

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ JSRR _130383 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Association and community structure of plant parasitic nematodes in horticultural crops |
| Type of the Article | Research article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | <input type="checkbox"/> This manuscript enhances understanding of plant-parasitic nematode communities in horticultural crops, critical for crop health and yield. <input type="checkbox"/> It provides insights into nematode diversity, improving pest management strategies. <input type="checkbox"/> The study supports sustainable agriculture by offering data for reducing nematode-related crop damage. <input type="checkbox"/> It fills a key research gap in plant pathology. | Thanks for the comments |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes , its comprehensive | Thanks for the comments |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes | Thanks for the comments |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | <input type="checkbox"/> References are not sufficient; authors should add more references which is may be from recent year that should support their work. <input type="checkbox"/> Slightly formatting issues are present in references APA style. | Few recent references added. |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes Suitable | |
| Optional/General comments | <input type="checkbox"/> There are some minor changes required to made in table such as in the place of crop block, mention specific block (fruit block etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Add legends for abbreviations such as AF & RF | In table in place of crop block, fruit, floriculture, vegetable and spice block is made. Legends for abbreviation such as AF, RF, D, RD and PV is added |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |