

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_130567
Title of the Manuscript:	The Quality of Chinese Agricultural Products Exported to Japan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This paper is original and interesting. However, it is very long (32pages).Thus, it is recommended to reduce it (less than 25)	Thank you for your suggestion, we have tried our best to delete the length of the article. These changes do not affect the content or framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in red in the revised paper. We hope that the correction will meet with approval.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but it must be more comprehensive The Quality of Chinese Agricultural Products Exported to Japan: Analytical study	We thank you for the very helpful comments. We have revised the title to " Evaluating the Quality of Chinese Agricultural Products Exported to Japan: Insights from Nested Logit Analysis ".
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Acceptable, but the data used are not recent	Thanks for the suggestion, we have noticed this in our research. We apologize that due to database limitations, we were only able to access data up to 2017.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Unfortunately, the researcher should add most recent references	We sincerely appreciate the valuable comment. We have added some recent references into the Introduction part in the revised manuscript. [1] Zhou L, Luo J, Fang P. Who will feed China in the 21st century? Pandemic Crisis, Glocalization and Organized Responsibility. International Economic Review. 2021;(05):53-80+6. [2] Yu M, Cai H. Does Free Trade Agreement Alleviate the Quality Upgrading Dilemma of China's Agricultural Exports. International Trade Issues. 2022;(08):136-155. DOI:10.13510/j.cnki.jit.2022.08.001. [13] Long Y. Export competitiveness of agricultural products and agricultural sustainability in China. Regional Sustainability. 2021 Jul 1;2(3):203-10.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes , I approve it	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Cited above	We value the constructive comments of the reviewer and thank you for your time and effort in doing so.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	