

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJ SSE_129677
Title of the Manuscript:	Reverse Migration During Covid 19 Pandemic: A Review Of Government Policies
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I observed that the abstract is significantly affected by unclear articulation. It does not adequately encompass the aim, methods, key findings, and conclusions, which are essential components of a well-structured abstract. The research should comprehensively address these aspects. Additionally, the findings appear ambiguous—does the lockdown primarily drive migration, or does it lead to slower economic tendencies? I recommend revising these sections to enhance clarity and coherence.	Thanks for the comments.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	<p>I am not convinced with this structured.</p> <p><b>Introduction</b></p> <p>Writing Introduction is quite premise less. Languages is poor. Subject matters are light and unorganized. Issues are opaque and even not pin pointed with the topic. Rational is not mentioned. Contribution of this manuscript is almost zero.</p> <p>Grammar and syntactical problems are rife in this section.</p> <p><b>Leterature Review</b></p> <p>Author starts writing literature by saying” Most of the researches on reasons and pattern of migration across the globe, but studies conducted on reverse migration are rare.”</p> <p>I have some experience with the working style of most Indian workers in big cities like Mumbai and Delhi, who come from villages of Bihar and UP. On a seasonal basis, during their farm time, they return home for 2–3 months, for instance, during rice cultivation, and then they move back to their previous jobs. In this scenario, what is the definition of reverse migration? After the lockdown, most of the laborers moved back to the cities to resume working as they did previously. How do you separate this theme?</p> <p>Review of literature are messy and haphazard, not coherence. What is the gap of this study.</p> <p>Research Objective is placed after Literature Review. This manuscript is developed as extremely immature.</p>	Thanks for the comments. Noted amd revised.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please follow a good structure of review article. At this development, it is not at acceptable condition.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	