

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Scientific Research and Reports |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JSRR_130316 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Study on Relationship between the Personal Traits of the Respondents with Their Knowledge and Attitude towards the Use of KCC |
| Type of the Article | Quantitative |

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | It is proportionate to random sampling, according to the data collecting technique. Purposive sampling would be used because the research stated that the data would be gathered from farmers who contacted KCC by phone or text. The study is centred on testing the hypotheses and did not address them in the approach. There is no thorough explanation of the variable analysis. inadequate knowledge about the population and sample selection procedures. | Noted |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Title is appropriate | ok |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Significance of the study is not mentioned in the abstract. All the results are not needed in the abstract, provide the results in the comprehensive way. | Effected |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Lots of missing information found (hypothesis, testing, sampling) | Revised |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Reference are not sufficient and only one recent reference added | ok |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | yes | |
| Optional/General comments | Rework is needed on the above topics. Comprehension of the data is missing | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer’s comment | Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |