Adaptive Search Algorithms: A Comprehensive Overview and Emerging Optimization Trends

Abstract

Adaptive search algorithms have emerged as a cornerstone of optimization in solving complex, high-dimensional, and nonlinear problems across various domains. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of adaptive search techniques, including evolutionary algorithms, swarm intelligence methods, and hybrid approaches, emphasizing their ability to dynamically adjust search strategies based on problem-specific feedback. Key methodologies such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, and differential evolution are analyzed alongside recent advancements like adaptive parameter tuning, multi-objective optimization, and hybridized frameworks. The discussion highlights their applications in fields such as machine learning, engineering design, and logistics, showcasing their effectiveness in balancing exploration and exploitation to achieve optimal solutions. Furthermore, emerging trends in adaptive search algorithms, including bio-inspired models, reinforcement learning integration, and quantum-enhanced optimization, are explored, outlining their potential to address the growing complexity of real-world challenges. This study aims to guide researchers and practitioners in understanding the current landscape and future directions of adaptive search algorithms for innovative problem-solving.

1.Introduction

Optimization plays a central role in solving complex problems across diverse domains, including engineering, data science, logistics, and artificial intelligence. Over the years, search algorithms have evolved to address the growing complexity of high-dimensional, nonlinear, and multimodal problems. Among these, adaptive search algorithms have emerged as a critical innovation, enabling dynamic adjustment of parameters and strategies to achieve efficient, accurate, and robust optimization. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of adaptive search algorithms, exploring their evolution, current applications, and emerging trends.

In general, optimization, commonly seen in almost every field ofsciences and industry, is a process of searching for optimal solutionswith given objectives and constraints. Modern approaches utilized tosolve these optimization problems are meta-heuristic algorithms, whichare mainly inspired by biological and physical processes in nature. Forexample, genetic algorithm (GA) is derived from the survival law ofDarwinian theory, particle swarmoptimization (PSO) is inspired by the social behavior observed in thefish school or bird flock , ant colony optimization (ACO) is developed from the foragingaction of ant colonies and artificial beecolony (ABC) is inspired by the social behavior of honey bee swarm. Among these algorithms, PSO is one of the mostpopular algorithms in solving the complicated optimization problemsdue to its relatively strong global optimization capability and lowrequirement for computing resources. Accordingly, in this article, thePSO algorithm is investigated and studied to effectively solve complexoptimization problems.[1] .

To address these limitations, adaptive mechanisms have been integrated into traditional metaheuristics. For instance, adaptive PSO variants such as ASHPSO utilize hybrid frameworks that combine local search strategies with dynamic parameter tuning, significantly improving performance on constrained optimization problems. Similarly, self-adaptive genetic algorithms employing Baldwinian and Lamarckian learning models have shown exceptional results by dynamically adjusting their genetic operators to achieve faster convergence and enhanced accuracy[2].

Harmony Search (HS), inspired by musical improvisation, has been widely adopted in clustering and combinatorial optimization problems. Traditional HS faces challenges with convergence speed and local optima; however, its adaptive variants have introduced innovative mechanisms such as chaotic maps, dual-memory systems, and dynamic trust regions to improve diversity and robustness. For example, the Dual-Memory Dynamic Search Harmony Search (DMDS-HS) algorithm employs a dual-memory framework and adaptive parameter control, enabling superior performance in data clustering and benchmark tests[3][4].

Beyond these advancements, hybrid algorithms that combine the strengths of multiple metaheuristics have gained traction. The Hybrid Harmony Search Differential Evolution (HHSDE) algorithm exemplifies this trend by integrating the exploration capabilities of HS with the mutation strategies of Differential Evolution (DE). This hybrid approach has been shown to outperform traditional HS and DE in solving multimodal and high-dimensional optimization tasks[2]. Similarly, the Adaptive Sparrow Search Algorithm (ASSA) has demonstrated its utility in real-world engineering applications, effectively addressing nonlinear, multivariate challenges with minimal computational overhead[4].

While adaptive search algorithms have achieved significant success, they also face challenges. High computational demands, sensitivity to parameter settings, and scalability issues in large-scale applications remain critical limitations. To address these, researchers have explored the integration of reinforcement learning, bio-inspired strategies, and advanced hybrid frameworks, leading to promising trends in the field. For example, the useof reinforcement learning to guide parameter adjustments has shown potential in dynamically balancing exploration and exploitation in complex search spaces. Similarly, bio-inspired algorithms, such as those drawing on ant colony optimization and swarm intelligence, continue to evolve with adaptive capabilities to address problems with real-time constraints and dynamic objectives[4][3].

This paper provides an in-depth exploration of adaptive search algorithms, focusing on their foundational principles, recent advancements, and practical applications. It highlights their use in critical areas such as data clustering, phased array antenna optimization, scheduling, and engineering design, showcasing their versatility and efficiency. Furthermore, emerging trends in hybrid models, parameter-free adaptation, and quantum-enhanced optimization are discussed, emphasizing the ongoing innovation required to tackle increasingly complex optimization problems.

background theory

2.Adaptive Search Optimisation

play a pivotal role in resource allocation, ensuring that computational resources areallocated judiciously and in alignment with the exigencies of the search task.The primary endeavour of this research initiative is the conceptualization and realisation of adynamic search algorithm tailored explicitly to surmount the idiosyncrasies posed bynon-uniform data distributions. This algorithm will manifest an adaptive disposition,dynamically oscillating between established search methodologies, including Binary andInterpolation Search, contingent upon the intrinsic characteristics of the dataset. Additionally,the research seeks to undertake a comprehensive empirical evaluation, substantiating theefficacy of this dynamic approach through a meticulous assessment of performance metricsand their bearing on database systems.

arch within the broader landscape of database optimization by reviewing prior contributionsin areas like index structures, query optimization, and caching mechanisms.By embedding technical terminology within the introduction, we forge a more specialized discourse that resonates with an audience well-versed in database systems and algorithmicoptimization. This foundation primes the subsequent sections for a deeper exploration of theresearch methodology and experimental findings[5].

