org/10.1094/CCHEM.1997.74.2.141>.
80. López, D. N.; Ingrassia, R.; Busti, P.; Bonino, J.; Delgado, J. F.; Wagner, J.; Boeris, V.; Spelzini, D. Structural Characterization of Protein Isolates Obtained from Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Seeds. *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.* 2018a, **90**, 396–402. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.060>.
81. López, D. N.; Ingrassia, R.; Busti, P.; Wagner, J.; Boeris, V.; Spelzini, D. Effects of Extraction pH of Chia Protein Isolates on Functional Properties. *Foods* 2018b, **97**, 523–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2018.07.036>.

82. Fabian, C.; Ju, Y.-H. A Review on Rice Bran Protein: Its Properties and Extraction Methods. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2011, **51** (9), 816–827. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2010.482678>.
83. Mondor, M.; Ippersiel, D.; Lamarche, F.; Boye, J. I. Production of Soy Protein Concentrates Using a Combination of Electroacidification and Ultrafiltration. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 2004, **52** (23), 6991–6996. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0400922>.
84. Alcântara, M. A.; de Lima Brito Polari, I.; de Albuquerque Meireles, B. R. L.; Furtado, M. M.; Fernandes, K. F.; Nogueira, R. I.; de Paula, R. C. M.; Feitosa, J. P. A. Cashew Tree Gum: Chemical Structure and Applications. *Polímeros: Ciência e Tecnologia* 2015, **25** (3), 237–246. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0104-1428.1907>.
85. Martínez-Cruz, O.; Paredes-López, O. Phytochemical Profile and Nutraceutical Potential of Chia Seeds (*Salvia hispanica* L.) by Ultra High-Performance Liquid Chromatography. *J. Chromatogr. A* 2014, **1346**, 43–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.04.007>.
86. Oliveira-Alves, S. C.; Vendramini-Costa, D. B.; Betim Cazarin, C. B.; Maróstica Júnior, M. R.; Borges Ferreira, J. P.; Silva, A. B.; Prado, M. A.; Bronze, M. R. Characterization of Phenolic Compounds in Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Seeds, Fiber Flour, and Oil. *Food Chem.* 2017, **232**, 295–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.04.002>.
87. Capitani, M. I.; Spotorno, V.; Nolasco, S. M.; Tomás, M. C. Physicochemical and Functional Characterization of By-Products from Chia (*Salvia hispanica* L.) Seeds of Argentina. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2012, **45** (1), 94–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2011.07.012>.
88. Pizarro, M. L.; Becerra, M.; Sayago, A.; Beltrán, M.; Beltrán, R. Comparison of Different Extraction Methods to Determine Phenolic Compounds in Virgin Olive Oil. *Food Anal. Methods* 2013, **6**, 123–132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12161-012-9420-8>.
89. Albuquerque, B. R.; Heleno, S. A.; Oliveira, M. B. P. P.; Barros, L.; Ferreira, I. C. F. R. Phenolic Compounds: Current Industrial Applications, Limitations, and Future Challenges. *Food Funct.* 2021, **12** (1), 14–29. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0FO02324H>.
90. Hijazi, T.; Karasu, S.; Tekin-Çakmak, Z. H.; Bozkurt, F. Extraction of Natural Gum from Cold-Pressed Chia Seed, Flaxseed, and Rocket Seed Oil By-Product and Application in Low-Fat Vegan Mayonnaise. *Foods* 2022, **11** (3), 363. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11030363>.



91. Muñoz-Tebar, N.; Molina, A.; Carmona, M.; Berruga, M. I. Use of Chia By-Products Obtained from the Extraction of Seeds Oil for the Development of New Biodegradable Films for the Agri-Food Industry. *Foods* 2021, **10** (3), 620–624. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10030620>.
92. Muñoz, L. A.; Cobos, A.; Diaz, O.; Aguilera, J. M. Chia Seed (*Salvia hispanica*): An Ancient Grain and a New Functional Food. *Food Rev. Int.* 2013, **29** (4), 394–408. <https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2013.818014>.
93. Sayed-Ahmad, B.; Talou, T.; Straumite, E.; Sabovics, M.; Kruma, Z.; Saad, Z.; Hijazi, A.; Merah, O. Evaluation of Nutritional and Technological Attributes of Whole Wheat-Based Bread Fortified with Chia Flour. *Foods* 2018, **7** (9), 135–137. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods7090135>.
94. Ewerling, M.; Steinmacher, N. C.; dos Santos, M. R.; Kalschne, D. L.; de Souza, N. E.; Arcanjo, F. M.; de Souza, A. H. P.; Rodrigues, A. C. Defatted Chia Flour Improves Gluten-Free Bread Nutritional Aspects: A Model Approach. *Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, **40** (Suppl. 1), 68–75. <https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.42118>.
95. Lucini Mas, A.; Brigante, F. I.; Salvucci, E.; Pigni, N. B.; Martinez, M. L.; Ribotta, P.; Wunderlin, D. A.; Baroni, M. V. Defatted Chia Flour as Functional Ingredient in Sweet Cookies: How Do Processing, Simulated Gastrointestinal Digestion, and Colonic Fermentation Affect Its Antioxidant Properties? *Food Chem.* 2020, **316**, 126279–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.126279>.
96. Martínez, E.; García-Martínez, R.; Álvarez-Ortí, M.; Rabadán, A.; Pardo-Giménez, A.; Pardo, J. E. Elaboration of Gluten-Free Cookies with Defatted Seed Flours: Effects on Technological, Nutritional, and Consumer Aspects. *Foods* 2021, **10** (6), 1213–1219. <https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10061213>.
97. Aranibar, C.; Aguirre, A.; Borneo, R. Utilization of a By-Product of Chia Oil Extraction as a Potential Source for Value Addition in Wheat Muffins. *J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2019, **56** (9), 4189–4197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-019-03889-1>.
98. Pigni, N. B.; Aranibar, C.; Lucini Mas, A.; Aguirre, A.; Borneo, R.; Wunderlin, D.; Baroni, M. V. Chemical Profile and Bioaccessibility of Polyphenols from Wheat Pasta Supplemented with Partially-Deoiled Chia Flour. *LWT - Food Sci. Technol.* 2020, **124**, 109134–37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2020.109134>.

99. Souza, A. H. P.; Gohara, A. K.; Rotta, E. M.; Chaves, M. A.; Silva, C. M.; Dias, L. F.; Gomes, S. T. M.; Souza, N. E.; Matsushita, M. Effect of the Addition of Chia's By-Product on the Composition of Fatty Acids in Hamburgers through Chemometric Methods. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2015, **95** (5), 928–935. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.6764>.

UNDER PEER REVIEW