Simulation of Solute Transport in a Finite Aquatic Ecosystem Using the Two-Dimensional Advection-Dispersion Equation in Cylindrical Coordinates: Analysis of the Impact of Emergent and Rigid Vegetation

ABSTRACT

10 11

1

2

3

4

5

6 7 89

Aquatic porous media experience the intrusion of pollutants from natural or anthropogenic sources, affecting the health of aquatic ecosystems. The retention and diffusion of pollutants strongly depend on parameters such as vegetation volumetric fraction (φ), porosity, and medium density. This study numerically solves the advection-dispersion equation in cylindrical coordinates using finite difference methods to evaluate pollutant concentration profiles in an initially contaminated aquatic porous medium, where flow velocity and dispersion coefficient vary with the vegetation fraction. The results reveal a marked sensitivity of concentration profiles to an increase in vegetation fraction, which reduces pollutant diffusion, while higher porosity promotes their dispersion. Furthermore, zones of high medium density accumulate more pollutants, increasing local concentrations. These interactions influence aquatic ecosystems, with elevated concentrations potentially disrupting flora and fauna. This study highlights the importance of considering these parameters to develop effective strategies for the management and preservation of aquatic environments.

- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

1. INTRODUCTION (ARIAL, BOLD, 11 FONT, LEFT ALIGNED, CAPS)

Keywords: aquatic environments, pollutants, cylindrical coordinates, flora, fauna.

Nowadays, humanity faces major challenges, among which health and food security stand out as crucial issues. To address these, humanity turns to the chemical and pharmaceutical industries [1]. On one hand, these industries improve agricultural yields through fertilizers and pesticides, and on the other hand, they develop medicines to combat diseases. However, despite their benefits, these products pose significant environmental problems, notably the degradation of aquatic biodiversity and groundwater pollution [1-2]. Consequently, the transport of pollutants in porous and aquatic underground environments has drawn the attention of the scientific community, with the objectives of better controlling these processes and implementing appropriate measures to limit environmental impacts.

The transport of contaminants in porous aquatic environments is strongly influenced by emergent vegetation, which reduces flow velocity and limits pollutant propagation [3]. By

- increasing turbulence, vegetation also promotes solute mixing, contributing to the
 protection of aquatic habitats and ecosystem health [3].
- 33 To describe the transport of dissolved contaminants in these environments, the advection-34 dispersion model is commonly used, with adjustments that vary depending on the types 35 of contaminants [4.5.6]. The advection-dispersion equation in cylindrical coordinates 36 offers specific advantages for modeling pollutants in porous environments compared to Cartesian coordinates [7]. In cylindrical coordinates, its better models' radial flows and 37 diffusion configurations dependent on radius, which are useful for point or variable 38 39 pollution sources [7,8,9]. This framework simplifies the analysis of concentrations by 40 incorporating adapted boundary conditions, such as unstable flow rates and radial 41 geometry, offering optimized solutions for heterogeneous or homogeneous aquifers.
- 42 Several researchers have focused on this area of research. For instance, [7] studied the 43 effect of an exponentially decreasing flow velocity over time, associated with solute 44 injection radii, on concentration profiles in a finite cylindrical domain by numerically solving 45 the advection-dispersion equation in cylindrical coordinates. [3] used a model based on 46 the advection-dispersion equation in Cartesian coordinates to describe how emergent and 47 rigid vegetation influences solute and particle transport in aquatic ecosystems. [10] 48 developed a risk assessment model for accidental spills of silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) 49 in soils and groundwater. Moreover, [11] investigated the impact of vegetation fraction on 50 drag and pollutant transport in porous aquatic environments, developing a model that 51 relates Reynolds number and vegetation drag to pollutant transport. [12] developed a 52 model based on stem spacing and vegetation fraction to predict the longitudinal dispersion coefficient in low-density emergent vegetation systems. 53
- 54 To date, no research has yet employed the advection-dispersion equation in cylindrical 55 coordinates to simulate pollutant concentration profiles in an initially contaminated porous 56 aquatic environment.
- 57 The objective of this research is therefore to demonstrate the influence of vegetation 58 volumetric fraction, Reynolds number, as well as the density and porosity of the medium, 59 on pollutant dispersion in initially contaminated aquatic environments, using the two-60 dimensional advection-dispersion equation model in cylindrical coordinates.
- 61