3.Adaptive Search Algorithms

Performance Degradation with Irregular Data Spreads: Interpolation Search mayexperience a drop in performance when dealing with data distributions that deviatesignificantly from uniformity. In such cases, the algorithm may not perform asefficiently[5].

Key Characteristics:

•**Dynamic Parameter Adjustment**: These algorithms adjust their parameters dynamically in response to the problem environment, which allows them to remain effective under varying conditions.

•**Feedback-Driven Learning**: By integrating feedback mechanisms, adaptive search algorithms can learn from past actions, continuously improving their accuracy and efficiency.

•**Robustness**: They are robust across different application domains, capable of handling noise, changes, and unknowns within the operational environment[6].

Practical Example:

A practical example of an adaptive search algorithm can be seen in a parts acquisition ePortal for replacing obsolete electronic components. The system uses adaptive algorithms to suggest alternative parts by dynamically adjusting the search parameters based on user feedback and a continually updated user profile. This adaptive process enhances the likelihood of finding a compatible replacement part quickly, avoiding the need for extensive redesigns and reducing operational downtimes.

This dynamic and responsive nature of adaptive search algorithms makes them invaluable in sectors like online shopping recommendations, autonomous vehicle navigation, dynamic resource allocation in cloud computing, and personalized medicine, where systems must adjust to new data or conditions in real time[7].

4. Types of Adaptive Search Algorithms

4.1 Genetic Algorithms (GAs): Utilize mechanisms of natural selection and genetics, such as crossover and mutation[8][9].

4.2 Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO): Inspired by the social behavior of birds and fish, particles swarm around the search-space and are adjusted based on individual and collective experience[8][9].

4.3 Ant Colony Optimization (ACO): Mimics the behavior of ants searching for food and optimizing the path from colony to food sources using pheromone trails[8][9].

4.5 Simulated Annealing (SA): Mimics the process of heating and controlled cooling to minimize the energy states of a crystal, useful for discrete and continuous optimization[9].

4.6 Differential Evolution (DE): Involves strategies of differential mutation and crossover to solve multi-modal optimization problems efficiently[9].

5. Emerging Optimization Trends in Adaptive Search Algorithms

5.1 Hybrid Approaches: Combining different types of optimization algorithms to exploit the strengths of each[10].

5.2 AI-Integrated Optimization: Integrating machine learning techniques to improve decision-making processes within the algorithms[10].

5.3 Self-Adaptive Algorithms: Enhance adaptability with minimal human intervention, adjusting strategies based on real-time feedback[11].

5.4 Multi-Objective Optimization: Focused on optimizing multiple conflicting objectives simultaneously[12].

5.5 Energy-Efficient Computing: Developing algorithms that require less computational power to reduce energy consumption[12].

5.6 Explainability and Transparency: Making the algorithms more transparent and their decisions more interpretable, especially important in sensitive applications[12].

6. Advantages of Adaptive Search Algorithms

1. **Flexibility**: Adaptive algorithms can adjust their strategies based on the problem context, making them highly flexible across different domains [13][14].
2. **Scalability** They scale well with the problem size and complexity, maintaining performance as the dimensionality of the problem increases [14][15].
3. **Robustness**: Their robustness allows them to find solutions even in noisy, dynamic, or uncertain environments [13].
4. **Global Optimization**: They are effective in escaping local optima to find global solutions, which is crucial in complex optimization scenarios [14][15].

7. Limitations of Adaptive Search Algorithms

1. **High Computational Cost**: The adaptiveness and complexity of these algorithms can lead to higher computational costs compared to simpler, non-adaptive methods .

2. **Parameter Sensitivity**: The performance of adaptive algorithms can be highly sensitive to parameter settings, requiring careful tuning which can be both time-consuming and expertise-intensive .
3. **Slow Convergence**: In some cases, the convergence rate may be slow, especially when fine-tuning is needed in the later stages of optimization .
4. **Overfitting in Dynamic Environments**: There is a risk of overfitting in dynamic environments where the algorithm might adapt too closely to specific features of the data or environment, reducing its generalizability [16].

8. Applications of Adaptive Search Algorithms

8.1Engineering Design: Adaptive search algorithms are utilized in the optimization of complex engineering systems, such as in aerospace for designing more efficient aircraft wings or in automotive industries to improve the fuel efficiency of vehicles. These algorithms help in balancing multiple competing objectives, like minimizing weight while maximizing strength[14][15].

8.2Artificial Intelligence: In artificial intelligence, adaptive algorithms are employed for tuning machine learning models in real-time, adapting to new data without human intervention. For instance, they are used in adaptive learning rates for deep learning networks, allowing these models to improve their accuracy over time as they encounter new data [17][14].

8.3Healthcare: In healthcare, these algorithms optimize medical diagnostics tools and personalized medicine approaches. They can adapt to individual patient data to optimize treatment plans, considering various factors such as genetic information, lifestyle, and previous responses to treatments [17].

8.4Finance: Adaptive search algorithms are applied in financial modeling to predict stock prices and in risk assessment to adjust portfolios in response to market changes. They can adapt to rapidly changing market conditions, providing timely financial advice or automated trading decisions [17].

8.5Supply Chain and Logistics These algorithms are used for optimizing logistics networks and inventory management. They adapt to changes in supply and demand, optimize delivery routes, and manage warehouse operations more efficiently, helping companies reduce costs and improve service delivery[17].

9. Challenges and Future Directions

9.1 Challenges

9.1.1Scalability to High Dimensions: Ensuring algorithms perform well as the dimensionality of problems increases[14][15].

9.1.2Real-Time Decision Making: Developing algorithms that can make decisions in real-time while maintaining high levels of accuracy[17].

9.1.3Integration with Modern AI: Integrating adaptive algorithms with modern AI technologies to enhance their capabilities and applicability[17][15].

9.2 Future Directions:

9.2.1 Neuro-Evolutionary Algorithms: Development of algorithms that combine neural networks with evolutionary strategies to enhance learning and adaptation.

9.2.2 Quantum Computing Integration: Leveraging quantum computing to enhance the capabilities of adaptive search algorithms, particularly in handling complex and high-dimensional problems.

