

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_130861
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PATTERN OF SOME MICROORGANISMS ISOLATED FROM PACKAGED FOOD SAMPLES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>COMMENT: The topic under review is relevant, but the sample size is small. The sample could be increased to strengthen the study's goal. Using wet samples would be better since moisture encourages bacterial and fungal growth</p> <p>ABSTRACT</p> <p>COMMENT: The abstract does not describe the problem as worth solving.</p> <p>GRAMMAR</p> <p>COMMENT: The overall study has a large number of grammatical issues. I suggest the author(s) use grammar checkers like Grammarly etc, to help identify mistakes.</p> <p>CITATION</p> <p>COMMENT: The references are recent enough and well-tailored making the manuscript publishable with just a few pretty old citations.</p> <p>RELEVANT OF THE WORK TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC</p> <p>COMMENT: the need to analyze antimicrobial resistance pattern of some microorganisms isolated from packaged food samples is in line with current reality. The author (s) however do not give a clear discussion on the public health implication of the manuscript under review.</p> <p>LITERARY QUALITY</p> <p>I also would suggest that all information pertaining names, institutions and countries be blinded to prevent bias</p>	<p>We appreciate this suggestion; however, samples were obtained from standard malls in the study area. As at the time of sampling, only four major malls were opened to the public in this area. We did not consider wet samples on because we were sure of getting microbes there. We were at first thinking of the probability of founding bacteria in packaged foods which are very popular among the elites, who may assume that these foods will be totally save, especially for their children.</p> <p>This has been addressed</p> <p>This is addressed</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	