

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_129357
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of headgear on physiological, physical response of male farm worker under heat stress condition
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is able to showcase the existing scenario of traditional practices followed by the Indian farmers to safeguard themselves from heat stress. The headgear have reduced the physiological stress and it was found to e comfortable and user-friendly. This manuscript is encouraging for innovative idear formation and implication by the government.	ok
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	-
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract need some grammatical changes. Add in brief the methodology followed conducting the research. Add the main objectives of study and add some more keywords.	-
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	-
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. Add more references. Add the recent findings.	Revised
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	-
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The conclusion part is too momentary, try to elaborate it more.	Revised

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></u>	