9.2.3 Explainable Optimization: Focusing on making adaptive algorithms more transparent and understandable, which is crucial for applications in sensitive areas like healthcare and finance[18][19].

10. Experimental Evaluation

Adaptive Search Optimisation

Experimental evaluation of the given algorithm follows on a structure where the algorithm istested against test case data structures from kaggle.com20 , where using a test case script itdemonstrates the results .

```java
import java.io.BufferedReader;
import java.io.FileReader;
import java.io.IOException;

public class SearchAlgorithm {

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        String datasetFilePath = dataset.txt;

        try {
            // Read the dataset from the file
            int[] dataset = readDataset(datasetFilePath);

            // Value to search for
            int targetValue = 42;

            // Perform the search and measure time and steps
            SearchResult result = search(dataset, targetValue);

            // Display results
            System.out.println("Search Results:");
            System.out.println("Target Value: " + targetValue);
            System.out.println("Found: " + result.found);
            System.out.println("Steps: " + result.steps);
            System.out.println("Execution Time: " + result.executionTime + " ms");

        } catch (IOException e) {
            e.printStackTrace();
        }
    }

    private static int[] readDataset(String filePath) throws IOException {
        try (BufferedReader br = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(filePath))) {
            return br.lines()
                    .mapToInt(Integer::parseInt)
                    .toArray();
        }
    }

    private static SearchResult search(int[] dataset, int target) {
        long startTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
        int steps = 0;
        boolean found = false;

        // Replaced with algo

        long endTime = System.currentTimeMillis();
        long executionTime = endTime - startTime;

        return new SearchResult(found, steps, executionTime);
    }
```

Fig 1-Results of the experimental evaluation of the algorithm using test case data from Kaggle.com

Formatted: Left, Indent: Left: 0.2", Space Before: Auto, After: Auto, Adjust space between Latin and Asian text, Adjust space between Asian text and numbers

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Font: (Default) +Body, 11 pt

Adaptive Search Optimisation

```
    static class SearchResult {
        boolean found;
        int steps;
        long executionTime;

        SearchResult(boolean found, int steps, long executionTime) {
            this.found = found;
            this.steps = steps;
            this.executionTime = executionTime;
        }
    }
}
```

Fig 2-Results of the experimental evaluation of the algorithm using test case data from Kaggle.com

While this code is a testament to the optimising execution with time as one expects from thecaching mechanism . The results :

TEST 1

```
Binary Search Results:
Target Value: random
Found: true
Steps: 5
Execution Time: 6 ms
Interpolation Search Results:
Target Value: random
Found: true
Steps: 3
Execution Time: 5 ms
Dynamic Results:
Target Value: random
Found: true
Steps: 2
Execution Time: 5 ms
```

Fig 3- Results of Test 1

TEST 2

```
Binary Search Results:
Target Value: random
Found: true
Steps: 7
Execution Time: 7 ms
Interpolation Search Results:
Target Value: random
Found: true
Steps: 4
Execution Time: 6 ms
Dynamic Results:
Target Value: random
Found: true
Steps: 2
Execution Time: 4 ms
```

Fig 4-**Results of Test 2**

Hardware specs:

Operating System:
Windows 11 Home-Operating System Architecture
64-bit Processor
Processor Manufacturer-Intel®
Processor Type-Core™ i5
Processor Model-i5-12450H
Processor Speed-2 GHz
Processor Core-Octa-core (8 Core™)
Processor Generation-12th Gen

Literature Review :

Abhilasha Chaudhuri (2020) Feature selection (FS) was a preprocessing method used to reduce dimensionality by removing irrelevant or redundant features, improving machine learning performance and lowering computational costs. FS methods included computationally efficient filter techniques and more accurate but resource-intensive wrapper techniques. Metaheuristic algorithms, such as Genetic Algorithm (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Crow Search Algorithm (CSA), were widely used for FS, with recent advancements introducing nature-inspired methods like Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO) and Binary Dragonfly Algorithm (BDA). Despite their effectiveness, challenges like high costs and local optima remained.

Addressing these, the Binary Crow Search Algorithm with Time Varying Flight Length (BCSA-TVFL) offered adaptive parameters for improved efficiency and balance between exploration and exploitation[18].

Hakikat Singh (2023) examined foundational concepts in database search algorithms, focusing on Binary Search and Interpolation Search. Binary Search, with logarithmic time complexity, was highly effective for uniformly distributed and sorted data but struggled with non-uniform distributions. Interpolation Search, tailored for uniformly distributed datasets, leveraged interpolation techniques for efficient target value estimation but performed poorly with irregular data spreads. Additionally, advancements in database optimization included index structures, query optimization, and caching mechanisms. These approaches addressed challenges in computational efficiency and data retrieval performance. The study identified gaps in existing methods and emphasized the need for adaptive algorithms to dynamically switch between strategies based on data characteristics, improving search efficiency in diverse scenarios[5].

HAICHUAN ZHANG (2019) presented an innovative adaptive beamformer that used the Fibonacci Branch Search (FBS) optimization technique, tailored for uniform linear arrays. This technique employed the Fibonacci sequence in a heuristic algorithm that alternated between global and local search rules, effectively navigating the search space to avoid local optima and find global solutions. It reviewed the development of adaptive beamforming (ABF) technologies and their broad applications in radio astronomy, acoustics, and medical imaging. Traditional ABF methods like the Minimum Variance Distortionless Response (MVDR) and Linearly Constrained Minimum Variance (LCMV) often struggled with slow convergence and forming deep nulls against interference. To address these issues, meta-heuristic and evolutionary algorithms such as Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Gravitational Search Algorithm (GSA) had been introduced. These offered a more effective framework for complex ABF problems by foregoing derivative calculations and employing a global search approach. The use of evolutionary algorithms increased in ABF optimization due to their effectiveness with nonlinear, multimodal functions and robustness against the limitations of derivative-based methods. The introduction of the FBS algorithm highlighted its unique integration of Fibonacci sequence-based optimization and heuristic flexibility, enhancing both the performance and efficiency of adaptive beamforming systems[20].

