

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130511
Title of the Manuscript:	Restoring soil fertility through organic amendments: Impacts on agriculture and human health
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The work is perusing about Restoring soil fertility through organic amendments: Impacts on agriculture and human health.	Yes sir the manuscript is mainly focused on restoring soil fertility which farmers and researchers across the world more cared in todays world.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes it is correct	Okay sir.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is correct, no suggestion.	Okay sir, Thank you
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Okay sir
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference are enough to quantify this research.	Thank you sir
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is scholarly communicated.	Thank you sir and once again I will go through the manuscript for quality corrections wherever its needed.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	As there is no comments from the reviewer in this section, still I will go through the manuscript to ensure there is no ethical issues as journal is concerned.