

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JGEESI_130505 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Micronutrient diffusion behavior of nutrient pellets in acid sulphate soils |
| Type of the Article | |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>
Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | 1. Research based on effect of nutrient pellets gives the future line of work in other soils. 2. Results gives the opportunity to increase the use of organic manures or nutrient pellets to produce organic food. 3. Research helps for sustainable agricultural practices and soil health in acid sulfate environments. | yes |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes | Noted thanks |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes. Abstract contain sufficient information. | ok |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Yes, it is well written | Ok |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Yes, references are enough but need to write more correctly. Some references cited in literature are missing the year of publication. Li Z, Xia S, Zhang R, Zhang R, Chen F, Liu Y. N ₂ O emissions and product ratios of nitrification and denitrification are altered by K fertilizer in acidic agricultural soils. <i>Environmental Pollution</i> . 265:115065. | Made correction |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes | Done |
| Optional/General comments | Nil | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |