

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131121
Title of the Manuscript:	A Socio-economic perspective on Work Participation in Agriculture: Gender Based Analysis in West Bengal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

**Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b> Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>The entitled of the research work is “ A Socio-Economic Perspective on Work Participation in Agriculture: Gender Based Analysis in West Bengal”.</p> <p>Total sections in this work are four.</p> <p>In the Section-I, Introduction is discussed. Author has described about the agriculture. There is lack of detail explanation on socio-economic perspective of work participation in agriculture and gender.</p> <p>Materials and methods have been analyzed in Section-II. Some formulas are observed in this section. Author has not considered socio-economic variable, detail descriptive statistic method and analytical method.</p> <p>Simple discussion is done on the basis of result. There is no rigorous econometric analysis in section there.</p> <p>Conclusion is not suitable regarding the overall work.</p>	<p>1. Okey. We have accepted the suggestion and changed the manuscript title as per one of the reviewer's suggestion.</p> <p>2. Indeed, this was an oversight on our part, and we accept it. Based on the reviewer's recommendation, we have made the necessary modifications. Thank you for bringing this to our attention.</p> <p>3. Noted</p> <p>4. Your suggestion has been acknowledged, and the manuscript has been revised accordingly.</p>

PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	