

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Research in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJRM_130106
Title of the Manuscript:	Breaking the Diagnostic Enigma: Brucella Infection in the Spectrum of Pyrexia of unknown origin at Tertiary Care Hospitals in North India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Title is suitable	Ok thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The abstract is concise but lacks details about key results. For instance, the percentage of acute versus chronic cases and specific findings from serological/molecular analyses (e.g., IgG/IgM positivity rates) should be explicitly stated.Recommendation: Include numerical values for key findings (e.g., percentage of cases positive for IgM, IgG, or both).	Effectuated revision
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, Correct	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Some references appear outdated or incomplete (e.g., "Brown I, Finnigan NA. Fever of Unknown Origin...").Recommendation: Ensure all references are current and formatted according to the journal's guidelines.	Noted and revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The manuscript contains grammatical errors (e.g., "Brucellosis adopts a chronic and persistent course..." should be rephrased for clarity).Recommendation: Conduct a thorough proofreading for grammar and style.	Corrected
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript addresses an important public health issue by investigating the seroprevalence and diagnostic challenges of brucellosis in cases of pyrexia of unknown origin (PUO). The study is relevant given the zoonotic nature of brucellosis and its impact on communities reliant on livestock. The authors have effectively highlighted the need for improved diagnostic approaches to tackle this neglected disease. However, there are areas that require clarification and improvement to enhance the manuscript's scientific rigor and readability.</p> <p>PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	Revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	