

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JSRR_130579
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS DUE TO METABOLIC DISEASES OF DAIRY CATTLE IN UTTAR PRADESH STATE OF INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it addresses the economic burden of metabolic diseases in dairy cattle, a critical issue affecting livestock productivity and profitability in Uttar Pradesh, India. By quantifying the economic losses and identifying key factors contributing to these diseases, the study provides valuable insights for policymakers, veterinarians, and dairy farmers to develop targeted prevention and management strategies. Additionally, the findings can guide future research in animal health economics and contribute to improving the sustainability and resilience of the dairy sector in India and other similar regions	-
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<div>1. <b>Economic Impact of Metabolic Diseases in Dairy Cattle: A Case Study from Uttar Pradesh, India</b></div> <div>2. <b>Metabolic Disorders in Dairy Cattle: An Economic Assessment in Uttar Pradesh, India</b></div>	Title 2 added
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	<b>Please check the manuscript I edited. But author had many mistake in abstract eg- spelling, Capitalize word, vocabulary etc</b>	All corrections carried out
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	-
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<div>1. Singh, S., Hasan, M., Adhikari, R., &amp; Chaudhary, A. (2023). Prevalence of Metabolic Disease in Cattle in Sylhet, Bangladesh. <i>Nepalese Veterinary Journal</i>, 37(1), 111–117. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3126/nvj.v37i37.55522">https://doi.org/10.3126/nvj.v37i37.55522</a> use this articles as references</div> <div>2. Tufarelli, V.; Puvača, N.; Glamočić, D.; Pugliese, G.; Colonna, M.A. The Most Important Metabolic Diseases in Dairy Cattle during the Transition Period. <i>Animals</i> <b>2024</b>, 14, 816. <a href="https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14050816">https://doi.org/10.3390/ani14050816</a></div>	Ref 1 added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but author should choose strong vocabulary	Yes . done
Optional/General comments	<div>1. <b>Clarity and Precision:</b> Avoid redundancy and ensure that sentences convey the intended meaning concisely.</div> <div>2. <b>Scientific Tone:</b> Revise the text to adopt a more formal and scientific tone suitable for academic writing. This includes using standardized terminology and avoiding overly casual expressions.</div> <div>3. <b>Focus on Key Concepts:</b> Ensure that key ideas, such as the economic implications and specific impacts of metabolic diseases, are well-defined and logically presented.</div>	-

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	