

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_129677
Title of the Manuscript:	REVERSE MIGRATION DURING COVID 19 PANDEMIC: A REVIEW OF GOVERNMENT POLICIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is quite interesting topic covering important aspect of migrants and reverse migration which has been the topic of the debate during Covid period.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	its apt	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	YES	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	Thanks for the comments.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	Thanks for the comments.
Optional/General comments	Overall a very good paper, but one suggestion kindly add source below all Tables	Ok thanks noted.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	