

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_130724
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge regarding anaemia and its impact on adolescent girls of the Kolam tribe; A cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides crucial insights into the prevalence and awareness of anaemia among adolescent girls in the Kolam tribe, a significantly underrepresented group in public health research. By shedding light on the socio-demographic factors influencing anaemia in this population, the study underscores the need for targeted health interventions and educational programs. The findings contribute to the broader understanding of health disparities in tribal communities, offering valuable data that could inform policy-making and resource allocation. Moreover, it emphasizes the importance of culturally sensitive health education, aiming to improve health outcomes in marginalized groups.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, "Knowledge Regarding Anaemia and Its Impact on Adolescent Girls of the Kolam Tribe: A Cross-sectional Study," effectively conveys the main focus of the research by highlighting the population studied, the health issue addressed, and the study design. However, it could be made slightly more engaging or informative with a minor adjustment.: Alternative Title: "Assessing Anaemia Awareness and Prevalence Among Adolescent Girls in the Kolam Tribe: Insights from a Cross-sectional Study"	Title revised
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	ok
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript seems to be scientifically structured and presents findings typical of a cross-sectional study. However, determining its scientific correction on Statistical Analysis :The use of a one-way t-test suggests a focus on comparing means, which is generally appropriate for continuous data like haemoglobin levels. It's important that assumptions for the t-test (such as normality) are met. The manuscript doesn't provide enough detail to confirm this.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is suitable for scholarly communication, but attention to grammatical details and clarity in technical terminology is recommended. Maintaining a formal tone and ensuring smooth transitions between ideas will improve readability and coherence.	Revised
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	