

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_130185
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Soil Physical Properties in Natural and Farmer Practices in the Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka
Type of the Article	Research article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	In present addressing the growing concerns of soil degradation caused by intensive agricultural practices. By assessing how natural farming known for its minimal external inputs and focus on organic methods affects soil properties relative to conventional methods, this study can provide evidence to guide farmers and policymakers toward sustainable agricultural practices.	Thank you for your valuable insights.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Definitely it reached.	Thank you.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, enough	Thank you.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	Thank you.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	