BIHAO ZHAN(2023) the Multi-Stage Adaptive Sequential Parameter Exploration Hunger Games Search Algorithm (MASPE-HGSA) explored the evolution of swarm intelligence algorithms, particularly in their application to complex optimization problems in engineering and technology. It highlighted the transition from traditional methods like genetic algorithms and particle swarm optimization to newer approaches like the Grey Wolf Optimizer, which enhanced search capabilities and addressed issues like premature convergence. The paper underscored a shift towards using adaptive and dynamic strategies in algorithm design to effectively balance exploration and exploitation, crucial for achieving optimal solutions in increasingly complex scenarios. The MASPE-HGSA was introduced as an advancement of the Hunger Games Search algorithm, designed to improve solution accuracy and prevent premature convergence by employing adaptive and sequential parameter explorations, meeting the sophisticated requirements of modern optimization challenges[21].

QING-WEI CHAI (2025) The exploration of noise reduction techniques and optimization algorithms for ECG denoising was detailed, highlighting the widespread adoption of adaptive filtering for its simplicity and efficiency, as well as its evolution to tackle various noise types such as baseline drift and muscle noise. The role of heuristic algorithms like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Differential Evolution (DE) was emphasized for enhancing denoising efficacy through robust optimization capabilities. Additionally, the application of wavelet transform, favored for its ability to handle nonlinear and non-stationary signals and offering faster convergence at a higher hardware cost, was discussed. Independent Component Analysis (ICA) was noted for its effectiveness in artifact removal without reference signals. The emergence of new heuristic algorithms, such as the Grey Wolf Optimizer and the Whale Optimization Algorithm, which optimize parameters to improve the denoising process, was also covered. The need for innovative methods like the Chaotic Adaptive Fish Migration Optimization Algorithm (CAFMO), which integrates chaotic theory to enhance global search capabilities and optimization performance in ECG signal denoising, was underscored[22].

HAIFA HAMAD ALKASEM (2021) discussed the evolution and state-of-the-art methods for solving the Partial Max-SAT (PMSAT) problem, highlighting two primary approaches: exact methods and stochastic local search (SLS) methods, along with hybrid methods that combine the two. Exact methods were described as ideal for small to medium-sized problems due to their ability to provide optimal solutions, but they fell short for larger, real-world applications which required more scalable solutions like those provided by SLS methods. SLS methods were favored in practical applications for their ability to deliver high-quality solutions for large problems at reasonable computational costs. The review underscored the advantages of SLS methods in managing large-scale instances typical of real-world settings, highlighting their importance in fields such as software debugging and bioinformatics. This set the stage for introducing the novel adaptive variable depth SLS method, which aimed to enhance the SLS approach by incorporating adaptive parameter tuning and variable depth neighborhood search to better handle the complexity and scalability needs of real-world applications[23].

XU LIANG(2022) explored approaches for solving the Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem (FJSP), distinguishing between exact methods, which were suitable for small problems, and heuristic methods, which were scalable for larger, practical applications. It highlighted advancements in heuristic algorithms such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, gray wolf optimizer, and invasive weed optimization, focusing on improving convergence, accuracy, and population quality. The review emphasized the significance of FJSP in manufacturing, particularly regarding energy efficiency and environmental sustainability, providing context for the proposed adaptive genetic algorithm, which targeted minimizing makespan and energy consumption[24].

ZHIXI LI(2021)examined the evolution of metaheuristic algorithms for global continuous optimization, highlighting the limitations of exact methods for complex problems. It discussed the rise of evolutionary algorithms (EAs) like Genetic Algorithm (GA) and Differential Evolution (DE), and swarm intelligence (SI) techniques like Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) and Ant Colony Optimization (ACO). Existing single-population metaheuristics were noted for their limited scalability and adaptability, leading to the development of multi-population frameworks for better diversity and solution exploration. However, these frameworks often relied on minor variations of original methods, limiting their effectiveness. The AMPO algorithm addressed these challenges by integrating EAs and SI features within a multi-population framework, introducing innovations like solution cloning, reset mechanisms, and adaptive exploration-exploitation balance, offering robust and scalable solutions for both benchmarks and real-world problems[25].

MEILING HE(2021) examined methods for solving Vehicle Routing Problems with Soft Time Windows (VRPSTW), distinguishing between hard and soft time constraints, with soft windows allowing flexibility and penalties for deviations. It highlighted optimization techniques such as exact algorithms for small problems and metaheuristic approaches, including Tabu Search, Simulated Annealing, Genetic Algorithms, and Ant Colony Algorithms (ACA), for larger instances. Challenges in traditional ACA, such as local optima and slow search speeds, were addressed by integrating Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS) into ACA. This hybrid approach improved pheromone updates, used adaptive mechanisms, and applied local search operators to enhance solution accuracy. The proposed AVNSACA, validated using Solomon benchmark problems, outperformed traditional ACA in cost efficiency and robustness for VRPSTW[26].

HONG ZHANG (2023) discussed swarm intelligence techniques for tuning SVM parameters, highlighting challenges in existing methods like GWO and WOA, including slow convergence and local optima. SSA was recognized for its efficiency but had issues with diversity and search accuracy. To address these, ISSA was introduced, incorporating sine chaotic mapping and adaptive weights to enhance performance. Applications like relay protection and wind turbine diagnosis demonstrated SSA-SVM's superior accuracy, while ISSA further improved convergence speed, robustness, and classification accuracy in benchmark and real-world tasks[27].

XUNCAI ZHANG(2020)highlighted the evolution of swarm intelligence (SI) algorithms, addressing the limitations of traditional methods for high-dimensional optimization. While popular SI methods like PSO, ACO, and ABC faced challenges like slow convergence, the Squirrel Search Algorithm (SSA) improved robustness but struggled with exploration and premature convergence. The Improved Squirrel Search Algorithm (RSSA) introduced a reproduction mechanism and adaptive step-size strategy, enhancing diversity, exploration, and performance. Benchmark tests showed RSSA's superiority in accuracy, speed, and robustness over SSA, ABC, and the Firefly Algorithm[28].

