

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Materials Science Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JMSRR_130734
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Dosage and Seawater Mixing on the Hydration and Phase Evolution of OPC-CSA Paste
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is considered an addition to the field of scientific research by linking scientific theories and previous research on the hydration process in ordinary Portland cement paste and calcium sulphoaluminate cement and the effect of the curing medium on that process. Moreover, the effect of these changes in the curing process on the mechanical properties of the cement paste was demonstrated.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Study The Effect of Replacing Ordinary Portland Cement with Calcium Sulfoaluminate Cement in Seawater on The Properties of Cement Paste	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is However, it was suggested to add percentages of increase and decrease to test the compressive strength	The compressive strength test results have been updated to reflect percentage comparisons between the mixing water and CSA dosages. Thank you.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, it is	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes, it is sufficient, but not all references are recent	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	