

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129803
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties and Secondary Nutrient Status of Soils from Soybean Growing Area of Renapur Tahsil of Latur District and their Correlation with these Properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The MS is of general importance with averaged values of secondary nutrients and some other chemical properties. The data given in MS is not analyzed through any statistical parameters which is not acceptable. The Introduction is not appropriate and need to rewrite; The result and discussion section need more discussion rather than citing references alone.	These averages represent a specific region that is soybean growing areas of renapur tahsil crop and three soil orders. Descriptive statistics has done The results and discussion now include detailed explanations
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Instead of writing of the details about location write the name of soil orders. Avoid giving too much information about location in title.	corrected
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Provide 1-2 sentences about the cropping system in selected area/ villages. Write the time of collection (presowing/ post harvesting OR in month).	corrected
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Figure and tables should be checked for correctness. Avoid citing too many references and cite only needed references. Provide more details about timing, methodology, etc. of soil sampling.	checked
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Avoid citing too many references and cite only needful references.	Cited only needful references
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need corrections.	done
Optional/General comments	Introduction: Need to rewrite; there is no need to write the general information about the nutrients, nutrition and plant nutrition. Write about the significance of studying physiochemical properties as well as report of earlier studied related to selected topic. Provide the status of soybean cultivation on India, MH and selected location (district0; Write information about nutritional requirement of soybean and other crops grown in selected area. Provide some valid research gap for which the present investigation was done. Provide some valid research gap for which the present investigation was done. Results and discussion: Reading of entire table in text is not needed; Discussion is lacking- Describe why such ranges of values were reported. For this authors may consider the soil formation factors (CIORPT) and describe which factor affects more in having such values; Only citing references will not be beneficial. Check the figure 1 for correctness. Figure 2: Give the figure 2 with error bars. Conclusion: about nutrient index rather than writing about all soil properties.	corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No