62 2. Materials and Methods

63 **2.1 Physical and Mathematical Model**

64 In this study, we analyze the dispersion of a pollutant deposited on a cylindrical surface in an aquatic environment. Figure 1 illustrates the physical configuration of the cylindrical matrix, 65 66 initially contaminated with a concentration Ci. It shows how pollutants move through the radial 67 coordinate r and the depth z of the matrix. The injection zone is located at the entrance of the matrix and is defined by a radius r0. This injection zone marks the starting point of 68 69 contamination, meaning that the injected pollutants must diffuse and spread throughout the 70 entire matrix, up to the radius R and depth L, where r and z represent the radial and vertical 71 coordinates, respectively.



98 **Fig 2.1.** Schematic of the filter in cylindrical coordinates

101 The two-dimensional advection-dispersion equation model in cylindrical coordinates is used 102 to describe the transport of contaminants or chemical and biological substances in porous 103 media or aquatic aquifer systems. The two-dimensional advection-dispersion equation in 104 cylindrical coordinates, with dispersion coefficients and flow velocity depending on the radial 105 distance, is reformulated as follows [7]:

106
$$R_{f} \frac{\partial c}{\partial t} = D_{L} \frac{\partial^{2} c}{\partial z^{2}} + D_{T} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} c}{\partial r^{2}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial c}{\partial r} \right) - V_{p} \frac{\partial c}{\partial z} - k_{0} C e^{\frac{\gamma}{\lambda}}$$
(1)

107 With γ the partition coefficient, λ straining coefficient, $R_f = \frac{\rho k_0}{\theta}$ the retardation coefficient, 108 θ the porosity of the medium, ρ the density of the medium, k_0 the adsorption coefficient, V_p

109 the flow velocity , $D_L = 0.3 \left[(\varphi C_D)^{\frac{1}{3}} V_p \right] l_t$ the longitudinal dispersion coefficient,

110 $D_T = D_L/10$ the transverse dispersion coefficient, C_D the drag coefficient, and l_t the 111 turbulence length [13].

112 113

2.2. Description of Physical Parameters

114 **2.2.1. Flow Velocity**

115 The transport velocity of pollutants in porous aquatic environments, particularly those containing vegetation, is influenced by several parameters such as vegetation fraction ϕ ,

117 drag coefficient C_p , water surface area S, and gravitational acceleration g [11,13]. Vegetation 118 acts as a drag source that slows down water flow and consequently reduces the contaminant 119 transport velocity. The drag generated by vegetation is often modeled using a quadratic law 120 that relates the drag force to the medium's density ρ , the mean flow velocity V_p , and the

121 vegetation's average drag coefficient C_D , expressed as follows:

122
$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D a V_p^2$$
 (2)

The slowdown of the flow not only alters the average velocity but also changes the vertical distribution of velocity within the water column. Emergent vegetation leads to a significant reduction in horizontal velocity, particularly in areas with high vegetation density. By considering the effect of vegetation on flow dynamics, the spatially averaged velocity in a vegetated channel can be expressed as a function of the water surface slope S, the drag coefficient, and the pressure gradient induced by the water slope, using the following equation from [13]:

130
$$V_p = \sqrt{\frac{2gs(1-\varphi)}{C_D a}}$$
(3)

This relationship shows that the presence of vegetation, characterized by a high volumetric fraction and a significant drag coefficient, reduces the flow velocity V_P (see Figure 2). Moreover, studies by [13] indicate that the spatial arrangement of vegetation, as well as the diameter and density of the stems, significantly influence the drag coefficient and, consequently, the pollutant transport velocity.

