

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_131186
Title of the Manuscript:	Mycorrhizal interaction between companion trees and cocoa trees (Theobroma cacao L.) in traditional agroforestry systems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The contribution of AMF fungi to agricultural plants and trees is essential for improving cultivation, harvesting, and preserving plant health by supplying enough nutrients. Better plant development and production are encouraged by the action of AMF fungi in the case of Theobroma cacao trees, which yield highly sought-after seeds. The scientific community will benefit from this paper since it clarifies how AMF fungus can increase crop output and sustainability, particularly in high-value commodities like cocoa. A better understanding of this manuscript result in more effective farming methods and increased food security worldwide.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, but improve clarity, you can revise the title to include the location or context of the experiment. For example:	Noted
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should be concise, clearly stating the aim of the work, its significance, and the key outcomes of the results. Avoid including detailed descriptions of materials or methodologies, as the focus should remain on summarizing the purpose, importance, and findings of the study.	Noted
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Actually lot of reference are missing example the manuscript is some of reference are mentioned but the reference part is missing, like agree 2001, Philips and layman et al , Tomassone et al, kendall et al, coyne 2000, Amani eta al 2020, bourmine et al, 2011. These are the reference are missing from the reference part	Noted
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments	The Including spore photographs, with a maximum of six per page, ensures good quality and enhances understanding effectively.	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	