

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_130699
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF ANULOMA VILOMA PRANAYAMA IN PEOPLE WITH DECREASED LUNG RESERVE DUE TO COVID-19: A LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important topic and method used is important for low cost health and wellbeing measures, specially in respiratory symptoms	Thanks for the comments
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Need refining using reference number number 22 in the article. The way ref no 22 has prepared manuscript- the authors are suggested to refine manuscript by becoming more specific- giving quantitative information	Done
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Nope- need refining	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	nope	Thanks for the comments
Optional/General comments	1. Please go through Ghiya S. Alternate nostril breathing: a systematic review of clinical trials. Int J Res Med Sci. 2017 Aug;5(8):3273-86. And refine the manuscript	ok

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	