Þ





Fig 2.2. Variation of Pollutant Flow Velocity as a Function of the Drag Coefficient
 138

139

140 2.2.2 Drag Coefficient

Drag coefficients C_D play a key role in the dynamics of flows in aquatic porous media, particularly when simulating the resistance introduced by vegetation structures and other materials within the fluid [11,12,14]. The expression simplifying the dependence of drag on the volumetric Reynolds number is proposed, on the one hand, by:

145
$$.C_D = 2\left(\frac{a_0}{R_{ev}} + a_1\right)$$
 (4)

146 With a_0 and a_1 as empirical coefficients capturing the impact of flow resistance based on the 147 characteristics of the fluid and obstacles, and R_{ev} the Reynolds number, which characterizes 148 the nature of the flow as laminar or turbulent. This expression is frequently used to model drag 149 in systems where flow velocity and particle size are well-defined. It allows the estimation of 150 resistance exerted by solid objects in porous media while accounting for variations in flow 151 around the particles [13].

152 The second expression for the drag coefficient C_D is proposed by [15] and follows the 153 relationship:

$$C_{D1} = \begin{pmatrix} 11 \left(\frac{R_{ev}}{1+80\phi} \right)^{-0.75} + 0.9 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{1000(1+80\phi)}{R_{ev}} \right) \right] + \\ 1.2 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{R_{ev}}{4500(1+80\phi)} \right)^{0.7} \right) \right] \end{pmatrix}$$
(1- ϕ) (5)

154

155 This expression takes into account the Reynolds number and the volumetric ratio of solids in 156 the medium, as well as a series of exponential terms to model drag based on the complex interaction between the fluid and the structure of the porous medium. This model is particularly 157 158 suited for environments where the spatial distribution of particles and the volumetric fraction 159 strongly influence the flow. It allows for a more detailed description of the interference effects between particles or vegetative structures, especially in scenarios where porosity varies 160 161 significantly [16]. These two expressions are crucial for understanding how vegetation or other 162 objects in porous media influence water flow.

163 164

2.3 Initial and Boundary Conditions

165 The contaminated aquatic porous medium is initially assumed to have a background 166 concentration Ci, represented by a linear combination of this initial concentration and a zero-167 order production term (7,17,18,19). The initial conditions are expressed as:

168
$$\begin{cases} C(t=0,r,z) = 0\\ 0 \le r \le R\\ 0 \le z \le L \end{cases}$$

$$r, z) = C_i + \frac{sr}{v_p}$$

$$\leq R \qquad ;$$

169 Where s is the first-order solute production, r is the radial distance in the porous medium,

170 At the inlet of the aquatic porous matrix, a contaminant concentration is imposed, influenced

by longitudinal dispersion due to the solute flow velocity within the medium. The boundary

172 conditions at the inlet are given by :

173
$$C(t,r,z=0) - \left(\frac{D_L}{V_p}\right) \left(\frac{\partial C}{z}\right) (t,r,z=0) = \begin{cases} C_0; 0 \le r \le r_0 \\ 0; r_0 \le r \le R \end{cases}; t \ge 0 \quad (7)$$

174 Where C0 is the contaminant concentration imposed at the entrance of the aquifer for 175 $0 \le r \le r_0$, r0 represents the region where the contaminant is introduced. This condition 176 represents a non-uniform contaminant input at the domain's entrance, influenced by longitudinal dispersion. 177

178 At the exit of the aquifer and along the radial boundaries, no-flux conditions are imposed, 179 meaning there is no solute flux across these boundaries. The boundary conditions at the 180 edges are therefore:

181

$$\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial r}\right)(t, r = R, z) = 0$$
$$\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial z}\right)(t, r, z = L) = 0$$

(8)

182 Where r = R represents the outer radial boundary and z = L represents the maximum depth. These conditions indicate that the solute flux is zero both at the radial edges and at the 183 184 longitudinal outlet of the aquifer, thus preventing any solute transfer out of the domain at these 185 boundaries.

