

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_130631
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence and bacteriological profile of surgical site infections at Ouakam Military Hospital
Type of the Article	Original Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Surgical Site Infections are one of the quality indicators for the quality of health care in a hospital. A study giving the insights into it is very apt to be published. The various bacteriological profile and their Antimicrobial resistant pattern can help the clinician to know the local burden of the hospital and implement hospital infection control measures better.	Surgical site infection (SSI) is a common and under-documented infection in our country (Senegal). This type of infection is most often of bacterial origin and treatment is generally probabilistic in our region; which promotes the emergence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR). This scourge is a public health problem particularly in Africa. However, knowledge of local bacterial epidemiology can certainly play a determining role in the response to this AMR.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is suitable	It is suitable
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is comprehensive I suggest to add one more key word	It is comprehensive As a keyword, we add “Incidence”
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. It is scientifically correct	Yes. It is scientifically correct
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Proper referencing of the sentences (numbering) in the manuscript has to be entered. It is mentioned as et al. Website in references: Date of access is not mentioned	We have taken these comments into account.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		We believe that the English language/quality of the article is suitable for scientific communications
Optional/General comments	The method of detection of MRSA has not been mentioned in methodology. Please mention. The method of detection of ESBL and Carbapenemase producers has not been mentioned in methodology. Please mention	In fact, we used a fully automated bacteriology device which is the VITEK® 2 COMPACT bioMérieux. This device uses the rules of the Antibigram Committee of the French Society of Microbiology (CASFM), European Committee for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) and bioART rules to interpret the antibiograms and return them to us in the form of printed paper.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	We didn't have any ethical problems in this work