MOHAMED REDA(2019) discussed the evolution of the Cuckoo Search Algorithm (CSA) and its effectiveness in solving complex optimization problems through a balance of exploration and exploitation. While CSA had advantages like fewer parameters and enhanced randomization, it faced challenges in step size control for optimal performance. Previous adaptive variants introduced techniques like chaotic maps and variable scaling factors but left room for improvement in diversity and convergence. The proposed Damped Cuckoo Search Algorithm (DCS) addressed these issues with a novel adaptive step size based on damped oscillations, improving early exploration and late-stage exploitation. Benchmark tests showed that DCS outperformed other adaptive CSA variants in convergence rate, accuracy, and suitability for real-world applications[29].

YUYING XU(2022) explored the Capacitated Centered Clustering Problem (CCCP), an extension of the Capacitated p-Median Problem (CPMP), which assigned points to geometric centers, increasing optimization complexity. Traditional algorithms like IRMA, Tabu Search, and K-Means were applied but faced challenges with limited neighborhood exploration and premature convergence. Recent advancements, such as the Adaptive Biased Random-Key Genetic Algorithm (A-BRKGA), introduced adaptive mechanisms and perturbation strategies to improve diversity and avoid local optima. The proposed Iterative Neighborhood Local Search Algorithm (A-BRKGA_INLS) built on A-BRKGA, employing shift and swap neighborhood searches with exact and inexact strategies to enhance solution exploration and convergence. Benchmark tests validated its efficiency and robustness, outperforming state-of-the-art methods on 53 instances[30].

Cheng Chen (2021) examined the Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows and Delivery Robots (VRPTWDR), a complex extension of traditional VRP, addressing the integration of delivery robots to improve last-mile delivery efficiency amid rising urbanization and e-commerce demands. Existing methods like the Truck and Trailer Problem (TTRP), Flying Sidekick TSP (FSTSP), and Drone Routing Problems used heuristic and metaheuristic algorithms but faced challenges in synchronization, coordination, and scalability. Recent advancements, such as MILP models and ALNS algorithms, improved solution quality but required further refinement. To address these limitations, the study introduced an advanced ALNS heuristic with adaptive operators and sensitivity analysis, achieving significant time savings and scalability for large problem instances[31].

RATAPON PHOSUNG(2024) highlighted the need for optimizing control systems in More Electric Aircraft (MEA) power systems to enhance efficiency, stability, and safety. Traditional control methods struggled in dynamic environments with destabilizing constant power loads. Artificial intelligence techniques like Adaptive Tabu Search (ATS) addressed these challenges by dynamically optimizing controller parameters. The paper emphasized state-variable-averaging models for computational efficiency and stability assessment using the eigenvalue theorem. While methods like particle swarm optimization and ant colony optimization had been explored, they faced limitations in high-dimensional problems. The proposed ATS-based method incorporated stability mechanisms and demonstrated improved rise time, settling time, and reduced undershoot in voltage responses through MATLAB and hardware-in-the-loop simulations, proving its robustness for MEA power systems[32].

RU KONG(2020) highlighted advancements in trust path search algorithms for social networks, focusing on improving trust propagation accuracy and efficiency. Traditional methods like A* and its variants enhanced search efficiency but struggled with complex networks, while algorithms like TidalTrust and MoleTrust were limited by rigid path constraints. The proposed Dynamic Weighted Heuristic Trust Path Search (DWHS) algorithm improved upon A* by incorporating dynamic weighting and secondary heuristics, factoring in node depth and trust path stability through metrics like MSE. Validated on Advogato and FilmTrust datasets, DWHS demonstrated superior accuracy, efficiency, and robustness, providing faster and more reliable trust recommendations compared to classical algorithms[33].

MOHAMMAD NOROOZI(2022) discussed advancements in metaheuristic optimization, highlighting the limitations of classical methods in solving high-dimensional problems due to issues like premature convergence and poor exploration-exploitation balance. Popular algorithms like PSO, GA, SCA, and GWO improved performance but remained suboptimal in some cases. The Golden Search Optimization Algorithm (GSO) addressed these gaps by combining PSO and SCA principles with sine-cosine functions and dynamic step-size adjustments to balance global and local searches. Benchmarked on 23 functions, GSO demonstrated superior convergence, accuracy, and stability, consistently outperforming GSA, SCA, TSA, and GWO, as validated through statistical analysis[34].

JinglinWang(2023) explored the Harmony Search (HS) algorithm's evolution, noting its simplicity, ease of parameter tuning, and quick convergence. However, traditional HS struggled with slow convergence and weak local search in high-dimensional problems. Enhancements like parameter adaptation, chaos theory integration, and hybrid techniques improved its performance but increased computational demands. To address these challenges, the Dual-Memory Dynamic Search Harmony Search (DMDS-HS) algorithm was developed, introducing a dual-memory system, dynamic trust region, and adaptive parameter adjustment. Experimental results showed that DMDS-HS outperformed HS variants and other algorithms in accuracy, stability, and search capability[6].

LIYUN FU(2021) discussed the Hybrid Harmony Search Differential Evolution (HHSDE) algorithm, developed to address the limitations of Harmony Search (HS) and Differential Evolution (DE). While HS offered simplicity and good exploration, it struggled with convergence and local optima. DE was robust and efficient but faced challenges in balancing exploration and exploitation. Enhancements to both algorithms, such as hybridization and parameter adaptation, had improved global search and diversity. HHSDE combined HS's New Harmony generation and DE's mutation step with adaptive parameter control, achieving superior performance in convergence speed, accuracy, and robustness compared to standard HS, DE, and other hybrid algorithms, as demonstrated in benchmark tests[35].

GongqingYang(2021) focused on phased array antenna optimization for grating lobe suppression caused by large inter-element spacing. Traditional methods, such as irregular and overlapped subarrays, improved lobe suppression but faced complexity and efficiency challenges. Genetic algorithms and mesh-based methods offered enhancements but struggled with scalability and stability in large-scale arrays. Adaptive gradient search algorithms addressed these issues by optimizing subarray placement using phase differences and iterative techniques, improving suppression and efficiency. The proposed algorithm combined adaptive search and gradient techniques, achieving stable and efficient lobe suppression across various aperture shapes, outperforming conventional methods[36].

