

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JEAI _131389
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Weed Management on Growth and Yield of Maize Grown Under Different Tillage Systems
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment Artificial Intelligence (AI) generated or assisted review comments are strictly prohibited during peer review.	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript gives insightful information regarding the effect of different tillage systems and weed management approaches and strategies on maize development and yield potential. The evaluation of the influence of these treatments is significant in enhancing maize production. The research is important in that its recommendations may help farmers apply such treatments which may improve their yield.	Thanks
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title 'Effect of Weed Management on Growth and Yield of Maize Grown under Different Tillage Systems' is fairly comprehensive though it could be improved to 'The Influence of Tillage Systems and Weed Management Strategies of Maize Growth and Yield.	Thanks
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract covers the findings and conclusions effectively, but it ought to emphasize the practical implications necessary for application. The inclusion of empirical growth parameters in the abstract would enrich the abstract. The overall significance also needed to be brought out in the abstract.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the scientific soundness of the document is evident in that the paper follows a scientific paper structure with all the essential structural sections.	Thanks
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references captured in the document are generally recent, relevant, and diverse in nature. The sampled references show that most of the references in the document can be traced to peer-reviewed journals.	Thanks
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The used language is suitable and appropriate for academic work. The communication is generally formal and effective. However, some sentences are too long to be read and understood with ease. Breaking down such long sentences into shorter ones can improve readability and comprehension.	Ok
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	