

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130236
Title of the Manuscript:	SELECTIVITY OF ABAMECTIN TO CHRYSOPERLA CARNEA (STEPHENS) (CHRYSOPIDAE, NEUROPTERA)
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author idea focused on the effect of abamectin and one pyrethroid insecticide and one organophosphate insecticide on Chreysoperla carnea the common and important natural enemies on cotton can feed on many pest The different methods was implemented gave a concluding survey on the best way to apply these insecticide to these benefit insects	The importance of this manuscript for the scientific community included
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable	Yes the title is suitable
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I can’t suggest additional work because I think these methods were enough and very complete To explain the aim of the study that was the selectivity of insecticide treatment to this kind of natural enemies and how to save it	Yes, abstract of the article comprehensive
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	yes the writing is very perfect and the manuscript arranged well and very simple to understand	Yes, manuscript is scientifically correct
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes the references is sufficient But there is two small mistakes in references highlighted in yellow. Was (hasan or hassan which correct) and Hagen 1999 missing in the body text	Recent references included. Reviwer comment fulfilled.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language was very clear and skilfully weitten	Yes, the article suitable for scholarly communications
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in this manuscript