186

187

188 189

2.4. Numerical Resolution

The numerical resolution of the two-dimensional advection-dispersion equation model in 190 191 cylindrical coordinates is based on spatial and temporal discretization. This discretization transforms the partial differential equation into a system of algebraic equations, which can be 192 193 solved numerically using the finite difference method, a commonly used numerical technique for solving partial differential equations (PDEs) [8,18]. The first-order temporal, radial, and 194 spatial discretizations are given as follows: 195

(11)

196

197

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \frac{C_{i,j}^{k+1} - C_{i,j}^{k}}{\Delta t}$$

$$\frac{\partial C}{\partial r} = \frac{C_{i+1,j}^{k} - C_{i-1,j}^{k}}{\Delta r}$$
(9)
(10)

198

199 The second-order radial and spatial discretization are given as follows

$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial r^2} = \frac{C_{i+1,j}^k - 2C_{i,j}^k + C_{i-1,j}^k}{(\Delta r)^2}$$
(12)

 Λz

01
$$\frac{\partial^2 C}{\partial z^2} = \frac{C_{i,j+1}^k - 2C_{i,j}^k + C_{i,j-1}^k}{(\Delta z)^2}$$
(13)

20

202 Where i, j, and k are the discretization nodes, and Δr , Δx , and Δt are the radial, spatial, and temporal steps, respectively, $0 \le i \le N_r$, $0 \le j \le N_z$, $0 \le k \le N_t$. By combining expressions 203 9, 10, 11, 12, and 13 in the transport equation 1, we obtain: 204

_γ Τ

$$C_{i,j}^{k+1} = \left[1 - 2(\beta_1 + \beta_3) - \beta_2 + \beta_4 - \Delta t k_0 e^{\frac{t}{\lambda}} \right] C_{i,j}^k +$$

$$\beta_1 C_{i+1,j}^k + \beta_3 C_{i,j+1}^k + (\beta_1 + \beta_2) C_{i-1,j}^k + (\beta_3 - \beta_4) C_{i,j-1}^k$$
(14)

Г

 $\begin{cases} C_{i,j}^0 = C_i + \frac{is}{V_p} \end{cases}$

206

207 Where
$$\beta_1 = \frac{\Delta t(D_L)}{R_f \Delta x^2}, \beta_2 = \frac{\Delta t(V_p)}{R_f \Delta z}, \beta_3 = \frac{\Delta t(D_T)}{R_f \Delta r^2}, \beta_4 = \frac{\Delta t(D_T)_i}{iR_f \Delta r^2}$$
. The discretization of the initial

208 boundary conditions is given successively by the following relations:

209

$$\begin{cases} 0 \le i \le N_r, 0 \le j \le N_z \\ \left\{ \Delta z \left(1 + \left(\frac{D_L}{V_p} \right)_i \right) C_{i,j=0}^k = \Delta z C_0 + \left(\frac{D_L}{V_p} \right)_i C_{i,j=0}^k, 0 \le i \le \frac{N_r}{4} \\ \left\{ \Delta z \left(1 + \left(\frac{D_L}{V_p} \right)_i \right) C_{i,j=0}^k = \left(\frac{D_L}{V_p} \right)_i C_{i,j=0}^k, \frac{N_r}{4} \le i \le N_r \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
(16)

The discretization of the boundary conditions is given by the following relationships: 211 $\begin{cases} C_{N_{r},j}^{k} = C_{N_{r}-1,j}^{k} \\ C_{i,N_{z}}^{k} = C_{i,N_{z}-1}^{k} \end{cases}$

212

(30)

213 We analyzed the numerical solutions using the following model parameters: $C_0 = 1$, $\rho_d = 1.68$ g/L, $k_0 = 0.9$ g/L, $\theta = 0.33$, $a_0 = 0.085$, $a_1 = 11$, $\varphi = 0.091$, 0.35, $R_{ev} = 25$, s=0.007, $C_i = 0.01$, 214 g=9.8 m^2/s, l_{t} =0.1, γ =0.0007 , λ =0.474 , these parameters are based on the work of 215 216 [7,8,9,12,13,18,19] who studied the role of plant structures in modifying hydrodynamic flows 217 and transport processes in aquatic porous media.

- 218
- 219

3. Results and discussion: 220

221 3 .1. Influence of vegetation volumetric fraction and Reynolds number on pollutant 222 dispersion and their ecological effects in aguatic environments.

223 This section evaluates the influence of environmental parameters on pollutant dispersion in 224 aquatic environments, taking into account the interactions between vegetation, Reynolds number, and hydrodynamic properties. The effects of these pollutants on the ecosystem,
 particularly on flora, fauna, and overall water quality, are also considered.



