

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_126749
Title of the Manuscript:	Existing status and agribusiness potential of Acacia catechu based entrepreneurship in Una and Kangra districts of Himachal Pradesh.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The present study on agribusiness potential of Acacia spp. is highly appreciated. Especially attributing to the invasive nature of the species in many parts of the country, the potential for entrepreneurship and its assessment can open new possibilities.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Explain what is kattha in brackets or use common term. Also while beginning a negative statement after a positive one, words of exclamations may be added.	Noted
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Needs to adhere to the journal style	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study uses variables such as number of people employed, attitude of entrepreneurs towards the aspects of raw materials and labour. Further analysis using TWS methods. This method ensures that factors contributing more significantly to the outcome are given proper importance, leading to balanced and rational decision-making. However further analysis into the demographic and personal variables is required.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Needs enrichment	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Minor improvements are required.	Noted
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please See Attachment	Noted

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	