

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129803
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Physico-Chemical Properties and Secondary Nutrient Status of Soils from Soybean Growing Area of Renapur Tahsil of Latur District and their Correlation with these Properties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The information is valuable for proper nutrient management of the crop in the region mentioned in the article. However, few lines regarding the status of the major nutrients would have depicted a complete picture.	Major nutrients are analyzed by another researcher
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The Introduction part need to be made short and should avoid elaborate mentioning of the well established facts. The title cane be shortened too.	corrected
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	corrected
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Correct, but may be improved.	corrected
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. Few more references should be added where facts and figures from other sources are mentioned in the text.	Added
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Average.	corrected
Optional/General comments	May be considered after complete revision.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No