

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_130373
Title of the Manuscript:	Demand for Public Water in Lagos, Nigeria: Study of Patterns and Implications for Sustainable Development
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript assesses access to water for households in a major city in the developing world. Access to clean water is a key goal of the SDG system, and thus the area of research is important. The ability to predict demand for water is crucial to assessing the need for infrastructure and the funding gap, and thus this research is directly relevant to development objectives.	Noted
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thanks
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I’m concerned about how private water use was measured and the accuracy of that data – those concerns could be resolved by simply disclosing the information source and estimated accuracy. Similarly, ideally there should have been measurement of household size before consumption patterns were analysed, as a primary determinant of water demand. This needs to be acknowledged as a limitation in the paper. Some of the conclusions drawn around water use and gender or age of respondent are not fully supported by the analysis.	Noted
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes	Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	Done as suggested

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	