227

Fig. 3.1: Influence of vegetation volumetric fraction on pollutant concentration over time at different depths (z = 0.8 m and z = 1.5 m).

230

231 Figure 3.1 demonstrates that the vegetation volumetric fraction φ (φ = 0.091, 0.13, 0.26) 232 significantly influences pollutant concentration over time. These high concentrations can lead 233 to a degradation of water quality, with direct consequences on aquatic flora and fauna, as 234 shown in the works of [13,14]. For the observation points (z, r) = (0.8 m, 0.6 m) in Figure 3.1a 235 and (z, r) = (1.5 m, 0.6 m) in Figure 3.1b, pollutant concentration increases exponentially, 236 which could cause significant ecological disturbances, such as a reduction in photosynthesis 237 for aquatic plants and toxic effects on fish and invertebrates. It appears that pollutant retention 238 is greater at a depth of z = 1.5 m, where pollutants disperse less rapidly. This corroborates the 239 findings of [16], who shows better particle capture in deeper environments but focuses only 240 on rigid plant structures. Our results provide a more detailed understanding of the interactions 241 between flexible vegetation and flow dynamics, offering a more comprehensive perspective on the dynamic effects of submerged vegetation on pollutant dispersion. An additional 242 243 observation is that pollutant concentration decreases with an increase in the vegetation 244 volumetric fraction, regardless of depth. This can be attributed to the increase in natural 245 obstacles caused by vegetation, acting as a physical barrier and thus promoting pollutant 246 capture.

The results from Figure 3.2 reveal an increase in pollutant retention as the Reynolds number increase (($Re = 52*10^{5}, 54*10^{5}$)), as noted by [13,20].



251

Fig 3.2. Effect of Reynolds number on pollutant dispersion over time at different depths (z = 0.8 m and z = 1.5 m). 252

253

254 These studies indicate that turbulence generated by shear forces around rigid or flexible aquatic plants directly influences the pollutant retention capacity in the pores of aquatic 255 256 matrices. In our case, a decrease in Reynolds number reduces turbulence intensity, allowing 257 for better pollutant retention, particularly at a depth of z = 1.5 m. This phenomenon could lead to higher contamination accumulation in deeper areas, with long-term ecological impacts, such 258 as the alteration of aquatic wildlife communities. These results go beyond the work of [13] by 259 showing an explicit link between a decrease in Reynolds number and reduced pollutant 260 dispersion in aquatic porous matrices. This highlights the importance of considering the 261 potential ecological effects of hydrodynamic parameters on wildlife and plant life in the 262 263 management of polluted aquatic environments. 264

265 3.2. Influence of vegetation volumetric fraction on the radial dispersion (r) and depth (z) of pollutants in aquatic environments using the first model. 266

267

In this section, two values of the volumetric fraction ($\varphi = 0.091$ in fig3.A and $\varphi = 0.35$ in fig3.B) 268 were used to evaluate the distribution of pollutants after 2 days. 269 270





273 days).

