

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130912
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Contribution of Economic Indicators to GDP Growth in India: A Regression Analysis
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study contributes significantly to the scientific community by providing valuable insights into the relationship between economic indicators and GDP growth in India. The findings of this research can inform policymakers and economists on the key drivers of economic growth, enabling them to make data-driven decisions. Furthermore, this study's focus on irrigation analysis adds a unique perspective to the existing literature on economic growth. Overall, this research has the potential to influence economic development strategies in India and other similar economies.	okay
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article, "Assessing the Contribution of Economic Indicators to GDP Growth in India: Irrigation Analysis," is not entirely suitable. While the dependent variable (GDP growth) is clear, the independent variables (economic indicators) are not explicitly stated. Given the broad range of economic indicators that can impact GDP growth, it would be beneficial to specify the particular indicators examined in the study.	Corrected and macroeconomic variables are included to narrow down research
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract requires improvement to conform to standard guidelines. Specifically, the problem statement, principal argument, purpose, methodology, and principal findings are not clearly articulated. Additionally, the keywords listed are excessive (more than five) and lack geographical relevance. To enhance the abstract's quality, the author should revise it to concisely convey the essential elements of the study.	The statement of problem, methodology and purpose of study clearly stated in abstract as your instructions
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct, but some adjustments are necessary to enhance its overall quality. Specifically, the author should address the issues mentioned in the manuscript's word version.	Required corrections and modifications are made for clear understanding
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references cited are insufficient, particularly after the results section. The author should provide more comprehensive and relevant references to support the study's findings.	Relevant refernces that supports data has been included
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the manuscript is suitable, and the English language is clear and concise.	okay
Optional/General comments	The article is good for publication, subject to the corrections mentioned in the word version of the manuscript. Upon revising the title, abstract, and references, and addressing the other issues highlighted, the manuscript will be ready for publication.	Suggested corrections are incorporated

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No