

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129841
Title of the Manuscript:	Vermicomposting and its Role in Soil Health: A Comprehensive Review
Type of the Article	Review

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Vermicomposting is very essential for the organic farming and used to ameliorate the soil and improve the environment. Support of earthworms improves the soil structure and texture by the way it enhances the soil health and supporting the crop production. Current research in the area of vermicomposting and vermiculture can be included in this manuscript. How this technology involved in sustainable development?. By the addition of all the points mentioned above can be appropriate for the betterment of the manuscript to cater information to the readers.	Vermicompost is the need of the hour in the present era of chemical farming which totally degraded the soil health. There is important need for the scientist and farmers to review the vermicompost use in soil
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Vermicomposting, a collective perspective for the improvement of soil quality: a comprehensive review	Suitable
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract should be self explanatory. Authors can rewrite and improve the abstract to cover the entire review	Revision made accordingly
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, but it is looking like a lecture notes, Authors can review the entire manuscript and input some technical points in the manuscript.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Need more references. Most of the contents are appeared without references	Added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Moderate language, need to be improve	Done revision
Optional/General comments	Review is good attempt and need more improvement in the content to meet the current requirement	Thanks

[Review Form 3](#)

**PART 2:**

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	