271

274 It is observed in Figure 3 that for $\varphi = 0.091$, pollutant concentrations are higher, with a 275 concentration difference between points A (r/R = 0.1, z/L = 0.25) and B (r/R = 0.9, z/L = 0.25) 276 of 0.1685, or 16.85%. In contrast, for $\varphi = 0.35$, the concentration difference between these 277 same points is 0.0822, or 8.22%. This decrease in pollutant dispersion as the vegetation 278 volumetric fraction increases suggests that the presence of vegetation slows down the flow of 279 pollutants through the aquatic porous medium. This results in reduced spread and accumulation in specific areas. The impact of pollutants on aquatic ecosystems is largely 280 281 influenced by this dynamic. Indeed, reduced pollutant dispersion can lead to higher 282 concentrations in certain areas, which may have devastating effects on aquatic flora and 283 fauna. Organisms living in these environments may be exposed to elevated levels of 284 pollutants, leading to consequences such as reproductive disruptions, increased mortality, or 285 changes in population structure. Aquatic plant species, in turn, may undergo physiological 286 alterations due to the accumulation of toxic substances, affecting the overall health of the 287 ecosystem. Furthermore, depth (z) appears to play a more significant role than radial distance 288 (r) in pollutant dispersion, implying a vertical stratification of concentrations in the aquatic 289 environment. This stratification can have complex effects on different ecological layers, as 290 some organisms are more sensitive to contaminants at certain depths. Higher concentrations 291 of pollutants at specific depths can lead to dead zones where aquatic life is severely 292 compromised. These observations align with the work of [12], who showed that higher 293 vegetation fractions reduce pollutant accumulation. However, their results were limited to 294 environments with vegetation densities below 0.1, while this study explores scenarios with 295 fractions up to 0.35. This shows that in systems with denser vegetation, the effect on pollutant 296 dispersion is even more pronounced. The increase in vegetation volumetric fraction limits 297 pollutant dispersion in aquatic environments, reducing their overall spread but potentially 298 exacerbating their impact in certain areas. This dynamic highlights the importance of 299 understanding the interaction between vegetation, depth, and pollutant dispersion to assess 300 the long-term effects of contaminants on aquatic ecosystems.

301 III .3 Influence of Density, Porosity, and Vegetation Volumetric Fraction on Pollutant
 302 Dispersion in Porous Aquatic Environments

Figure 3.4 illustrates the influence of the aquatic medium's density ($\rho = 1, 1.4, 1.8$) on the evolution of pollutant concentration as a function of vegetation volumetric fraction. This analysis is carried out using the numerical solution associated with the two drag coefficient models described by relations 4 and 5.



307 308

Fig. 3.4. Impact of Medium Density on Pollutant Dispersion as a Function of Vegetation
 Volumetric Fraction in a Porous Aquatic Medium

Pollutant concentrations are measured at a given depth and radius (z = 1 m, r = 0.6 m), and the results show an increase in concentrations with the vegetation volumetric fraction, regardless of the model used. However, the observed concentrations are higher in Model 2 than in Model 1. This difference is attributed to the generalization of the drag coefficient in Model 2, which takes into account the Reynolds number, applicable to both isolated vegetation and dense arrays, as discussed by [15].

318 This increase in concentrations with the vegetation volumetric fraction is notable because an 319 increase in volumetric fraction generally leads to a decrease in flow velocity. A lower velocity 320 favors pollutant retention, thus reducing pollutant dispersion. The results also show that the 321 highest concentrations are observed in denser media ($\rho = 1.8$). This suggests that the 322 medium's density plays an important role in pollutant retention, which could lead to greater 323 accumulation in certain areas, increasing risks for local fauna and flora. The lowest 324 concentrations are observed for the density $\rho = 1$, showing that pollutant dispersion in aquatic 325 environments is closely linked to the medium's density.

These results are more complex than those reported by [12], whose work mainly focuses on 326 327 longitudinal dispersion through wake dispersion mechanisms, relevant for low vegetation 328 densities. These mechanisms are generally insufficient to explain dispersion in more complex 329 environments with dense vegetation, where other processes, such as turbulent diffusion or 330 vortex trapping, may become significant. On the other hand, [13] described the medium 331 density, including vegetation or suspended particle density, as an important factor in pollutant 332 dispersion, although his study does not specifically address dispersion in porous aquatic 333 media. His work focuses instead on surface flow dynamics induced by vegetation without 334 exploring in detail the density of the pore matrix itself or its interactions with flows.



Fig. 3.5. Effect of Medium Porosity on Pollutant Distribution in Relation to Vegetation
 Volumetric Fraction in a Porous Aquatic Environment

