

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JSRR _130383
Title of the Manuscript:	Association and community structure of plant parasitic nematodes in horticultural crops
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<div><input type="checkbox"/> This manuscript enhances understanding of plant-parasitic nematode communities in horticultural crops, critical for crop health and yield.</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> It provides insights into nematode diversity, improving pest management strategies.</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> The study supports sustainable agriculture by offering data for reducing nematode-related crop damage.</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> It fills a key research gap in plant pathology.</div>	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, its comprehensive	Thanks for the comments
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	<div><input type="checkbox"/> References are not sufficient; authors should add more references which is may be from recent year that should support their work.</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Slightly formatting issues are present in references APA style.</div>	Few recent references added.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes Suitable	
Optional/General comments	<div><input type="checkbox"/> There are some minor changes required to made in table such as in the place of crop block, mention specific block (fruit block etc.)</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Add legends for abbreviations such as AF & RF</div>	In table in place of crop block, fruit, floriculture, vegetable and spice block is made. Legends for abbreviation such as AF, RF, D, RD and PV is added

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	