

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JSRR_130579
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSING THE ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS DUE TO METABOLIC DISEASES OF DAIRY CATTLE IN UTTAR PRADESH STATE OF INDIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript would be very much helpful to researchers, students and academicians.	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes. The abstract is comprehensive. I suggest the authors to put comma (,) in between the keywords.	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes. The manuscript is scientifically correct.	-
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, the present manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. However the old references must be deleted and add some recent references to support the discussion. Also I suggest to stick the journal format. Add proper doi if available. Please check and crosscheck all the references in the manuscript and in the list.	Ok
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	Thanks for the comments
Optional/General comments	The manuscript is finely presented.	Thanks for the comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	