

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_130752
Title of the Manuscript:	Advanced Strategies for Mitigating Water Contamination through Integrated Chemical and Ecological Solutions
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

**Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>  
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This review identifies a set of relevant studies on the successful integration of chemical and ecological strategies in water pollution mitigation, and resulting in long-term sustainability. These integrated systems have been efficient in the treatment of certain contaminants and with notable improvements in water quality. However, the barriers that arise in its implementation are pointed out.	Satisfactory.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Satisfactory.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I recommend revising the abstract so that it does not exceed 300 words, as indicated in the guideline for authors.	This has been addressed.
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes.	Satisfactory.
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes. I recommend checking the references to fit the guidelines for authors (See text)	The references have been checked and confirmed to align with the journal's authors guidelines.
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	Satisfactory.
Optional/General comments	Figures 2, 3 and 4: I recommend reviewing according to the guidelines for authors: No tables or figures should be given without discussion or reference inside the text.	This has been addressed.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	