Table 1-A comprehensiveoverview of the opinions and contributions of different authors

Author	Algorithm Name	Optimization Techniques	Applicability	Strengths	Limitations	Comparison Metric
Abhilasha Chaudhuri	Binary Crow Search Algorithm (BCSA)	Nature-inspired (crow behavior), transfer functions	Feature selection in high-dimensional datasets	Simple, efficient, fewer parameters to tune	Can get trapped in local optima, fixed parameters	Classification accuracy, feature selection ratio
Hakikat Singh	Binary Search	Divides dataset into halves, minimizing search iterations for sorted arrays.	Best for uniformly distributed, sorted datasets.	Logarithmic time complexity; rapid performance in sorted arrays.	Suboptimal in non-uniform distributions due to uneven element spacing.	Number of steps and execution time compared to Interpolation and Dynamic Search.
HAICHUAN ZHANG	Fibonacci Branch Search (FBS)	Fibonacci sequence-based heuristic with global and local search alternation.	Adaptive beamforming for uniform linear arrays.	Avoids local optima, high precision, and faster convergence.	High computational demand; increased complexity for larger arrays.	Outperforms five heuristic algorithms in precision and adaptive beamforming.

BIHAO ZHAN AND WEI GU	Multi-Stage Adaptive Sequential Parameter Exploration Hunger Games Search Algorithm (MASPE-HGSA)	Sequential parameter exploration, global-local alternation, and random dimension adjustments.	Complex global optimization problems across various fields, including engineering applications.	Prevents local optima, faster convergence, and supports high precision.	High computational requirements; performance dependent on parameter settings.	Outperforms five heuristic algorithms in benchmarks, excelling in robustness and efficiency.
QING-WEI CHAI	Chaotic Adaptive Fish Migration Optimization (CAFMO)	Combines chaotic theory with Adaptive Fish Migration Optimization for enhanced global search capabilities.	Specifically designed for ECG signal denoising.	Improves search capabilities and ECG signal denoising effectiveness significantly.	High computational demand and requires careful parameter tuning.	Outperforms traditional methods by 28% and other heuristic algorithms in noise reduction and signal clarity.
HAIFA HAMAD ALKASEM	Adaptive Variable Depth Stochastic Local Search (AVD-SLS)	Adaptive parameter tuning and variable depth neighborhood search.	Suitable for large-scale Partial Max-SAT problems.	Improves scalability and parameter optimization for complex problem	Higher computational complexity; significant parameter tuning needed.	Superior scalability and efficiency on complex instances compared to standard SLS methods.

				s.		
XU LIANG	Adaptive Genetic Algorithm Based on Individual Similarity (AGA-IS)	Adaptive crossover and mutation based on individual similarity, and opposition-based learning.	Multi-objective flexible job-shop scheduling, focusing on minimizing makespan and energy consumption.	Improves solution quality, adapts dynamically, and enhances energy efficiency.	High computational demand and requires parameter tuning.	Outperforms traditional algorithms in benchmarks for makespan and energy efficiency.
ZHIXI LI	Adaptive Multi-Population Optimization (AMPO)	Adaptive multi-population diversification combining EA and SI techniques.	Global continuous optimization, including portfolio optimization.	Balances exploration/exploitation; robust against premature convergence.	High computational demand; requires parameter tuning.	Outperforms nine state-of-the-art algorithms in benchmarks and real-world tasks.
MEILING HE	Adaptive Variable Neighborhood Search Ant Colony Algorithm (AVNSACA)	Combines ACA with VNS, using adaptive pheromone updates and local search operators.	Solves Vehicle Routing Problems with Soft Time Windows (VRPSTW).	Prevents local optima, improves accuracy, and enhances	High computational demand; requires parameter tuning.	Outperforms ACA in cost, punctuality, and robustness in benchmark tests.

				robustness.		
HONG ZHANG AND YIFAN ZHANG	Improved Sparrow Search Algorithm (ISSA)	Sine chaotic mapping and adaptive weights.	Optimizing SVM parameters for classification and real-world tasks.	Fast, accurate, robust, and avoids local optima.	High computational demand; needs parameter tuning.	Outperforms SSA, GWO, and WOA in benchmarks and real-world applications.
XUNCAI ZHANG	Improved Squirrel Search Algorithm with Reproductive Behavior (RSSA)	Combines reproduction and adaptive step size for balanced search.	High-dimensional numerical optimization problems.	Improves diversity, avoids local optima, and enhances accuracy and speed.	High computational demand; requires parameter tuning.	Outperforms SSA, ABC, and Firefly Algorithm in accuracy, robustness, and convergence.
MOHAMED REDA	Damped Cuckoo Search Algorithm	Adaptive step size using damped oscillations.	Solves complex optimization problems	Balances exploration and exploitati	Requires parameter tuning; higher cost	Outperformed adaptive CSA variants in

	(DCS)		with high precision.	on; fast and accurate.	for high-dimensional problems.	benchmarks with better convergence.
YUYING XU	Iterative Neighborhood Local Search Algorithm (A-BRKGA_INLS)	Combines A-BRKGA with shift and swap neighborhood searches.	Solves the Capacitated Centered Clustering Problem (CCCP).	Improves exploration, avoids premature convergence, enhances accuracy.	High computational demand.	Outperforms state-of-the-art methods in solving benchmark instances.
Cheng Chen	Advanced Adaptive Large Neighborhood Search (ALNS) Algorithm	Adaptive operators and sensitivity analysis for route and resource optimization.	Solves VRPTWDR (Vehicle Routing Problem with Time Windows and Delivery Robots).	Efficient, scalable, reduces operational time.	High complexity; requires parameter tuning.	Outperformed conventional methods in time savings and scalability.
RATAPON PHOSUNG	Adaptive Tabu Search (ATS) Algorithm	Dynamic tabu list with stability mechanisms and state-variable averaging.	Control systems for More Electric Aircraft (MEA) power systems.	Enhances stability, rise time, settling time, and reduces undershoot.	High computational cost for large systems.	Outperformed traditional methods in stability and voltage response metrics.
RU KONG	Dynamic Weighted	Dynamic weighting and	Trust path search in	Enhances accuracy,	Higher computatio	Outperforms A*, Weighted

