

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_130364
Title of the Manuscript:	Kleine Levin Syndrome – An Overall Literature Review With Case Studies
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The author should clarify the rationale behind choosing this topic and clearly state the objectives of the paper.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is thorough, though there is room for further improvement	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct and adheres to established standards in the field.	Thanks for the comments
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thanks for the comments
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To enhance the quality of the manuscript, the author may want to review and refine the English language and grammar throughout the text.	Ok noted
Optional/General comments	None	Thanks for the comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	