

Review Form 3

1.

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129853
Title of the Manuscript:	Intestinal Schistosomiasis in A Red Kandhari Bullock
Type of the Article	Case report

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.		
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)		
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.		
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		

Review Form 3

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The authors mention epidemiological data about the disease in animals from 20 years ago in the manuscript (reference: Sumanth et al., 2004). I believe that incorporating more recent information could further enhance the manuscript, even if this information is not restricted to the Indian territory. This is important to emphasize the public health significance of the disease. 2. The literature includes more updated references on the biology of the agents involved in the disease. The manuscript cites rather old references (Dwight et al., 2003; Amsalu, 2007). To improve the quality of the work, I recommend including more recent data, particularly regarding the parasite species that most commonly affect animals. Are these still the same species described in the references used in the manuscript? 3. In Figure 4 ("Fecal examination revealed Spindle-shaped Schistosoma"), I suggest identifying the structure (e.g., with an arrow) to facilitate reader understanding. 4. In the discussion, the authors mention, "Morphological examination identified Schistosoma spindale." What are the morphological characteristics that allowed the identification of this species? It is important to describe these characteristics to aid in species identification and reader understanding. If possible, include images that highlight these key morphological traits. 5. Was any additional fecal parasitological examination performed after the animal's clinical improvement to monitor the presence of the agent? 6. It would be helpful to discuss possible differential diagnoses based on the observed symptomatology. <p>As a preventive measure, I believe it is also important to implement sanitary monitoring of the animals, including periodic fecal parasitological examinations.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The literature and recent references were added in the article as per suggestion. 2. Recent data regarding the parasite species that most commonly affect animals has been included in text. 3. As per suggestion, corrections has been done. 4. Image that highlight key morphological traits has been added. 5. Additional fecal examination performed after recovery and clinical improvement status included in article. 6. Possible differential diagnoses based on the observed symptomatology has mentioned in text

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	