339

340 Figure 5.3 highlights the influence of medium porosity ($\varphi = 0.3, 0.6, 0.9$) on pollutant 341 distribution as a function of vegetation volumetric fraction. The results show a uniform increase 342 in pollutant concentrations with vegetation volumetric fraction, regardless of the model used. 343 However, the most pronounced pollutant retention, i.e., the lowest concentrations, is observed 344 in Model 1, unlike in Model 2. [15] primarily studied Model 2 without exploring other models, 345 which limits their analysis. The highest concentrations are observed in environments with high 346 porosity ($\varphi = 0.9$), while the lowest concentrations are associated with lower porosity ($\varphi = 0.3$) 347 for both models. These results confirm that pollutant concentration increases with medium 348 porosity, which has significant implications for the dynamics of aquatic ecosystems. High 349 porosity allows for faster pollutant diffusion, increasing their spread into deeper or more distant 350 areas, potentially exacerbating the impact on aquatic species and vulnerable ecosystems. The 351 presented results are more comprehensive than those of [11], who measured drag on sets of 352 rigid elements without explicitly considering medium porosity. In aquatic porous matrices, porosity plays a crucial role in pollutant transport and retention. These results demonstrate 353 354 that porosity directly influences the availability of pollutants to aquatic flora and fauna, 355 potentially increasing the risks of bioaccumulation and long-term toxic effects. Including this 356 parameter in dispersion models is therefore essential for understanding the complex 357 interactions between pollutants and the aquatic ecosystem, particularly in porous 358 environments.

359

360 3.3. Model Validation



363

362 Fig.3. 6. Validation of numerical solution with analytical solution obtained by [7].

Figure 3.6 above represents the validation of the numerical solution for the two-dimensional advection-dispersion equation in cylindrical coordinates with the analytical solution obtained by [7], used in this study to evaluate solute transport in aquatic porous media. This figure demonstrates a close agreement between the analytical and numerical results. These observations strengthen the validity and reliability of the model used to study solute transport in aquatic porous media.

370

371

372 4. CONCLUSION

373 In this article, we proposed a numerical solution to the advection-dispersion equation in 374 cylindrical coordinates using the finite difference method. This approach allows for the 375 evaluation of the impact of several parameters, including vegetation volumetric fraction, 376 Reynolds number, density, and porosity of the medium, on the behavior of pollutants in initially contaminated aquatic porous environments. The analysis of the results obtained shows that 377 378 the concentration of pollutants decreases significantly with the increase in vegetation 379 volumetric fraction. Furthermore, as a water point located in an aquatic porous medium age, the dispersion phenomenon, influenced by the vegetation fraction and Reynolds number, 380 tends to evenly distribute the pollution plume or front throughout the system. The pollutant 381 concentrations predicted by model 2, which incorporates drag effects, are higher than those 382 383 predicted by model 1. This highlights the importance of considering the complexity of 384 interactions between these parameters for better modeling of the processes. Additionally, the 385 porosity and density of the medium are found to have a crucial impact on the retention and 386 propagation of pollutants, thus influencing the dynamics of aquatic ecosystems. These results 387 emphasize the importance of considering these interactions for a more accurate assessment 388 of the ecological impacts of pollutants in aquatic environments.

389

390

392 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

The authors declare no conflict of interest. Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative Al technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscript.

396 397

398 AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

399

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved
the final manuscript.

403 CONSENT (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

404

All authors declare that **written informed consent was obtained** from the patient (or other approved parties) for the publication of this case report and accompanying images. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the editorial office, chief editor, or editorial board members of this journal.

410 ETHICAL APPROVAL (WHERE EVER APPLICABLE)

411

409

The authors hereby declare that this study does not involve experiments on human or animal subjects.

414

415

416 **REFERENCES**

417

[1] Lie, M., Binhudayb, F. S., Thao, N. T. T., & Kristanti, R. A. (2024). Assessing the Impact of
Pharmaceutical Contamination in Malaysian Groundwater: Risks, Modelling, and Remediation
Strategies. *Tropical Aquatic and Soil Pollution*, *4*(1), 43-59.

[2] Lo, M. L., Wade, M., Ndao, S., Diallo, A., & Sissoko, G. (2016). Numerical modeling of
solute transport: Predicting the risk of groundwater contamination in the Niayes area (Senegal)
by pesticides. *International Journal of Innovation and Applied Studies*, *17*(4), 1358.

[3] Jampani, M., Mateo-Sagasta, J., Chandrasekar, A., Fatta-Kassinos, D., Graham, D. W.,
Gothwal, R., ... & Langan, S. (2024). Fate and transport modelling for evaluating antibiotic
resistance in aquatic environments: Current knowledge and research priorities. *Journal of Hazardous Materials, 461*, 132527.

