

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	<u>Ms JSRR 130461</u>
Title of the Manuscript:	<u>Knowledge of Liquid Biofertilizers among the Soybean Growers in Marathwada region of Maharashtra</u>
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		Yes.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	ARTICLE TITLE IS NOT GOOD To evaluate the Knowledge of Liquid Biofertilizers among the Soybean Growers in Marathwada region of Maharashtra	Yes.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need major revision. It is not giving meaning as a whole. Author is suggested to mention some more major points. Whatever the regions are being evaluated, it should be clear in abstract also. If you are mentioning Marathwada, then write that..	Yes.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	YES	Yes
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	YES	Yes
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	Yes
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	Done
