

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_129540
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation and Characterization of Naga king chilli rhizobacteria
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is important for the scientific community to extend their knowledge about the diversity of bacteria in root zones. By observing on to the characteristics of the different rhizobacteria, the chilli producers will be notified to make there required management practices for better utilization of the microbes. However, the characterization of the bacteria should have been correlated with the chilli growth and development traits.	The manuscript is a part of a bigger research and some different test have been conducted to study their plant growth promotion activity.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is suitable	--
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I recommend the deletion of the introductory part of the abstract, whereas some conclusive statements need to be added	Made correction as suggested by the reviewer
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Although there are some errors as I have indicated on the review, it follows scientific procedures.	Made corrections wherever suggested by the reviewer
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Actually the references are few and and somewhat old. So I would advise the author/s to cite recent references.	Since the mentioned procedures are standard protocols its difficult to get new references for these procedures couldn't change it.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are many grammatical errors and thus, the manuscript needs correction.	Corrections were made wherever felt necessary
Optional/General comments	Presenting Conclusion is quite inevitable. Hence you need to incorporate it.	Conclusion added as suggested by the reviewer

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	---