[4] Gramling, C. M., Harvey, C. F., & Meigs, L. C. (2002). Reactive transport in porous media:
A comparison of model prediction with laboratory visualization. *Environmental Science* & *Technology*, *36*(11), 2508-2514.

431 [5] Zhang, R., Huang, K., & Xiang, J. (1994). Solute movement through homogeneous and 432 heterogeneous soil columns. *Advances in Water Resources, 17*(5), 317-324.

433 [6] Ashraf, M., Guleria, A., Ahammad, S. Z., & Chakma, S. (2024). Implementation of temporal 434 moments to elucidate the reactive transport of metformin and erythromycin in the saturated 435 porous media. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *31*(35), 47801-47817.

- [7] Chen, J. S., Chen, J. T., Liu, C. W., Liang, C. P., & Lin, C. W. (2011). Analytical solutions
 to two-dimensional advection–dispersion equation in cylindrical coordinates in finite domain
 subject to first-and third-type inlet boundary conditions. *Journal of Hydrology, 405*(3-4), 522531.
- [8] Nganso, A. S. N., Togue, F. K., & Mbane, C. B. (2024). Effects of the injection radius and
 varying velocity on solute transport in a finite porous medium with radial geometry. *Sādhanā*,
 49(2), 118.
- [9] Hwang, G. (2021). Analytical solution for the two-dimensional linear advection-dispersion
 equation in porous media via the fokas method. *Journal of Applied Analysis & Computation*,
 11(5), 2334-2354.
- 446 [10] Ramirez, R., Martí, V., & Darbra, R. M. (2023). Aquatic ecosystem risk assessment 447 generated by accidental silver nanoparticle spills in groundwater. *Toxics*, *11*(8), 671.
- [11] Tseng, C. Y. (2022). From substrate to surface: An integrated study on the Interfacial
 transfer and sediment suspension based on a turbulence perspective in vegetated
 flows (Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign).
- [12] Sonnenwald, F., Stovin, V., & Guymer, I. (2019). A stem spacing-based non-dimensional
 model for predicting longitudinal dispersion in low-density emergent vegetation. *Acta Geophysica*, 67(3), 943-949.
- [13] Yang, J. Q. (2024). Solute flow and particle transport in aquatic ecosystems: A review on
 the effect of emergent and rigid vegetation. *Environmental Science and Ecotechnology*,
 100429.
- 457 [14] King, A. T., Tinoco, R. O., & Cowen, E. A. (2012). A k–ε turbulence model based on the
 458 scales of vertical shear and stem wakes valid for emergent and submerged vegetated flows.
 459 *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 701, 1-39.
- 460 [15] Cheng, N. S. (2013). Calculation of drag coefficient for arrays of emergent circular 461 cylinders with pseudofluid model. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, *139*(6), 602-611.
- 462 [16] Gurmu, G. (2019). Soil organic matter and its role in soil health and crop productivity 463 improvement. *Forest Ecology and Management*, *7*(7), 475-483.
- 464 [17] Singh, A., Sharma, R., Pant, D., & Malaviya, P. (2021). Engineered algal biochar for 465 contaminant remediation and electrochemical applications. *Science of the Total* 466 *Environment*, 774, 145676.
- [18] Madie, C. Y., Togue, F. K., & Woafo, P. (2022). Numerical solution of the Burgers equation
 associated with the phenomena of longitudinal dispersion depending on time. *Heliyon, 8*(7).
- [19] Das, R., Vecitis, C. D., Schulze, A., Cao, B., Ismail, A. F., Lu, X., ... & Ramakrishna, S.
 (2017). Recent advances in nanomaterials for water protection and monitoring. *Chemical*Society Reviews, 46(22), 6946-7020.

- 472
- [20] Nian, T. K., Guo, X. S., Fan, N., Jiao, H. B., & Li, D. Y. (2018). Impact forces of submarine landslides on suspended pipelines considering the low-temperature environment. *Applied* 473 474 Ocean Research, 81, 116-125.