

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131232
Title of the Manuscript:	CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY OF CURRENT STATUS OF EQUINE HUSBANDRY IN HARYANA, INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is a baseline study involving status, needs and lacunae of the Equine husbandaer practices in few districts of Hariyana. There are many take home messages from the study and the information can be used by further researchers towards a problem solving approach.	Need full done.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is ok	OK.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is ok	Need full addition has been done please.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Manuscript is scientifically correct. Sufficient sample size is used and all the aspects of the related issues are covered.	Yes. All related aspects have been covered.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references sufficient and recent	Yes. Sufficient.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is ok but there must be spacing between the words which is laking.	Needful has been done please.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The part of introduction seems to be irrelevant to the subject of interest so can be eliminated.	Revised as per need.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	I agree with comments of reviewer which were necessary for correction and up gradation of the manuscript.
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues are there.