

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131232
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY OF CURRENT STATUS OF EQUINE HUSBANDRY IN HARYANA, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	NO doubt, equine family played pivotal role in the past in terms of agriculture, war, peace, transportation etc but, nowadays government's veterinary sector do not give attention to equine family to get benefits so, their population is declining day by day .  Government should give much attention to this precious equine family in all aspects to promote population, well health, housing and feeding management.	Needful has been done.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, no need to change any amendment.	Yes no need to change.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The author has explained well, no need to exceed lines or deletion.	Few lines have been added.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, of course	Yes, it is.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Few references has been written by the author Its up to author whether to exceed the references specially latest references or not( not mandatory)	Needful has been done,
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	Yes
Optional/General comments	I really appreciate his/ her research work; I recommend this article for further process ahead for publication.	

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	NO.