

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_130184
Title of the Manuscript:	Aircraft Pitch Control using Transformation Matrix T
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes, it is an important research, as it aims to design a control unit used in aircraft pitches because pitch can cause disturbance to the aircraft if the aircraft slope control is not calibrated correctly.	Thank you for the feedback
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	Thank you
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	Thank you
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	Thank you
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No, the references used in the manuscript are very few.	We have updated the references
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No, the manuscript needs proofreading.	We have improved the grammar
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The research gap of this study should be identified at the end of the introduction section.- The resolution of Figs needs to be improved.- The article contains many symbols so it is necessary to create a Nomenclature.- The conclusion should be completely reformulated. It should include the most important results of this study in detail and should not contain any Fig. It is preferable to add some recommendations for future studies.	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We have identified the research gap2. We have improved the resolution of Figs3. We have explained every symbol in the manuscript4. We have improved the conclusion

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	