

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_130521
Title of the Manuscript:	Seroprevalence of co-infection with HIV and viral hepatitis B and C viruses at the AI Nadjma multi-purpose Center Laboratory in N'Djamena (Chad)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Indeed, the scientific community will find the manuscript valuable. The spread of this type of illness, viruses like HIV, HBV, HCV, etc., which is also mutation, affected the healthcare system. Similar types of studies will help and prepare the healthcare systems to combat any emergency situation as well as control community infection.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, the title of the article is suitable. It is clear, concise, and informative. It accurately reflects the content of the paper.	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive. It provides a good overview of the research question, methodology, results, and implications of the study. The abstract is also well-written and easy to read with minor clerical mistakes in HBV prevalence, which was 4.26%. So please correct it before printing.	Noted and revised
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Overall, the manuscript is well written and informative. It makes a significant contribution to the field of sentiment analysis, and it is likely to be of interest to a wide range of researchers.	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, there are enough references, but there are very few new references.	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.	Noted
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	