

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_129435
Title of the Manuscript:	Nexus between Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in ASEAN-10 Countries
Type of the Article	Short research article

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that an author should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	his manuscript addresses the crucial topic of the interplay between economic growth and environmental degradation in ASEAN-10 countries. It applies rigorous econometric methods, such as Granger causality and Johansen cointegration tests, providing valuable insights into the region's economic-environmental dynamics. Its findings contribute significantly to understanding the variations in growth-environment linkages across countries, offering actionable policy recommendations for sustainable development. This work is vital for policymakers and researchers focused on environmental economics and sustainability in developing regions.	Thank you.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not, please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title accurately reflects the manuscript's content and objectives. No changes are necessary.	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is clear and summarizes the key findings and methodologies. However, it could benefit from emphasizing the policy recommendations and limitations to provide a more balanced overview.	Thank you.
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript employs appropriate econometric methods and data sources to investigate the topic. The methodology and analyses are robust, but the manuscript could better justify the choice of the econometric models used.	Thank you.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and recent. They include both foundational and contemporary studies, supporting the manuscript's findings. No additional references are necessary.	Thank you.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is mostly suitable for scholarly communication. However, minor grammatical errors and wordiness in some sections should be revised for clarity and precision.	I have modified the article and tried to update.
Optional/General comments	The manuscript could improve by discussing the limitations of its econometric methods in greater detail. Additionally, a visual representation of the econometric findings (e.g., graphs or charts) could enhance the reader's understanding of the results.	I have modified the article and tried to update.

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	