

SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	SouthAsianResearchJournalofNaturalProducts
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_129447
Title of the Manuscript:	ViscosityofRawandBoiledHoneyfromTaboraTanzaniaatConstantandVariableTemperatures.
Type of Article :	Original research article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The research is scientifically very weak, and the title is scientifically poor. The study lacks scientific enrichment as it only addresses one criterion, which is the estimation of viscosity in two cases: the first without using thermal treatment and the second with thermal treatment at different temperatures. Additionally, the scientific citations in the results and discussion were insufficient. From an organizational perspective, it does not qualify as scientific research by the known standards of proper scientific research, as it lacks a proper abstract, keywords, and a clear introduction separated from the methods. Furthermore, it did not provide the results with sufficient space or scientific value compared to the space allocated for the methods and other sections.</p> <p>Note: This is not scientific research, so I did not put any notes in the text.</p> <p>My argument is that the paper lacks so much of the qualities</p>	<p>Thanks that the Reviewer has played his part which I very much appreciate and honour his views. His comment does not give room for continuation. I believe the editor will finally reject the paper.</p> <p>Ms_SARJNP_129447</p> <p>ViscosityofRawandBoiledHoneyfromTaboraTanzaniaatConstantandVariableTemperatures.</p>

PART 3: Objective Evaluation:

Guideline	MARKS for this REVISED manuscript
<p>Give OVERALL MARKS you want to give to this REVISED manuscript (Highest: 10 Lowest: 0)</p> <p><u>Guideline:</u> Accept (8-10) Revision required: (4-8) Rejected: (0-4)</p>	