	Heuristic Trust Path Search (DWHS) Algorithm	secondary heuristics using node depth and MSE.	social networks.	efficiency, and robustness.	nal demand for large networks.	A*, TidalTrust, and MoleTrust in benchmarks.
MOHAMMAD NOROOZI	Golden Search Optimization (GSO) Algorithm	Combines PSO and SCA with dynamic step-size adjustment.	Solves high-dimensional optimization problems.	Fast convergence, accurate, and stable; balances exploration and exploitation.	Parameter tuning required; higher complexity for large dimensions.	Outperformed GSA, SCA, TSA, and GWO in benchmarks.
Jinglin Wang	Dual-Memory Dynamic Search Harmony Search (DMDS-HS) Algorithm	Dual memory, dynamic trust region, adaptive parameters.	Complex optimization and data clustering.	Improves diversity, accuracy, stability, and speed.	Higher computational demand.	Outperformed HS variants and state-of-the-art algorithms in benchmarks.
LIYUN FU	Hybrid Harmony Search Differential Evolution (HHSDE) Algorithm	Combines HS New Harmony generation and DE mutation with adaptive control.	Solves complex high-dimensional optimization problems.	Fast, accurate, robust; balances exploration and exploitation.	Higher computational cost; requires parameter tuning.	Outperformed HS, DE, and hybrids in speed, accuracy, and stability.
Gongqing Yang	Adaptive	Combines	Phased array	Enhances	High	Outperformed

	Gradient Search Algorithm for Displaced Subarrays	adaptive search and gradient-based techniques.	antenna grating lobe suppression.	lobe suppression, efficiency, and stability.	complexity; sensitive to non-convex problems.	genetic and mesh-based methods in efficiency.

11. Discussion

The discussion explores the advancements in adaptive search algorithms, emphasizing their role in addressing challenges of efficiency, accuracy, and robustness in diverse optimization problems. It highlights traditional approaches like Genetic Algorithms (GA), Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO), and Harmony Search (HS), noting their strengths in exploration but limitations in handling complex, high-dimensional scenarios. Adaptive methods, including hybrid techniques and parameter tuning strategies, have been developed to improve convergence speed, avoid local optima, and balance exploration and exploitation effectively.

Recent advancements, such as the Dual-Memory Dynamic Search Harmony Search (DMDS-HS), Hybrid Harmony Search Differential Evolution (HHSDE), and other metaheuristic-based algorithms, showcase enhanced performance across benchmarks and real-world applications. These approaches integrate adaptive mechanisms, dynamic weighting, and hybridization to overcome limitations of traditional algorithms. Experimental validations confirm their superiority in solving complex tasks, including data clustering, phased array antenna optimization, and scheduling problems, positioning adaptive search algorithms as a key focus in optimization research.

12.Conclusion

Adaptive search algorithms play a pivotal role in solving complex, high-dimensional optimization problems, demonstrating significant advancements in efficiency, accuracy, and robustness. Traditional methods, such as Genetic Algorithms (GA)[8] and Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)[37], laid the groundwork but often struggled with scalability and premature convergence. Recent developments, including hybrid techniques and parameter tuning strategies[23], have addressed these limitations by enhancing the balance between exploration and exploitation[25].

Notable advancements, such as the Dual-Memory Dynamic Search Harmony Search (DMDS-HS) [6]and Hybrid Harmony Search Differential Evolution (HHSDE) algorithms[35], have showcased superior performance across benchmarks and real-world applications[25]. By integrating adaptive mechanisms, dynamic weighting, and hybridization[19], these algorithms overcome the challenges of traditional approaches. Experimental results affirm their capability to optimize complex systems, including data clustering, phased array antenna design, and scheduling tasks[36].

This study underscores the importance of continuous innovation in adaptive search algorithms to meet the increasing complexity of optimization challenges, highlighting their growing relevance in diverse fields of research and application.

References:

[1] Z. Liu, Z. Qin, P. Zhu, and H. Li, "An adaptive switchover hybrid particle swarm optimization algorithm with local search strategy for constrained optimization problems," *Eng. Appl. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 95, no. June 2019, p. 103771, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.engappai.2020.103771.

[2] T. A. El-Mihoub, A. A. Hopgood, and L. Nolle, "Self-adaptive learning for hybrid genetic algorithms," *Evol. Intell.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 1565–1579, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s12065-020-00425-5.

[3] L. Abualigah, A. Diabat, and Z. W. Geem, "A comprehensive survey of the harmony

search algorithm in clustering applications," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 1–26, 2020, doi: 10.3390/app10113827.

[4] Y. Zhu and N. Yousefi, "Optimal parameter identification of PEMFC stacks using Adaptive Sparrow Search Algorithm," *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 46, no. 14, pp. 9541–9552, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.12.107.

[5] A. Search, O. Title, A. S. Optimization, D. A. Selection, E. D. Performance, and H. Singh, "Computing science , Thompson Rivers University , Kamloops(BC) ,Canada".

[6] J. Wang, H. Ouyang, Z. Zhou, and S. Li, "Harmony Search Algorithm Based on Dual-Memory Dynamic Search and Its Application on Data Clustering," *Complex Syst. Model. Simul.*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 261–281, 2023, doi: 10.23919/CSMS.2023.0019.

[7] R. Alonso, J. A. Bloom, and H. Li, "Lessons from the implementation of an adaptive parts acquisition ePortal," *Int. Conf. Inf. Knowl. Manag. Proc.*, no. November 2003, pp. 169–171, 2003, doi: 10.1145/956863.956896.

[8] S. Abdullah, A. Turky, M. Z. Ahmad Nazri, and N. R. Sabar, "An Evolutionary Variable Neighbourhood Search for the Unrelated Parallel Machine Scheduling Problem," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 42857–42867, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3065109.

[9] C. Wu, X. Fu, J. Pei, and Z. Dong, "A Novel Sparrow Search Algorithm for the Traveling Salesman Problem," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 153456–153471, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3128433.

