

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_131084
Title of the Manuscript:	Geo-informatics of Sedimentation in Indravati Reservoir, Odisha
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The research is useful in the academic field. It can be used to plan for managing the impacts caused by human activities. There should be a study of other indicators besides sediment, such as the water flowing into the reservoir, nutrients or chemicals contaminated by human activities, which will make the research more complete.	A para has been in this respect is included.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Manuscrip is appropriate.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should also support the study's conclusions. What were the results? What benefits did the study offer?	The comments are addressed in the Abstract
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript has a sequential research technique, a systematic design process, scientific soundness, and content that is reasonable, acceptable, and detailed.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are adequate and current. Please recheck the writing format.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Appropriate for Acceptable.	
Optional/General comments	The figure should be resized to be clearer. More should be said on the advantages of the research. To increase the research's credibility, references to earlier studies of a similar kind should be included. Restrictions and recommendations must be added in a clear and practical manner.	As far as possible the comments are addressed in the text not making the manuscript lengthy and boring.

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	