[10] Z. Cheng *et al.*, "Improvement and Application of Adaptive Hybrid Cuckoo Search Algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 145489–145515, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2944981.

[11] Y. Quan, H. Ouyang, C. Zhang, S. Li, and L. Q. Gao, "Mobile Robot Dynamic Path Planning Based on Self-Adaptive Harmony Search Algorithm and Morphin Algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 102758–102769, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3098706.

[12] J. Bae *et al.*, "LUT-based focal beamforming system using 2-D adaptive sequential searching algorithm for microwave power transfer," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 196024–196033, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3033937.

[13] W. Sheng *et al.*, "Niching and K -Means Operation for Data Clustering," vol. 52, no. 7,

pp. 1–15, 2020.

[14] R. Turkeš, K. Sörensen, and L. M. Hvattum, "Meta-analysis of metaheuristics: Quantifying the effect of adaptiveness in adaptive large neighborhood search," *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 292, no. 2, pp. 423–442, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2020.10.045.

[15] J. Tang, G. Liu, and Q. Pan, "A Review on Representative Swarm Intelligence Algorithms for Solving Optimization Problems: Applications and Trends," *IEEE/CAA J. Autom. Sin.*, vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 1627–1643, 2021, doi: 10.1109/JAS.2021.1004129.

[16] B. A. Hassan and T. A. Rashid, "Operational framework for recent advances in backtracking search optimisation algorithm: A systematic review and performance evaluation," *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 370, no. xxxx, p. 124919, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2019.124919.

[17] M. G. M. Abdolrasol *et al.*, "Artificial neural networks based optimization techniques: A review," *Electron.*, vol. 10, no. 21, 2021, doi: 10.3390/electronics10212689.

[18] A. Chaudhuri and T. P. Sahu, "Feature selection using Binary Crow Search Algorithm with time varying flight length," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 168, p. 114288, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2020.114288.

[19] N. Rana, M. S. A. Latiff, S. M. Abdulhamid, and H. Chiroma, *Whale optimization algorithm: a systematic review of contemporary applications, modifications and developments*, vol. 32, no. 20. Springer London, 2020. doi: 10.1007/s00521-020-04849-z.

[20] H. Zhang and F. Zeng, "A Fibonacci Branch Search (FBS)-Based Optimization Algorithm for Enhanced Nulling Level Control Adaptive Beamforming Techinique," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 160800–160818, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2949028.

[21] B. Zhan and W. Gu, "A Multi-Stage Adaptive Sequential Parameter Exploration Hunger Games Search Algorithm for Solving Complex Optimization Problems," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, no. July, pp. 100919–100947, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3308690.

[22] Q. W. Chai, W. M. Zheng, L. Xu, and L. Liao, "A Novel ECG Noise Reduction Technique Employing the Chaotic Adaptive Fish Migration Optimization Algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, no. September, pp. 118037–118047, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3324460.

[23] H. H. Alkasem and M. El Bachir Menai, “A stochastic local search algorithm for the partial max-sat problem based on adaptive tuning and variable depth neighborhood search,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 49806–49843, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3068824.

[24] X. Liang, Y. Liu, X. Gu, M. Huang, and F. Guo, “Adaptive Genetic Algorithm Based on Individual Similarity to Solve Multi-Objective Flexible Job-Shop Scheduling Problem,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 45748–45758, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3170032.

[25] Z. Li, V. Tam, and L. K. Yeung, “An Adaptive Multi-Population Optimization Algorithm for Global Continuous Optimization,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 19960–19989, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3054636.

[26] M. He, Z. Wei, X. Wu, and Y. Peng, “An Adaptive Variable Neighborhood Search Ant Colony Algorithm for Vehicle Routing Problem with Soft Time Windows,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 21258–21266, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3056067.

[27] H. Zhang and Y. Zhang, “An Improved Sparrow Search Algorithm for Optimizing Support Vector Machines,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 11, no. December 2022, pp. 8199–8206, 2023, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2023.3234579.

[28] X. Zhang, K. Zhao, L. Wang, Y. Wang, and Y. Niu, “An Improved Squirrel Search Algorithm with Reproductive Behavior,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 101118–101132, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2998324.

[29] M. Reda, A. Y. Haikal, M. A. Elhosseini, and M. Badawy, “An Innovative Damped Cuckoo Search Algorithm with a Comparative Study against Other Adaptive Variants,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 119272–119293, 2019, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2936360.

[30] Y. Xu, P. Guo, and Y. Zeng, “An Iterative Neighborhood Local Search Algorithm for Capacitated Centered Clustering Problem,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 34497–34510, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3162692.

[31] C. Chen, E. Demir, and Y. Huang, “An adaptive large neighborhood search heuristic for the vehicle routing problem with time windows and delivery robots,” *Eur. J. Oper. Res.*, vol. 294, no. 3, pp. 1164–1180, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2021.02.027.

[32] R. Phosung, K. Areerak, and K. Areerak, “Design and Optimization of Control System for

More Electric Aircraft Power Systems Using Adaptive Tabu Search Algorithm Based on State-Variables-Averaging Model," *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, no. June, pp. 76579–76588, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3406855.

[33] R. Kong and X. Tong, "Dynamic weighted heuristic trust path search algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 157382–157390, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3019797.

[34] M. Noroozi, H. Mohammadi, E. Efatinasab, A. Lashgari, M. Eslami, and B. Khan, "Golden Search Optimization Algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 10, pp. 37515–37532, 2022, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3162853.

[35] L. Fu, H. Zhu, C. Zhang, H. Ouyang, and S. Li, "Hybrid Harmony Search Differential Evolution Algorithm," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 21532–21555, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3055530.

[36] G. Yang, H. Zeng, and Z. Xu, "Adaptive Gradient Search Algorithm for Displaced Subarrays with Large Element Spacing," *IEEE Antennas Wirel. Propag. Lett.*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 1155–1159, 2021, doi: 10.1109/LAWP.2021.3074253.

[37] B. T. Pham *et al.*, "Development of advanced artificial intelligence models for daily rainfall prediction," *Atmos. Res.*, vol. 237, no. August 2019, p. 104845, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2020.104845.